FORECASTS OF THE POPULATION OF THE UNITED STATES 1945-1975



Reproduced From Best Available Copy

Approved for Public Release Distribution Unlimited

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE BUREAU OF THE CENSUS 19990729 042

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE BUREAU OF THE CENSUS WASHINGTON 25

KRRATA SHEET

FOR

FORECASTS OF THE POPULATION OF THE UNITED STATES: 1945-1975

Page 4, table 2, FEMALE group, 2nd column of figures, line 2. 1.6 should be 1.7.

Page 33, footnote 47, par. 2. Line 13 should follow line 7.

Page 47, column 2. Headnote should follow the chart.

Page 74, table I, PERCENT DISTRIBUTION, 1950, White—total, 40 to 44 years. 6.6 should be 6.9. White—male, 15 to 19 years, should be 7.2 (figure 2 inverted).

Page 110 should be page 111. Page 111 should be page 110.

March 15, 1948

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

W. AVERELL HARRIMAN, Secretary

BUREAU OF THE CENSUS

J. C. CAPT, Director



FORECASTS OF THE POPULATION OF THE UNITED STATES 1945-1975

Ву

P. K. WHELPTON

Associate Director of the Scripps Foundation for Research in Population Problems

Miami University

assisted bỳ

HOPE TISDALE ELDRIDGE and JACOB S. SIEGEL

of the Bureau of the Census

Prepared under the supervision of

Dr. LEON E. TRUESDELL

Chief, Population Division

Bureau of the Census

UNITED STATES

GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE

WASHINGTON: 1947

BUREAU OF THE CENSUS

J. C. CAPT, Director

PHILIP M. HAUSER, Deputy Director

A. Ross Eckler, Assistant Director

HOWARD C. GRIEVES, Assistant Director

MORRIS H. HANSEN, Statistical Assistant to the Director

RALPH E. GALLOWAY, Executive Assistant to the Director

POPULATION DIVISION

LEON E. TRUESDELL, Chief

HOWARD G. BRUNSMAN, Assistant Chief

General Population Statistics—Henry S. Shryock, Jr.

Population Estimates—Hope T. Eldridge

Population Analyst—Jacob S. Siegel

SCRIPPS FOUNDATION FOR RESEARCH IN POPULATION PROBLEMS MIAMI UNIVERSITY

WARREN S. THOMPSON, Director
P. K. WHELPTON, Associate Director

LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE,
Bureau of the Census,
Washington, D. C., June 9, 1947.

SIR:

I transmit herewith a report on the future population of the United States. Forecasts of the population, by color, nativity, age, and sex, are presented, by 5-year time intervals, up to 1975, according to various combinations of assumptions as to the future trends of fertility and mortality. The present report is a revision of an earlier report, Estimates of Future Population of the United States, 1940-2000, which was prepared for the National Resources Planning Board by Warren S. Thompson and P. K. Whelpton of the Scripps Foundation for Research in Population Problems and published in August, 1943. The increasing interest in matters relating to population growth in the future led to an unexpected demand for this earlier report, which soon exhausted the supply. On May 18, 1945, the Census Committee on Demography recommended that the Bureau publish revised estimates of future population, utilizing not only the results of the 1940 census as did the previous report, but also current estimates of population embodying the changes of the war years.

Attention is directed to the fact that these revised forecasts have been prepared during a period of unusual fluctuations in the birth rate and in other social phenomena affecting population growth. Although accurate judgment concerning the implications of these fluctuations for long range population forecasts will not be possible for a number of years, the importance of information concerning prospective population growth is so great that it has seemed to justify the publication of estimates which may later require modification.

The author of this report is Mr. P. K. Whelpton, Associate Director of the Scripps Foundation for Population Research, Miami University, who was assisted by Dr. Hope T. Eldridge and Jacob S. Siegel, of the Bureau of the Census. The preparation of the report and of the statistical materials included therein was under the general direction of Dr. Leon E. Truesdell, Chief of the Population Division; Howard G. Brunsman, Assistant Chief; and Dr. Henry S. Shryock, Jr., Chief, Population Statistics Section.

This report is issued under the authority given to the Bureau of the Census to prepare and publish monographs on Census subjects. In the preparation of the report, extensive use was made of the statistical data available in the Bureau of the Census and of the experience of the Bureau's professional staff. However, the opinions and conclusions presented in the text of the report are the opinions and conclusions of the author and should not necessarily be taken to represent the views of the staff of the Bureau of the Census.

Respectfully,

J. C. Capt, Director of the Census.

Hon. W. AVERELL HARRIMAN, Secretary of Commerce.

CONTENTS

			Page
Chapter I.—	Introdu	action	1
	· ·		
Chapter II.—	Assum	ptions and methods	3
	Α.	Mortality trends, 1945-2000	3
	В.	Fertility trends, 1945-2000	16
	C.	Completeness of enumeration and registration	34
	D.	Immigration and future population growth	35
	E.	Annual forecasts, 1946-49.	37
Chapter III.—	Indicato	ed population changes	
	indica o	ed population changes	39
	A.	Growth of total population	39
ः ^क	В.	Color and nativity	42
	C.	The sex ratio	43
	D	Age composition	47
		·	
Ob 1 TX7 - T			
onapter IV.—1	mpiica	tions of the expected trends in population growth and composition	55
	\mathbf{A} .	The effect of past changes in the number of births	55
	В.	Implications of diminishing population growth	59
	C.	Implications of the aging of the population	67
	D.	A propatal program?	

CONTENTS

TABLES AND FIGURES

[Almost all the computations made in preparing this report have been based on numbers carried to more significant figures than shown in the report, and each number was rounded individually when digits were dropped. For this reason, values for derived measures shown in the tables may differ slightly from values computed from the basic data published in the same or another table. Similarly, published totals were obtained by rounding computed totals and hence are not always exactly equal to the sum of the figures shown by color, nativity, age, and sex.]

TEXT TABLES

Ś	MORTALITY TRENDS	1		FERTILITY TRENDS—Continued	
Tab	e '	Page	Tabl		Page
	Death rates and average future lifetime expected at selected ages, by sex, for white persons in the original death registration States, 1900-1902 to 1929-31, and for white and nonwhite persons in	3	16.	Distribution of 1,000 native white and nonwhite women in selected age groups by marital status, and of ever married women by number of children ever born, for the United States: 1940 and 1910	18
2.	Death rates of white persons at selected ages, by sex, for the United States and for the States with lowest and second lowest rates: 1940	4		Birth rates by order of birth, for native white women in the United States, 1920 to 1945, and for nonwhite women, 1930 to 1945	20
3.	Average future lifetime expected for white persons at selected ages, by sex, with death rates of the United States, 1939-40, and of the lowest States, 1940	5	18.	Birth rates by age of mother and gross reproduction rates, for native white women in the United States, by divisions: 1918-21, 1929-31, and 1939-41	21
4.	Death rates of native white males at selected ages, for the United States and for specified States with low rates in 1940: Specified periods, 1900 to	_		Gross reproduction rates in selected countries: Specified periods, 1871 to 1939	22
5.	Average future lifetime expected for white males at selected ages with death rates of the United	6		Proportion of native white and nonwhite persons who had ever married, by age and sex, for the United States: 1890 to 1940	23
e	States, 1939-40, and of foreign countries in recent prewar years	6	21.	Marriage rates, 1919 to 1944, and actual and "normal" first birth rates of native white women one year later, for the United States	2 7
٥.	sex, for the United States, 1940, and for countries with lowest and second lowest rates in recent prewar years	7	,	Percent distribution of women 21 to 34 years of age by opinion on ideal number of children in a family: 1941 and 1945	29
	Death rates of males at selected ages for New Zealand and Norway: Specified periods, 1867 to 1940 Average future lifetime expected for white persons	8	23.	Births per 1,000 native white women living to age 50, by order of birth, for the United States: Average rates, 1935-44, and projected rates,	
	at selected ages, by sex, with death rates of the United States, 1939-40, and of the lowest country in recent prewar year	8	24.	Birth rates by age of mother and gross reproduction rates, for native white women in the United States: Average rates, 1935-44, and projected	30
9.	Death rates by important causes of death in the registration States, 1900–1902 to 1939–41, in Kansas and Wisconsin, 1939–41, and in New Zealand, 1909-11 and 1937-39	9		rates, 1960, 1975, and 2000IMMIGRATION AND FUTURE	32
10.	Death rates of native white males in the United States, 1929-30 to 1940-44, and projected rates, 1960, 1975, and 2000	11	25.	POPULATION GROWTH Assumed distribution by age and sex of specified	
11.	Average future lifetime expected for native white males at selected ages, for the United States, 1929-30 to 1940-44, and projected values, 1960,		26.	net numbers of white immigrants in a 5-year period	36
12.	1975, and 2000	13		which would result from selected amounts of net immigration of white persons in each 5-year period after July 1, 1945, according to various assumptions of fertility and mortality: 1950 to	
13.	States, April 1, 1940, to April 1, 1945, and survivors on April 1, 1945	14		1975	37
	projected death rates according to medium assumptions, by color, age, and sex, for the United States: 1940-45	15	27.	INDICATED POPULATION CHANGES Total population of the United States, 1900 to 1945, forecasts according to assumptions of medium	
1.4	FERTILITY TRENDS Children under 5 years of age per 1,000 women 20		: - 	fertility and mortality and no immigration, 1946 to 2000, and average annual increase, 1900–1905 to 1995-2000	39
	to 44 years of age, by race and nativity of wom- an, for the United States, by divisions: 1800 to 1940	16	28.	Forecasts of the total population of the United States, according to various assumptions of fertility, mortality, and immigration, 1950 to 1975, and average annual increase, 1945-50 to 1970-75	41
15.	Birth rates by age of mother, births per 100 women living to age 50, and gross reproduction rates, for native white women in the United States,			Population by color and nativity, for the United States, 1900 to 1945, and forecasts, 1950 to 1975	44
	1920 to 1945, and for nonwhite women, 1930 to 1945	17	30.	Sex ratios by color and nativity, for the United States, 1900 to 1945, and forecasts, 1950 to 1975	45 V
					v

CONTENTS

TABLES AND FIGURES - Continued

·-			
 Sex ratios by age, for the total, native white, and nonwhite population of the United States, 1910, 1930, and 1945, and forecasts according to assumptions of medium fertility and mortality and no immigration, 1960 and 1975	46 47 49	IMPLICATIONS OF THE EXPECTED POPULATION TRENDS 34. Estimated number of native-born persons of specified ages in the United States, September 1, 1940 to 1946, and forecasts, 1947 to 1964	56 59
:	FIGU	RES	1
Figure 1. Death rates of white males in the United States, in selected States, and in foreign countries, 1900 to 1944; projected rates for native white males in the United States, 1945 to 2000	12 31	women 20 to 44 years of age, for the United States, 1800 to 1945; projected ratios for native white women, 1950 to 1975	Page 33 40 47
 Birth rates by age of mother, for native white women in the United States, 1920 to 1945; projected rates, 1950 to 2000. Children under 5 years of age per 1,000 white 	32	States, 1870 to 1945; forecasts, 1950 to 1975	48 52
DETA	ILEI	TABLES	
Table	Page	Table	Page
 I. Forecasts of the population, by color, age, and sex, according to assumptions of medium fertility and mortality and no immigration after July 1, 1945, for the United States: July 1 of each year, 1946 to 1950	73	after July 1, 1945, for the United States: 1950 to 1975	85 90
dium fertility and mortality and no immigration after July 1, 1945, for the United States: 1950 to 1975 III. Forecasts of the population, by color, nativity, age, and sex, according to assumptions of medium fertility and mortality and net immigration of 500,000 persons during each 5-year period after July 1, 1945, for the United States: 1950 to 1975	76 81	VI. Forecasts of the population, by color, nativity, age, and sex, according to assumptions of high fertility (15,000,000 births during 1945-50), low mortality, and no immigration after July 1, 1945, for the United States: 1950 to 1975	95
IV. Forecasts of the population, by color, nativity, age, and sex, according to assumptions of low fertility, high mortality, and no immigration		fertility (15,000,000 births during 1945-50), low mortality, and net immigration of 1,000,000 persons during each 5-year period after July 1, 1945, for the United States: 1950 to 1975	99
APPE	NDI	K TABLES	
Table	Page	Table	Page
 A. Projected 5-year survival rates, by color, nativity, age, and sex, used in computation of forecasts of population, for the United States: 1945-50 to 1970-75. B. Projected 5-year birth rates, by color, nativity, and age of mother, used in computation of forecasts of population, for the United States: 1950-55 to 1970-75 	105 107	casts of the white population of the United States to allow for net immigration of 500,000 white persons during each 5-year period after July 1, 1945, according to assumptions of medium fertility and mortality: 1950 to 1975	108
C. Additions by nativity, age, and sex, made in fore-	_0.	July 1, 1945, for the United States: 1980 to 2000	109

FORECASTS OF THE POPULATION OF THE UNITED STATES, 1945-1975

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

Revision of earlier estimates

The present report on the future population of the United States is a revision of an earlier report, Estimates of Future Population of the United States, 1940–2000, prepared for the National Resources Planning Board by Warren S. Thompson and P. K. Whelpton of the Scripps Foundation for Research in Population Problems, Miami University, and published by the Board in August, 1943.¹

A revision of the previous report was deemed advisable regardless of whether the experience of 1940-45 indicated serious flaws in the long-time assumptions made earlier regarding fertility and mortality trends. Events peculiar to the war years have caused the population to change in size and composition in a somewhat different way than had been anticipated when the preceding estimates were prepared (in the latter months of 1942). For example, those projections indicated that if war losses were small the population on April 1, 1945, would be between 137,318,000 (assuming low fertility, high mortality, no immigration) and 137,738,000 (assuming high fertility, low mortality, no immigration). However, in spite of the excess mortality of 200,000 up to April 1, 1945, resulting from the war, the population on the latter date was approximately 139,254,000 (including persons in the armed forces overseas), or about 1,516,000 above the highest forecast. Part of the larger increase was due to immigration and the return of citizens from abroad,2 part was due to unusually favorable civilian mortality during the war years, but the major part was due to the so-called "baby boom." The projections indicated that children under 5 years of age on April 1, 1945, would number between 11,679,000 and 11,992,-000, but the wartime babies swelled the group to

13,058,000, or 1,066,000 above the highest forecast.

The differences between the forecasts and the currently estimated population for April 1, 1945, illustrated above, indicated strongly that certain modifications were desirable in the figures for 1950 and later years which appeared in the previous report. Even though such modifications are not large on a percentage basis, their absence introduces undesirable irregularities in comparisons between the 1945 population and the forecasts for 1950. Obviously most users of population forecasts wish to have them begin with a recent figure which is correct rather than one which is too low by even one percent, and to have the material for future years on a comparable basis.

If the events of 1940–45 had indicated that there were serious discrepancies in the assumptions regarding future fertility and mortality trends, a revision of the forecasts would have been even more urgent. For reasons discussed in sections A and B of chapter II of this report, however, only relatively unimportant changes in these assumptions seemed advisable.

Form of the forecasts

Six series of projections are presented in detail in this report, each based on certain assumptions with respect to the course of fertility, mortality, and immigration in years to come. As in the forecasts previously published, three alternative assumptions—designated as high, medium, and low—have been made as to the future trend of fertility, and also that of mortality. The effect of a net immigration of 500,000 foreign-born white persons every 5 years after July 1, 1945, is shown in combination with the medium fertility and mortality assumptions, and the effect of a net immigration of 1,000,000 persons is shown in combination with the high fertility and low mortality assumptions.

In each series of forecasts the figures are presented separately for each of three color and nativity groups, namely, native white, foreign-born white, and nonwhite. This division differs in one respect

This report contained the third set of estimates prepared for the Board by Thompson and Whelpton. For the first and second sets, see National Resources Committee, Estimates of Future Population by States, 1934; Population Statistics, 1. National Data, 1937; and The Problems of a Changing Population, 1938, pp. 22-27.

²The excess of arrivals over departures, excluding military personnel, from April 1, 1940, to April 1, 1945, amounted to 526,376, including 135,061 for immigrant aliens, 145,934 for nonimmigrant aliens, and 245,381 for citizens.

from that of the previous set of forecasts, in which Negroes and other nonwhites were considered separately. In conformity with current Census practice, persons of Mexican birth or ancestry who are not definitely of Indian or other nonwhite race are classified as white. The estimates are given by sex for 5-year age groups at 5-year time intervals, but in this report the projection of population trends stops at 1975 instead of continuing to 2000.3 As in the preceding forecasts, two figures are given for children in the 0-4 age group, one being the estimated total number under 5 years of age and the other a smaller number which reflects the incomplete reporting of such children to the census enumerators. Similarly, there are two estimates of total population at each quinquennial interval, one the higher or "true" figure which includes the children who would not be reported in a census, and the other the lower or "enumerated" figure which corresponds to the expected census count.

In addition to the six series of forecasts at quinquennial time intervals, projections of population based on the assumptions of medium fertility, medium mortality, and no immigration are shown for each year, 1946 to 1949. They are presented in the same detail as the other forecasts, except that the native white and foreign-born white population are not shown separately.

Uses of the forecasts

The primary function of the forecasts is to show what the size, and the color, nativity, age, and sex composition of the population would be at specified future times if birth rates, death rates, and immigration were to follow certain specified trends. The fertility and mortality assumptions have been chosen with regard to what is known about past trends of vital rates in various countries and the factors influencing them, and are believed to be reasonable. Nevertheless, it is certain that the actual course of fertility and mortality rates will differ from these assumed trends. In consequence, the forecasts cannot show the exact size of the future population, nor just what its color, nativity, age, or sex structure will be. A second function of the forecasts is to serve as

The forecasts of population according to the assumptions of medium fertility, medium mortality, and no immigration were extended to the year 2000 after the report had almost been completed. To have incorporated these materials in the text and population tables would have delayed the publication of the report; hence the forecasts for 1980 to 2000 are shown only in a single text table and in an appendix table.

a bench mark with which the demographic effects of the postwar period and of subsequent changes in fertility, mortality, and immigration can be compared in later years.

Thirdly, by demonstrating the numerical effect of different birth rates, death rates, and amounts of immigration, the forecasts provide a basis for judging how great a departure from the assumed trends would be required to give a different population total or a different composition at some future time. While there is no immediate prospect that the United States will adopt a national program designed to maintain or increase the birth rate and hence to affect the future growth of population, it should be remembered that such programs are already in effect in Canada and several European countries.

Finally, these national forecasts provide a frame of reference within which corresponding estimates may be prepared for smaller units such as regions, States, or cities. Although population changes in these smaller areas will depend primarily on migration within the United States, the size of the migration streams will be affected by national population trends. Similarly, the forecasts may be used in the preparation of estimates for special subgroups or classes in the population, such as heads of families, married persons, or the labor force.⁴

The usefulness of projections of future population for any of the above-mentioned purposes depends in large measure on the reasonableness of the underlying assumptions. In order to facilitate the intelligent use of these forecasts, a detailed statement of the assumptions on which they are based is given in chapter II of this report. Mortality trends are discussed in section A, fertility assumptions in section B. and completeness of enumeration and registration in section C. The method used to show the effect of immigration on the growth and composition of the population is explained in section D. In section E is a discussion of the method used in making the forecasts of population for each year, 1946 to 1949. Subsequent chapters contain a brief analysis of some of the prospective changes in the size and composition of the population, and their social and economic implications.

⁴For illustrations of the use of population forecasts in estimating the number of families and the labor force for future years, see Bureau of the Census, "Estimates of Number of Families in the United States: 1940 to 1960," Population-Special Reports, Series P-46, No. 4, June 1, 1946, and "Normal Growth of the Labor Force in the United States: 1940 to 1950," Population-Special Reports, Series P-44, No. 12, June 12, 1944.

CHAPTER II

ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODS

A. MORTALITY TRENDS, 1945-2000

Past mortality trends in the United States

The future course of mortality rates in the United States will be dependent in large measure on changes in the general standard of living, on the level at which public health services are maintained, and on advances in medical science and nutrition. Nevertheless, in evaluating the prospects for the further reduction of death rates it is helpful to begin by considering the past trends. Unfortunately for this

purpose, the record of mortality within the United States is far from complete. An official record of deaths has been kept in a few States for over a hundred years, but the national death registration area was not organized until 1880, and the annual collection of mortality statistics did not begin until 1900. In that year the area included only 10 States, the District of Columbia, and 153 cities with a population of 8,000 or more in other States; not until 1933 did it become Nation-wide. For this reason, trends of mortality cannot be determined over

Table 1.—DEATH RATES AND AVERAGE FUTURE LIFETIME EXPECTED AT SELECTED AGES, BY SEX, FOR WHITE PERSONS IN THE ORIGINAL DEATH REGISTRATION STATES, 1900–1902 TO 1929–31, AND FOR WHITE AND NONWHITE PERSONS IN THE UNITED STATES, 1929–31 TO 1940–44

[No adjustment has been made for incomplete registration of deaths]

	ORIGINA	L DEATH REC		STATES, ¹			UNIT	TED STATES		
AGE AND SEX		WH				White		Neg	Nonwhite	
	1900-19022	1909-112	1919-203	1929-312	1929-31²	1939-414	1940-445	1929-312	1939-414	1940-445
DEATH RATE FOR AGE GROUP ⁶	•									
Male						,				
Under 1 year	146.9	135.3	99.4	68.0	65.6	50.2	45.6	93.4	88.2	78.8
1 to 4 years	17.5	13.9	10.2	5.2	5.5	2.7	2.4	8.0	4.7 1.5	4.0 1.4
5 to 9 years		3.5	$\frac{3.4}{2.4}$	2.2 1.6	$\frac{2.0}{1.6}$	1.2 1.1	1.1 1.0	$\frac{2.5}{2.7}$	1.8	1.7
10 to 14 years		$\frac{2.4}{5.2}$	5.0	3.2	3.5	2.3	2.1	10. i	6.4	5.9
30 to 34 years.		7.3	6.8	4.2	4.5	3.1	3.0	13.9	9.5	8.6
40 to 44 years	. 11.5	11.0	9.0	8.1	7.8	6.1	5.9	20.4	15.5	14.4 27.8
50 to 54 years		17.5	15.2	16.3 34.2	$\frac{14.8}{31.1}$	13.8 30.0	13.6 30.0	30.4 45.3	28.5 42.8	39.4
60 to 64 years		$\frac{36.0}{74.8}$	31.4 71.5	73.3	69.5	66.4	65.3	81.3	66.7	70.3
70 to 74 years 75 years and over		148.2	145.6	146.1	142.4	139.5	137.9	143.1	122.5	112.1
Female										1
	119.5	110.2	77.9	53,1	51.6	39.1	35.4	76.1	69.6	63.7
Under 1 year 1 to 4 years		12.8	9.1	4.5	4.8	2.4	2.0	7.2	4.1	3.6
5 to 9 years	4.1	3.2	2.9	1.7	1.6	0.9	0.8	2.2	1.3	1.2
10 to 14 years	2.6	2.1	2.1	1.2	1.2	0.8	0.7	2.5 9.7	1.6 5.8	$\frac{1.4}{5.2}$
20 to 24 years		4.7	5.4 6.9	3.0 3.8	$\frac{3.1}{4.0}$	$\frac{1.6}{2.4}$	$1.5 \\ 2.2$	12.4	8.1	7.3
30 to 34 years		6.5 8.7	7.9	6.1	6.0	4.2	4.0	18.3	13.4	12.7
40 to 44 years		14.4	13.6	12.2	11.1	9.0	8.5	30.7	24.7	23.7
60 to 64 years	29.3	30.6	28.4	27.5	24.6	20.6	20.0	45.5	37.7	35.8 55.5
70 to 74 years		68.1	66.0	63.1	59.2 132.2	52.8 126.2	50.6 124.5	68.1 116.0	55.3 102.0	91.6
75 years and over	136.4	139.0	135.5	135.5	152.2	120.2	124.0	110.0	102.0	01.0
AVERAGE YEARS OF LIFE REMAINING TO PERSONS ALIVE AT EXACT AGE										
\mathbf{Male}										
Birth	48.2	50.2	54.0	58.6	59.1	62.8	63.5	47.6	52.3	54.0
20 years	42.2	42.7	44.3	45.5	46.0	47.8	48.0	36.0	39.5	40.6
40 years	27.7	27.4	28.8	28.5	29.2	30.0 15.0	$\frac{30.2}{15.1}$	23.4 13.2	25.1 14.4	25.8 14.7
60 years	14.4	14.0	14.6	14.2	14.7	15.0	10.1	10.2	11.1	14.1
Female					1					
Birth	51,1	53.6	56.4	62.1	62.7	67.3	68.2	49.5	55.6	57.3
20 years	43.8	44.9	45.2	48.0	48.5	51.4	51.9	37.2	42.0	43.4
40 years	29.2	29.3	30.0	30.8	31.5	33.2	33.6	24.3	27.2	28.1 16.6
60 years		14.9	15.3	15.5	16.0	17.0	17'. 2	14.2	16.1	10.0

¹Maine, New Hampshire, Vermont, Massachusetts, Rhode Island, Connecticut, New York, New Jersey, Indiana, Michigan, and the District of Columbia.

ment Printing Office, Washington, 1923.

²Bureau of the Census, *United States Life Tables*, Government Printing Office, Washington, 1936.

³Death rates and values for average future lifetime are estimated from Bureau of the Census, *United States Abridged Life Tables*, 1919-20, Govern-

⁴Bureau of the Census, "United States Life Tables, 1939-41," Vital Statistics—Special Reports, vol. 19, No. 4, Washington, January, 1944.

⁵Unpublished life tables of the Bureau of the Census.
⁶Rates are central death rates at ages 5-9 and older and approximate central death rates at ages under 1 and 1-4. They are computed from official life tables by dividing the approximate d_x values by L_x values.

any considerable period for the country as a whole, but only for those States and cities which were admitted earliest to the death registration area. Moreover, in this limited area part of the change in rates from year to year has occurred because the proportion of deaths recorded, which in some States barely exceeded the minimum requirement of 90 percent when they were admitted to the area, increased appreciably after they had been in the area a few years. Even now, comparisons of the death rates for certain groups of the population and certain parts of the registration area are affected significantly by differences in the completeness of death registration.

During the 46 years for which annual information is available there has been a striking decline in the death rates of children and young adults within the death registration area (see table 1). For white males in the registration States of 1900, the 1929-31 death rates were less than half those of 1900-1902 at nearly every age up to 35 and less than one-third as large at ages 1-4. Further substantial declines occurred among white males in the entire United States during the 1930's and early 1940's, the 1940-44 death rates being from 30 to 55 percent below those for 1929-31 at most ages under 35. Improvement in the middle years of life has been much less marked. At ages 50-54, for example, the decline from 1900-1902 to 1929-31 was less than 7 percent, and from 1929-31 to 1940-44, about 8 percent. Death rates of white males 60 and older have remained relatively unchanged, being somewhat higher in 1929-31 than in 1900-1902 but somewhat lower in 1940-44 than in 1929-31. At each age the death rates for white females have followed a similar trend, but the declines have been somewhat larger at most ages under 25, and substantially larger at most ages from 40 to 75.

A summary of the influence of the reduction in death rates on the length of life of an average individual is given in the lower section of table 1. With the mortality rates of 1940–44 the average white male would live 63.5 years, approximately 15 years longer than with the 1900–1902 rates. Those reaching age 20 had 48.0 years of life remaining according to the 1940–44 tables, a gain of about 6 years. Future longevity at age 40 increased by approximately 2½ years to 30.2. At ages above 50 the average future lifetime of white males lengthened little, if any, as would be expected from the slight change in the corresponding death rates. For white females, however, the gains were somewhat larger at most ages.

Less is known about mortality trends among Negroes than among white persons, for most of the States with large Negro populations did not gain admittance to the death registration area until after 1915, and underreporting of Negro deaths was a serious problem for several years. From 1929-31 to 1939-41, the infant death rate of Negroes fell much less rapidly than that of white persons; rates at ages 1-4 to 30-34 declined in about the same proportion for the two groups; but at ages over 40 Negro rates decreased to a greater degree than white rates (see table 1). Between 1939-41 and 1940-44 relatively little improvement occurred in Negro mortality at middle and later life, but among children and young adults in particular there was more progress than in the white population.1 The average length of life of Negro males at 1929-31 death rates would be 47.6

1The 1940-44 data are for nonwhite persons, but since 95 percent are Negroes the data for them would be almost the same.

Table 2.—DEATH RATES OF WHITE PERSONS AT SELECTED AGES, BY SEX, FOR THE UNITED STATES AND FOR THE STATES WITH LOWEST AND SECOND LOWEST RATES: 1940

[Deaths in 1940 in age group per 1,000 persons in age group at middle of year. Deaths registered in the United States have been increased by 3.1 percent to allow for incomplete registration. In the States included in the table the completeness of the registration of deaths is well above that for the Nation; hence State deaths have not been adjusted. The population under 5 has been increased to allow for incomplete reporting in the census]

		MALE	FEMALE				
AGE	Sta	ate	United	St	1		
	Lowest rate	Second lowest rate	States rate	Lowest rate	Second lowest rate	United States rate	
1 to 4 years 5 to 9 years 10 to 14 years 20 to 24 years 30 to 34 years 40 to 44 years 50 to 54 years	S. Connecticut. 1 6 Minnesota. 1 9 8. Connecticut. 0.7 New Hampshire. 0.9 9. Rhode Island. 0.8 Connecticut. 0.8 9. Rhode Island. 1.0 Connecticut. 1.5 10. South Dakota. 1.8 Rhode Island. 1.9 10. Rhode Island. 1.9 Rhode Island. 1.9 Rhode Island. 10. Rhode Island. 1.9 Rhode Island. 1.9 Rhode		50.6 2.8 1.2 1.1 2.4 3.2 6.3 14.3 31.6 70.1	Oregon 26.1 Connecticut 1.6 Connecticut 0.5 Connecticut 0.6 Rhode Island 1.1 North Dakota 1.3 North Dakota 3.2 North Dakota 6.2 North Dakota 16.0 Wyoming 42.7	Minnesota 28.3 Minnesota 1.6 Rhode Island 0.5 Massachusetts 0.6 Connecticut 1.1 Nebraska 1.9 Nebraska 3.3 South Dakota 6.6 Iowa 16.1 Nebraska 44.5	39.1 2.4 0.8 0.8 1.7 2.5 4.4 9.3 21.5 55.8	

years and at 1940–44 death rates would be 54.0 years; those of Negro females would be 49.5 and 57.3, respectively. The gains of 6.4 years for Negro males and of 7.8 years for Negro females in this period are well above those of 4.4 years for white males and 5.5 for white females. Increases in expectation of life at ages 20, 40, and 60 also were greater for Negroes than for white persons.

Significantly greater progress in reducing mortality and lengthening life has been made in some States than in others. For white males under 1 the 1940 death rate was lowest in Oregon (36.9) and second lowest in Minnesota (37.3), being in each case more than 25 percent below the average for the United States (50.6).2 (See table 2.) At ages 1-4 Connecticut and Minnesota were the two low States, their rates of 1.6 and 1.9 being, respectively, more than 40 and 30 percent below that of 2.8 for the Nation. The situation was similar in each age group from 5-9 to 60-64, the lowest State (Connecticut, Rhode Island, North Dakota, or South Dakota) having a rate 25 to 60 percent below the United States figure and the second lowest State having a rate close to the lowest State (see table 2). At ages 70-74 the differential narrowed, the lowest and next-to-lowest State rates (North Dakota and South Dakota) being less than 20 percent below the national rate. For white females the general pattern was much the same, but the differences between the rates for the Nation and the two low States were slightly smaller at most ages. National death rates for nonwhite persons of each age in 1940 were well above those of the lowest State, and the differences for both males and females were larger than those for white persons.

If the death rates at each age in the entire Nation could be reduced to the lowest figure reached by any State in 1940, the average length of life would be increased significantly. For white males it would be 68.4 years, an increase of 6.4 years over the actual national figure for 1939–40 (see table 3), and for white females it would be 71.8 years, an increase of 5.3 years. Gains at older ages would be smaller in numbers of years, though relatively larger. White males aged 20 would have 4.6 years added to their lives, those aged 40 would gain 3.7 years, and even the 60-year-olds would live 2.1 years longer. The lives of white females of the corresponding ages would be lengthened by 3.7, 2.9, and 1.9 years.

Table 3.—Average Future Lipetime Expected for White Persons at Selected Ages, by Sex, With Death Rates of the United States, 1939–40, and of the Lowest States, 1940

		MALE		FEMALE				
EXACT AGE	United States, 1939-401	Lowest States, 1940 ²	Differ- ence	United States, 1939-401	Lowest States, 1940 ²	Differ- ence		
Birth	62.0 47.3 29.6 14.7	68.4 51.9 33.3 16.8	6.4 4.6 3.7 2.1	66.5 50.8 32.8 16.6	71.8 54.5 35.7 18.5	5.3 3.7 2.9 1.9		

¹ From life tables computed by the Scripps Foundation for Research in Population Problems. Deaths registered in the United States have been increased by 3.1 percent to allow for incomplete recording. The values shown for 1939–40 differ very slightly from similar values for 1940.

During recent decades the States with low death rates at any given time have shown fairly well what the death rates of other States and the Nation would be a few years later. In general, national rates have followed those of the leading States most closely at the younger ages, and lagged most at the older ages. For native white males at most ages under 40 the lag was less than 10 years, the low death rates for Minnesota and Kansas during 1919-21 being artproached closely or surpassed by the Nation before the end of the 1920 decade, and the low rates for these States during 1929–31 being approached closely or surpassed by the Nation during the 1930's (see table 4). At present the lag at ages under 25 may be somewhat shorter, for the 1940-44 rates of the United States at ages 1 to 24 are about as low as those of Kansas and Minnesota in 1939-41. At ages 40-44 the leading States have been about 20 years ahead. The rate for the United States during 1929-31 was between the Indiana and Minnesota rates during 1910-11, and the national rate during 1939-41 was between the rates for Kansas and Indiana during 1919-21. At older ages the lag has exceeded 40 years. Even in 1940-44 the death rates for the United States at several ages above 50 were not as low as those of Indiana during 1900-1902.

If the past relationship between the current mortality rates of the Nation and the earlier rates of the States shown in table 4 continues in the future, the national death rate for ages under 40 will be reduced before 1950 to the 1940 level of the lowest States, and for ages 40–44 before 1960, but for the older ages the record of the best States in 1940 will not be equalled before the end of the century. If the underreporting of deaths in the United States has exceeded the estimates during recent years, this

²Because of the large amount of civilian interstate migration during 1941-44 and the military mobilization of millions of men, it is difficult to estimate accurately the population of each State in years after 1940. Consequently, discussion of recent State differentials in mortality is limited to 1940.

² From life tables computed by the Scripps Foundation, based on lowest death rates for States in table 2 and on corresponding rates for ages not shown in that table.

Table 4.—DEATH RATES OF NATIVE WHITE MALES AT SELECTED AGES, FOR THE UNITED STATES AND FOR SPECIFIED STATES WITH LOW RATES IN 1940: SPECIFIED PERIODS, 1900 TO 1941

[Average annual deaths in age group per 1,000 persons in age group on census date. Death rates for the United States have been adjusted for incomplete registration on the assumption that 96.5 percent of the deaths of native white males were registered in 1929-31 and 97 percent in 1939-41. No adjustment has been made for incomplete registration of deaths in the individual States shown. The population under 5 has been increased to allow for incomplete reporting in the census

AGE	UNITED S			Kansas³						
	1929-313	1939-41	1900-1902	1909-11	1919-21	1929-31	1939-41	1919-21	1929-31	1939-41
Under 1 year. 1 to 4 years. 5 to 9 years. 10 to 14 years. 20 to 24 years. 30 to 34 years. 40 to 44 years. 50 to 54 years. 60 to 64 years. 70 to 74 years. 75 years and over.	63.5 5.6 2.1 1.7 3.5 4.5 7.6 14.3 30.9 71.4	50.3 2.9 1.2 1.1 2.4 3.2 6.2 13.6 29.9 67.0 139.2	103.6 13.4 3.5 2.7 6.3 6.2 7.9 12.2 26.7 67.4 141.8	94.8 10.8 2.9 2.3 5.2 5.9 7.8 11.5 26.1 63.7 135.9	84.7 8.4 3.2 2.2 4.3 5.2 6.7 10.5 23.9 61.8 126.2	59.3 5.5 2.2 1.8 3.7 3.9 6.3 11.7 26.6 67.8 137.1	46.3 2.6 1.4 1.2 2.5 3.2 5.6 11.6 27.1 63.4 141.5	69.9 7.1 2.7 2.2 3.6 4.8 5.8 9.4 20.6 57.6 116.7	49.8 3.9 1.7 1.6 2.9 3.3 5.1 10.2 23.3 58.7 126.8	40.0 2.4 1.1 1.1 2.1 2.5 4.5 10.3 23.4 56.6 127.8

AGE		MA	ASSACHUSETT	rs ¹		MINNESOTA ²				OREGON ²	
Under 1 year	1900-1902	1909-11	1919-21	1929-31	1939-41	1910-11	1919-21	1929-31	1939-41	1929-31	1939-41
1 to 4 years 5 to 9 years 10 to 14 years 20 to 24 years 30 to 34 years 40 to 44 years 50 to 54 years 60 to 64 years 70 to 74 years 75 years and over	18.8 4.7 2.8 6.7 8.5 10.9 16.4 32.1	145.8 14.0 3.4 2.3 5.1 7.7 9.9 17.3 35.7 73.7 747.7	101.1 9.2 3.3 2.2 3.8 5.9 8.0 13.9 30.4 73.2 138.9	62.7 5.1 1.5 2.9 4.1 7.1 15.1 31.3 71.2 137.8	45.1 2.2 1.0 0.9 1.7 2.7 6.4 15.4 33.7 70.0	84.7 8.1 3.2 2.2 4.4 5.7 7.4 12.5 24.0 51.4 115.9	69.8 5.5 2.5 2.1 3.9 5.4 6.8 11.9 26.7 60.6 120.1	52.3 3.5 1.8 1.3 3.0 3.6 6.1 12.1 27.1 63.3 120.4	37.7 2.0 1.1 1.0 2.0 2.6 4.7 10.4 24.2 59.4 121.4	46.4 3.6 1.9 1.7 3.5 4.3 6.7 12.4 26.3 68.1 131.1	35.6 2.0 1.3 1.3 3.0 3.1 5.5 12.9 27.4 63.7 131.9

 $[\]bullet$ 1Indiana and Massachusetts were in the death registration area in 1900, when the annual reporting of deaths was begun.

²Kansas was admitted to the death registration area in 1914, Minnesota

in 1910, and Oregon in 1918.

 $^3\mathrm{Current}$ death registration area: Excludes Texas in 1929-31 and South Dakota in 1929.

schedule of reduction of mortality will be more difficult to achieve, for it is expected that death registration will become virtually complete in a decade or two. Moreover, to the extent that the low rates of certain States result from special advantages enjoyed by these States, they may be beyond the reach of the Nation as a whole. This does not mean, however, that the increase in average duration of life shown in table 3 is unobtainable. On the contrary, it is to be expected that death rates at the younger

ages will continue to decline after 1950 and 1960, and that these reductions of mortality will offset or more than offset any failure to attain the record of the most favored States for the older age groups. In short, to judge from the experience of the States with the lowest mortality, it should be possible to lower the death rates of the white population of the United States so that by the year 2000 the average male will live more than 68.4 years and the average female more than 71.8 years.

Table 5.—AVERAGE FUTURE LIFETIME EXPECTED FOR WHITE MALES AT SELECTED AGES WITH DEATH RATES OF THE UNITED STATES, 1939–40, AND OF FOREIGN COUNTRIES IN RECENT PREWAR YEARS

[The years included for each country are shown in parentheses at the right of the name of the country in the column for the youngest age at which it is listed]

Birth	Exact age 20	Exact age 40	Exact age 60		
Vetherlands (1931-40) 65.7 New Zealand (1934-38) 65.5 sweden (1936-40) 64.3 hustralia (1932-34) 63.5 Jenmark (1936-40) 63.5 Juited States (1939-40) 62.0 Norway (1921-31) 61.0 witzerland (1933-37) 60.7 hgland and Wales (1937) 60.2 iermany (1932-34) 59.9 Janada (1930-32) 59.0 outh Africa (1935-37) 59.0 reland (1935-37) 59.0	Netherlands 51.0 Denmark 50.3 New Zealand 49.9 Sweden 49.7 Australia 48.8 Canada 48.7 Germany 48.2 Norway 47.7 United States 47.3 England and Wales 47.1 Ireland 46.8 Italy (1930-32) 46.8 Switzerland 46.5	Netherlands 32.9 Norway 32.4 Sweden 32.4 Denmark 32.2 New Zealand 32.0 Canada 31.6 Australia 31.1 Germany 30.8 Italy 30.4 Ireland 30.3 United States 29.6 England and Wales 29.6 Belgium (1928-32) 29.5	Norway. 17 Sweden 16 Netherlands 16 Netherlands 16 New Zealand 16 Canada 16 Canada 16 Australia 17 Ireland 18 Italy 18 Italy 19 South Africa 15 United States 14 Latvia (1934–36) 14 Latvia (1934–36) 14 Latvia (1934–36) 14 Latvia (1934–36) 15 Latvia (1934–36) 16 Latvia (1934–36) 17 Latvia (1934–36) 17 Latvia (1934–36) 17 Latvia (1934–36) 18 Latvia		

Source: Figures for foreign countries are taken from *Population Index*, vol. 11, No. 3, July, 1945, pp. 249-250, and vol. 8, No. 3, July, 1942, pp.

244-245. Figures for the United States are taken from table 3.

Mortality trends in other countries

Before World War II several nations had surpassed the United States in lengthening the lives of their citizens. In five countries the prewar death rates would give newborn white males an average lifetime in excess of the 62.0 year figure for the United States, the longest being 65.7 years for the Netherlands (see table 5). For expected future lifetime at age 20 the United States ranked no better than 9th; at older ages it was in a progressively less favorable position. Similar comparisons for white females are somewhat more favorable to the United States, although this Nation was by no means in the leading position.

Although the expectation of life at birth was greatest in the Netherlands, the specific death rates at

some ages were higher there than in certain of the other nations. The Netherlands exceeded all other countries in preventing deaths of males aged 35-54 and females aged 20-44, and ranked second in preventing male deaths at ages under 1 and 10-34 and female deaths at age under 1 (see table 6). At other ages the first position was held by New Zealand, Denmark, or Norway, and the second by these countries or by Sweden or Australia. At no age level did the United States rank first or second. Several States, however, compare favorably with the leading foreign countries. At most ages under 60 the best State had attained a death rate as low as or lower than the best foreign country (compare tables 2 and 6). At the older ages the best States again fell behind the foreign record.

Table 6.—DEATH RATES OF WHITE PERSONS AT SELECTED AGES, BY SEX, FOR THE UNITED STATES, 1940, AND FOR COUNTRIES WITH LOWEST AND SECOND LOWEST RATES IN RECENT PREWAR YEARS

[Average annual deaths in age group per 1,000 persons in age group at midpoint of period. Deaths registered in the United States have been increased by 3.1 percent to allow for incomplete registration. No allowance is believed needed for the other countries. The years included for each country are given in parentheses at the right of the name of the country in the first column from the left in which it is listed. The last date shown for a particular country applies in every succeeding case where that country is listed]

ceeding ca	se where that country is listed	MALE		FEMALE				
AGE	Cour	ntry	United States	Country				
	Lowest rate	Second lowest rate	rate, 1940	Lowest rate	Second lowest rate	rate, 1940		
Under 1 year		Netherlands 41.0 Denmark 2.7 New Zealand 1.0 Netherlands 0.8 Netherlands 1.8 Netherlands 2.2 Denmark 4.1 Norway 9.0 Netherlands 20.3 Sweden (1937-38) 36.2	50.6 2.8 1.2 1.1 2.4 3.2 6.3 14.3 31.6	New Zealand 28,4 New Zealand 2,0 Denmark 0,6 Denmark 0,6 Netherlands 1,3 Netherlands 2,2 Netherlands 3,4 New Zealand 7,1 Norway 15,7 Norway 42,2	Netherlands	39.1 2.4 0.9 0.8 1.7 2.5 4.4 9.3 21.5 55.8		

Source: The rates for foreign countries are from League of Nations, Statistical Year-Book of the League of Nations for 1940-41 and 1941-42, Geneva, 1941 and 1943. The infant mortality rates given in the year-book

have been converted to central death rates. The rates for the United States are from table 2.

In the past the course of mortality in the younger age groups in the leading foreign countries has foreshadowed to some extent the trend of mortality in the United States. During the 1930's our infant death rates were similar to those of New Zealand 20 years earlier (compare tables 1 and 7). At ages 1 to 24 the United States followed the leading countries more closely, our rates during the 1930's resembling those of New Zealand some 5 to 15 years before. Above age 25 the lag increases, until at most ages above 60 it is necessary to go back to 1880 or earlier to find death rates in Norway as high as those of the United States during recent years.

There can be no assurance that the trend of mor-

tality in the United States will continue to resemble that of foreign countries as it has in the past. Nevertheless, the lowest foreign rates, like the lowest State rates, should represent attainable levels; hence they should provide a basis for estimating the possible reduction of our mortality. If our death rate at each age were to be reduced to the lowest level reached by any foreign country, the average white male in the United States would live 68.6 years, and the average white female 70.9 years. These would represent increases of 6.6 and 4.4 years over the prewar level (see table 8). These figures differ only fractionally from those obtained above by using the mortality of the leading States.

 $\begin{array}{c} \textbf{Table 7.--DEATH RATES OF MALES AT SELECTED AGES FOR NEW ZEALAND AND NORWAY: SPECIFIED PERIODS,} \\ \textbf{1867 TO } 1940 \end{array}$

[Average annual deaths in age group per 1,000 persons in age group	at midpoint of	period]
--	----------------	---------

		NEW ZEALAND ¹						· NORWAY ²						
	1900-1901	1911	1920-22	1935-36	1939-40	1867-70	1881-85	1901-05	1911-15	1921-22	1930-32	1938-39		
Under 1 year	6.5 2.4 1.8 4.1 4.8 7.1 15.3	68.2 5.3 2.1 1.8 2.8 4.6 7.1 13.7 26.2 63.1	54.5 5.0 2.1 1.5 3.1 4.2 6.4 11.5 25.1 59.6	36.0 2.7 1.4 0.9 2.4 2.3 4.6 23.0 55.8	38.7 2.4 1.0 1.0 2.2 2.4 4.2 10.4 24.0 57.8	126.9 22.8 6.5 3.9 9.1 8.4 10.5 16.8 28.9 67.8	115.8 23.2 7.9 4.4 9.2 8.0 9.2 14.2 25.7 58.0	92.9 10.9 3.6 3.2 9.7 7.8 8.6 12.7 23.1 51.5	75.8 8.0 3.3 2.6 8.5 7.0 7.9 12.1 22.9 52.4	61.9 5.5 2.3 2.0 7.2 6.1 6.3 10.4 21.9 50.9	54.3 3.8 1.5 1.6 4.9 5.8 9.2 20.7 48.8	45.1 3.1 1.1 3.0 3.8 5.2 9.0 19.4 48.8		

¹From Statistical Year-Book of the League of Nations, 1941-42, Geneva, 1943; Report on the Vital Statistics of the Dominion of New Zealand, 1942; and New Zealand Official Year-Book, 1913, 1925, and 1942.

²From Annuaire Statistique de la Norvege, for 1931 and 1938, Oslo, 1931 and 1938, and Statistical Year-Book of the League of Nations, 1941-42.

Table 8.—Average Future Lifetime Expected for White Persons at Selected Ages, by Sex, with Death Rates of the United States, 1939–40, and of the Lowest Country in Recent Prewar Years

		MALE			FEMALE	
EXACT AGE	United States ¹	Lowest country ²	Differ- ence	United States ¹	Lowest country ²	Differ- ence
Birth	62.0 47.3 29.6 14.7	68.6 52.3 34.0 17.3	6.6 5.0 4.4 2.6	66.5 50.8 32.8 16.6	70.9 53.8 35.5 18.6	4.4 3.0 2.7 2.0

¹ Taken from table 3.

To summarize, it may be difficult if not actually impossible for the United States to reduce the death rates in the older age groups to the lowest points currently maintained in the leading States and foreign countries. In contrast, however, it should be quite feasible during the next 50 years to reduce the mortality among children and young adults to or below the present State and national minimum levels. Since improvement of mortality at the younger ages has much more effect on the average length of life than improvement at the older ages, the better outlook for attaining or surpassing the present record low points of mortality among young people should more than compensate for the poorer prospect for comparable improvement of mortality at the older ages. It is entirely conceivable, therefore, that by the year 2000 the national averages for the length of life of white males and females will exceed 69 and 71 years, respectively, a greater average longevity than now prevails in any considerable body of people.

Mortality trends by cause of death

An examination of trends in mortality by specific causes gives further evidence concerning the opportunity for extending the average length of life. Through a combination of scientific progress, general economic betterment, and expanded public health programs, certain diseases which were important causes of death 40 years ago have been almost eliminated, while the mortality from others has been greatly reduced. Typhoid fever and diphtheria each killed more than 28 persons annually out of every 100,000 of the population in 1900-1902, and measles, scarlet fever, and whooping cough more than 10, but now each takes a toll of not more than 1 or 2.3 (See table 9.) The bronchitis death rate has been reduced by a larger absolute amount in the same period, from over 40 to less than 3, and the pneumonia and influenza rate still more, from nearly 190 to less than 65. The largest reduction of all is in tuberculosis mortality, the rate for which has been cut from over 165 to less than 35. A numerically smaller but proportionately larger reduction has been achieved in diarrhea and enteritis, from over 122 to fewer than 10 deaths per 100,000 persons per annum. The effect on average longevity of this latter saving of life is particularly marked since the greater part of the mortality from these intestinal diseases occurs among young children.

The foregoing and following statements regarding death rate trends from 1900-1902 to 1939-41 based on table 9 are probably not distorted appreciably by the inclusion of nonwhite persons in the earlier periods but their exclusion in the later periods, since excluding nonwhite persons lowers the death rate for all causes only slightly, from 1,636.2 to 1,620.4 in 1900-1902 and from 1,427.7 to 1,405.1 in 1909-11. The changes in the registration area have probably exaggerated the declines somewhat, for the death rates in the registration States of 1900-1902 and in the current death registration States for the periods in question are, respectively, as follows: All classes, 1909-11, 1,517.7 and 1,427.7; white, 1919-21, 1,304.0 and 1,203.8; 1929-31, 1,152.1 and 1,088.9; and 1939-41, 1,097.3 and 1,027.6.

² From life tables computed by the Scripps Foundation, based on lowest death rates for countries in table 6 and on corresponding rates for ages not shown in that table.

Table 9.—DEATH RATES BY IMPORTANT CAUSES OF DEATH IN THE REGISTRATION STATES, 1900-1902 TO 1939-41, IN KANSAS AND WISCONSIN, 1939-41, AND IN NEW ZEALAND, 1909-11 AND 1937-39

[Average annual deaths from cause listed per 100,000 persons alive at midpoint of period. Rate not shown where less than 0.1]

		RE	GISTRATION ST	ATES		Kansas	NEW ZI	EALAND
CAUSE	All cla	sses		White		and Wisconsin, 1939–41		
	1900-1902	1909–11	1919–21	1929-31	1939-41		1909-111	1937-392
All causes	1,636.2	1,427.7	1,203.8	1,088.9	1,027.6	996.7	944.1	932.7
Typhoid and paratyphoid fever	28.4 5.1 10.0	20.9 1.6 10.8	7.3 1.9 5.9	3.5 1.6 2.9	0.9 0.6 0.9	$0.3 \\ 0.1 \\ 0.8$	2.3	0.5 0.1 3.8 0.2
Measles	11.7 11.1	10.4 10.9 0.5	4.6 8.2 1.6	2.3 4.3 3.2 5.4	$\begin{array}{c} 0.5 \\ 2.0 \\ 0.5 \\ 1.1 \end{array}$	0.7 1.3 0.3 0.3	1.6 6.9 13.8 8.3	$\begin{array}{c} 0.8 \\ 0.4 \\ 1.7 \end{array}$
Diphtheria. Pneumonia (all forms) and influenza	$ \begin{array}{r} 34.5 \\ 186.9 \\ 166.1 \\ 20.1 \end{array} $	19.8 149.8 134.1 20.9	$ \begin{array}{c} 16.6 \\ 168.1 \\ 85.8 \\ 12.6 \end{array} $	109.7 51.6 6.5	$63.6 \\ 33.7 \\ 2.9$	56.3 21.6 1.8	54.2 356.2 20.0	60.6 32.8 6.6
Tuberculosis (other forms)	412.5 65.6	413.9 74.8	414.2 86.5 16.7	$\begin{array}{c} 11.6 \\ 101.9 \\ 20.2 \end{array}$	$9.9 \\ 124.1 \\ 26.8$	7.9 129.4 27.6	1.8 75.9 11.9	3.8 117.9 19.8
Diabetes mellitus	105.9	$14.8 \\ 94.4 \\ 156.1 \\ 22.8$	91.1 154.3 11.6	$\begin{array}{c} 87.1 \\ 212.4 \\ 4.2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 86.8 \\ 291.1 \\ 2.9 \end{array}$	97.0 284.6 2.8	34.4 128.2 26.7 42.1	55.8 271.6 12.7 4.7
Bronchitis. Diarrhea, enteritis, and ulceration of the intestines ⁷ Appendicitis Hernia and intestinal obstructions ⁸	$\begin{array}{c} 122.1 \\ 8.9 \\ 12.1 \end{array}$	101.3 10.7 12.0	51.7 13.0 10.3 7.5	$21.3 \\ 15.3 \\ 10.1 \\ 7.3$	9.4 9.5 8.7 8.9	5.5 10.6 8.8 7.9	9.0 5.5 4.3	7.5 5.5 1.9
Cirrhosis of the liver	12.0	13.5 93.8 15.3	84.9 16.3	85.0 11.2	75.1 5.5	70.6 4.9	25.1 12.3	37.3 6.8
Nephritis (all forms). Diseases of pregnancy, childbirth, and the puerperium Congenital malformations and diseases peculiar to first year of life. Senility.	47.9	89.7 25.2 15.8	$\begin{array}{c} 81.6 \\ 12.3 \\ 12.0 \end{array}$	58.6 8.5 16.6	47.4 6.5 14.8	44.6 8.1 14.5	964.0 62.9 11.5	45.6 23.9 11.
Suicide Homicide Motor vehicle accidents Other accidents	1.2	4.8 101.7 81.2	5.3 1010.8 59.0	5.5 26.9 52.3	$\begin{array}{c} 3.1 \\ 27.2 \\ 46.0 \end{array}$	1.4 25.6 45.4	61.0	$ \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 0. \\ 15. \\ 23. \end{array} \right. $

¹From New Zealand Official Year-Book, 1913, p. 177.

1909-11 rate for New Zealand is based on deaths due to organic heart disease, arteriosclerosis, embolism, and thrombosis.

In contrast to the large measure of success achieved in the control of mortality due to epidemic and infectious diseases is the relatively small reduction in the rates of death from certain other causes. Intracranial lesions of vascular origin4 killed 105.9 persons of each 100,000 in 1900-1902 and 86.8 in 1939-41; a slightly smaller decline occurred for nephritis (from 89.7 to 75.1); the rate for accidental deaths was almost unchanged (76.2 and 73.2); while cancer and diseases of the heart kill now at about twice the former rate and each is responsible for many more deaths than any other cause. Part of the greater incidence of cancer and of certain of the so-called degenerative diseases is apparent rather than real, because diagnosis has become more accurate⁵ and because there has been an increase in the proportion of

4Also referred to as apoplexy.

the population in the older age groups where these causes of death are most prevalent. But aside from such factors the increase in the rates for these causes has been sufficiently large to justify the attention given to them in the national health program.

Whether or not the over-all death rate will decline substantially during the next 50 years will depend in part on the continuation of the progress made in controlling such diseases as tuberculosis, influenza, and pneumonia. Here the outlook is favorable, for the more widespread utilization of present knowledge regarding the causes and control of these diseases would lower the number of deaths to a point substantially below the present levels. There is also a reasonable expectation that more effective measures for the control of these diseases will be developed, and that they will be applied to larger sections of the population, as public health programs are intensified and extended. The experience of Kansas

²From Report on the Vital Statistics of the Dominion of New Zealand, 1939. p. 26.

³Based on deaths from phthisis.

Excludes aneurysm of the aorta.

⁵Includes all embolism and thrombosis, except puerperal, in registration States, 1900-1920. 1909-11 rate for New Zealand is based on deaths due to apoplexy and cerebral hemorrhage, and 1937-39 rate on cerebral hemorrhage, embolism, and thrombosis.

⁶Excludes disease of coronary arteries in registration States, 1900-1920.

Tincludes ulcer of duodenum in registration States, 1909-20; excludes ulceration of the intestines in New Zealand.

⁸Excludes adhesions of intestines in registration States, 1900-1920, and hernia in New Zealand, 1909-11.

Based on deaths due to congenital debility and premature birth.

¹⁰ Excludes collisions of automobiles with trains and street cars, and motorcycle accidents, 1909-20.

⁵For example, deaths attributed to senility have dropped from 47.9 to 6.5 per 100,000 persons.

and Wisconsin—two States with relatively low death rates and in the registration area for many years—is encouraging in this connection. The 1939–41 death rate from tuberculosis of the respiratory system was 21.6 in these two States combined as compared with 33.7 for the United States, a difference of over one-third. For pneumonia and influenza the difference was smaller, the rates being 56.3 and 63.6, respectively. In contrast, the experience of New Zealand is discouraging, for in spite of a significantly longer expectation of life than in the United States the death rate for these causes is about as large there as here.

Although the degenerative diseases have not as yet been brought under control, there is continued hope for the future. Because certain damaging infectious diseases (e.g., scarlet fever, diphtheria, and typhoid fever) have almost been eliminated, a substantial reduction should occur in the organic impairments and after-effects so common with such diseases. As these sequelae are reduced in frequency there should be a reduction in the number of organic breakdowns or a postponement of these breakdowns until later in life. Similar gains should result from the more recent campaigns to control venereal disease. For this reason and because of improved techniques for early diagnosis, there should be some reduction in the mortality from the degenerative diseases even without the discovery of better methods for their prevention or treatment. In view of the great amount of research being done on the causes and control of cancer, it is quite possible that the number of deaths from this disease will be much lower before many years pass.

The longer life expectancy in New Zealand than in the United States is due in part to lower death rates for the degenerative diseases, the rate for diseases of the heart being lower by several points. Striking differences are found also with nephritis, for which the recent New Zealand rate of 37.3 is about half that of the United States (75.1), and with cirrhosis of the liver, for which the New Zealand rate of 1.9 is less than one-fourth that of the United States (8.9). The New Zealand rate for intracranial lesions of vascular origin, as shown in table 9, is also well below that for the United States, but part of the difference is due to differences in classification of deaths by cause. The lower rate for diarrhea and enteritis in New Zealand (4.7) than in the United States (9.4) is more important than its size indicates, because a large majority of these deaths occur during infancy and thus have a maximum effect on life expectancy.

Hypothetical mortality trends in the United States, 1945—2000

A study of the material presented above leads inevitably to the conclusion that death rates at most ages in the United States will be lower in future years than they have been recently. Opinion may vary widely, however, as to exactly how large the reductions will be, how rapidly they will occur, and how they will differ for age groups, white and nonwhite persons, and males and females. In consequence, it has seemed desirable in the preparation of these forecasts of future population to make three alternative sets of mortality assumptions, designated as "high mortality," "low mortality," and "medium mortality." The first represents the smallest declines in the age-specific death rates that seem probable, the second the largest declines that are considered reasonable, and the third a position approximately midway between the extremes.

With each of these assumptions it is possible to extrapolate past trends according to some formula and arrive at hypothetical death rates for any future year. An alternative procedure is to consider past trends and the likelihood of future changes, form an opinion as to the percentage reduction in death rates to be expected by a given future year, and obtain rates for intervening years by interpolation. The former method may seem to have the advantage of being less influenced by personal bias, nevertheless the personal element would remain in the choice between two or more formulas fitting past trends equally well but giving different results for the future. More important, the extrapolation of past trends according to such formulas might lead to future rates which would seem incompatible with present knowledge regarding causes of death and means of controlling them. After some experimentation with both methods, the second alternative was chosen as the more desirable for the purpose at hand. Three sets of estimates were made regarding the probable relative decrease of the age-specific death rates of native white males between 1939-40 and 2000. $^{\rm 6}$ (See table 10.) Applying these percentages to the 1939-40rates gave the high, medium, and low death rates for 2000. Corresponding rates for native white females, foreign-born white males and females, and nonwhite males and females were then obtained by narrowing the differential between the rates for each of these

⁶These years were chosen because 1940 was the latest year for which data were available when the report, Estimates of Future Population of the United States, 1940-2000, was prepared for the National Resources Planning Board, and 2000 was the last year of the period dealt with in that report.

Table 10.—DEATH RATES OF NATIVE WHITE MALES IN THE UNITED STATES, 1929–30 TO 1940–44, AND PROJECTED RATES, 1960, 1975, AND 2000

[Average annual deaths in age group per 1,000 persons in age group at midpoint of period]

				1	HIGH ASSU	MPTIONS		м	EDIUM AS	SUMPTION	s	1	LOW ASSU	MPTIONS	
AGE	1929-30¹	1939-40 ¹	1940-442	1960	1975	2000	Percent decrease, 1939-40 to 2000 ³	1960	1975	2000	Percent decrease, 1939-40 to 2000 ³	1960	1975	2000	Percent decrease 1939-40 to 2000
Under 1 year	5.6 2.2 1.7 2.7 3.6 4.0 4.6 5.6	49.4 2.9 1.2 1.2 1.8 2.4 2.6 3.2 4.3 6.2	46.7 2.4 1.1 1.5 2.1 2.4 3.0 4.1 6.0	30.4 1.7 0.9 0.9 1.2 1.6 1.8 2.3 3.1 4.8	27.7 1.7 0.8 0.8 1.1 1.4 1.6 2.1 2.9 4.5	27.2 1.6 0.7 0.7 1.1 1.4 1.6 2.1 2.8 4.4	45 43 40 40 40 40 40 35 35 36	29.9 1.6 0.7 0.8 1.0 1.4 1.6 2.0 2.8 4.0	24.7 1.4 0.6 0.6 0.8 1.1 1.3 1.6 2.4 3.4	22.2 1.3 0.6 0.5 0.7 1.0 1.2 1.4 2.2 3.1	55 55 55 60 60 55 55 50	29.7 1.6 0.7 0.7 0.9 1.2 1.4 1.8 2.6 3.5	23.0 1.2 0.5 0.5 0.7 0.8 1.0 1.2 1.9 2.5	19.7 1.0 0.4 0.3 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 1.5 2.2	60 65 70 75 75 75 70 70 65
45 to 49 years	10.2 14.4 21.1 30.8 47.8 71.6 109.6 166.0	9.2 13.6 20.6 30.0 44.2 66.6 106.1 160.4 238.5 329.6	8.9 13.4 20.1 29.9 44.0 65.9 100.1 160.8 226.6 326.9	7.9 12.5 19.8 29.9 44.0 65.9 100.1 160.8 226.6 326.9	7.4 12.4 19.6 29.9 44.0 65.9 100.1 160.8 226.6 326.9	7.3 12.3 19.6 29.9 44.0 65.9 100.1 160.8 226.6 326.9	20 10 5	6.2 10.4 17.6 27.7 42.7 65.9 100.1 160.8 226.6 326.9	5.3 9.2 15.9 26.2 42.0 65.9 100.1 160.8 226.6 326.9	5.0 8.9 15.4 25.5 42.0 65.9 100.1 160.8 226.6 326.9	45 35 25 15 5	5.3 8.2 14.6 22.5 33.6 57.1 97.9 160.8 226.6 326.9	4.0 6.0 10.5 17.4 28.7 54.3 95.7 160.8 226.6 326.9	3.7 5.4 9.3 15.0 26.5 53.3 95.5 160.8 226.6 326.9	60 60 55 50 40 20 10

¹From life tables computed by the Scripps Foundation for Research in Population Problems. Registered deaths have been increased by 3.6 percent in 1929-30 and 3.1 percent in 1939-40 to allow for incomplete recording, and the number of children under 5 increased by 7 percent to allow for underenumeration in the census. South Dakota and Texas are not included in 1929-30.

²From life tables computed by the Bureau of the Census. Registered deaths have been increased by 2.5 percent and the number of children under 5 by 6.5 percent.

³Percent decrease in the death rates between 1939-40 and 2000 assumed for the 1943 report. Leaders indicate those ages where no change was assumed. In the present report the 1940-44 rates for these ages have been held constant.

groups and those for native white males.7

After the hypothetical death rates were set for the year 2000, trend lines were chosen for interpolating during the period from 1939-40 to the end of the century. It was assumed that the greater part of the decline in mortality before 2000 would occur by 1990, that there would be little if any decline after 2000, and hence that the trend lines would be nearly horizontal by 2000. Experimentation with several conventional types of curves indicated that for most ages a simple logistic curve would best meet these requirements, pass through the observed rates for 1929-30 and 1939-40 and the assumed rates for 2000, and give reasonable values for years prior to 1929. This type of curve was accordingly used in the interpolations for the high mortality assumptions at all ages and for the low assumptions at ages under 40. At older ages simple logistic curves gave values for the low mortality assumptions that were much too high when projected back to years before 1929; hence it was necessary to modify them empirically to decrease the rate of decline during the decades immediately preceding 1930 and following 1940. The medium trend values were set approximately midway between the high and the low series (see table 10).

The hypothetical mortality trends given by this procedure are characterized by a relatively large decrease at the younger ages and a relatively small decrease at the older ages (see fig. 1). This pattern is followed exactly by the high mortality assumptions, which provide for a 45 percent reduction in infant mortality rates between 1939-40 and 2000 and a gradually diminishing reduction until age 60, above which point a continuation of the 1939-40 death rates is assumed. The high mortality assumptions for the year 2000 are somewhat below the prewar rates attained by any foreign country at ages under 30, but the differences are not large numerically (see tables 6 and 10). Only at ages 0-4 and 10-14 are the high mortality assumptions for 2000 less than the lowest State rates in 1940. With high mortality the average future lifetime of native white males would be increased by the end of the century to 66.2 years at birth, 49.1 at age 20, 30.7 at age 40, and 15.0 at age 60 (see table 11). This is considered the least improvement that is to be expected.

⁷While accepting full responsibility for the mortality assumptions that were used in the report, Estimates of Future Population of the United States, 1940-2000, Thompson and Whelpton expressed their appreciation for suggestions received from W. R. Williamson of the Social Security Board, Leon E. Truesdell and Halbert L. Dunn of the Bureau of the Census, and Harold F. Dorn of the United States Public Health Service.

FORECASTS OF THE POPULATION OF THE UNITED STATES




FIGURE 1.—DEATH RATES OF WHITE MALES IN THE UNITED STATES, IN SELECTED STATES, AND IN FOREIGN COUNTRIES, 1900 TO 1944; PROJECTED RATES FOR NATIVE WHITE MALES IN THE UNITED STATES, 1945 TO 2000

Table 11.—AVERAGE FUTURE LIFETIME EXPECTED FOR NATIVE WHITE MALES AT SELECTED AGES, FOR THE UNITED STATES, 1929–30 TO 1940–44, AND PROJECTED VALUES, 1960, 1975, AND 2000

[For basis of computation, see table 10, footnotes 1 and 2. Values for future years taken from life tables based on rates shown in table 10]

For D	asis of cor	прискион	, see table	10, 100011											
				нісн	MORTALIT	Y ASSUMP	rions	MEDIUM	I MORTALI	TY ASSUM	PTIONS	LOW	MORTALIT	Y ASSUMPT	rions
EXACT AGE	1929-30	1939-40	1940-44	1960	1975	2000	Increase, 1939-40 to 2000	1960	1975	2000	Increase, 1939-40 to 2000	1960	1975	2000	Increase, 1939-40 to 2000
Birth 20 years 40 years 60 years 50	45.9 29.2	62.6 47.6 29.9 14.9	63.3 47.9 30.1 15.0	65.6 48.9 30.5 15.0	66.0 49.0 30.6 15.0	66.2 49.1 30.7 15.0	3.6 1.5 0.8 0.1	66.6 49.8 31.3 15.2	67.8 50.5 31.8 15.3	68.6 50.9 32.0 15.4	6.0 3.3 2.1 0.5	68.2 51.4 32.9 16.3	70.6 53.1 34.3 17.0	72.1 54.1 34.9 17.3	9.5 6.5 5.0 2.4

A different age pattern of mortality reduction is followed in the low mortality assumptions. Here the hypothetical reduction of the death rates between 1939-40 and 2000 is 60 percent for infants, rises to 75 percent in the teen ages and early adult life, and then falls to 10 percent at ages 75-79. By far the most important departure from the present record low point of mortality occurs under 1 year of age, the rate of 19.7 per 1,000 assumed for the year 2000 being 15 points below the lowest New Zealand rate (34.6 in 1941) and 16 points below the lowest State rate (35.7 in Connecticut in 1942).8 Between ages 1 and 60 the differences between the rates for the year 2000 and the lowest rates for States or foreign countries could not be large in absolute numbers for the rates are small, but they exceed 60 percent at ages 10-14 and 25 percent at other ages under 60. If these reductions in death rates occur, the average future lifetime of native white males in 2000 will be 72.1 years at birth, 54.1 years at age 20, 34.9 years at age 40, and 17.3 years at age 60. To achieve such longevity seems within the range of possibility, but it will require outstanding progress in medicine and public health.

The smaller percentage decrease in the death rate for infants than in the death rates at the immediately succeeding ages in the low mortality assumptions may need explanation. In recent years about 30 percent of the infant deaths have been due to premature birth and more than 10 percent to congenital malformations. While infant death rates for all the other important causes were reduced sharply (45 to 90 percent) between 1900 and 1943, the rate for premature births declined only 10 percent and that for congenital malformations rose nearly 10 percent. Because deaths from these causes are influenced in part by a wide variety of factors affecting prenatal

health and in part by the biological ability of the reproductive mechanism to function perfectly, their prevention may continue to be difficult. Between the ages of 1 and 45, however, the irreducible minimum in the light of present and anticipated future knowledge is further below current mortality rates; hence larger percentage decreases appear possible.

In the medium assumptions the percentage declines of death rates from 1939-40 to 2000 are approximately midway between those of the high and low assumptions. They result in an average future lifetime for native white males of 68.6 years at birth, 50.9 years at age 20, 32.0 years at age 40, and 15.4 years at age 60. The figure for infants at birth is approximately the same as those based on the lowest death rates achieved in 1940 in a State or a foreign nation (68.4 and 68.6 years, respectively, as shown in tables 3 and 8). At adult ages, however, the medium values for future lifetime are less than those of the leading States or nations. This difference increases with age, the medium expectancy of 15.4 years at age 60 being significantly below the 16.8 years of the low States and 17.3 years of the low nations.

As mentioned earlier, the death rates for native white males were used as the basis for obtaining the rates for other groups. Three principles were followed in this connection. The first is that past differences between the death rates of native white, foreign-born white, and nonwhite persons of the same sex and age are due chiefly to differences in socioeconomic status rather than to inherent biological differences, and hence are subject in large measure to human control. The second is that an important part of the difference between the age-specific death rates of males and females of the same race and nativity group is due to biological differences which are not subject to this measure of control. Although these two principles cannot be demonstrated beyond question, they are supported by the available information. The third principle is that the larger the decline in the native white male death rates by the

⁸It should be remembered that these are central death rates (the number of deaths under 1 divided by the midyear population under 1) and not infant mortality rates (the number of deaths under 1 divided by the number of births).

year 2000, the smaller the differentials will become. This is derived from the first two, for a larger decline implies a greater control and therefore a closer approach to the biological minimum of mortality.

In accordance with these principles the foreignborn white males and the nonwhite males were assigned death rates for the year 2000 which exceeded those of the native white males at each age level by a specified fraction of the corresponding percentage difference in 1939-40. In the high assumptions the fraction used was three-fourths, in the medium assumptions it was one-half, and in the low assumptions it was one-fourth. The corresponding fractions for years between 1940 and 2000 were obtained by straight line interpolation. Since the mortality of foreign-born white males was lower than that of nonwhite males in 1939-40, this procedure gave death rates for nonwhite males which decreased more rapidly than those for foreign-born white males, which in turn decreased more rapidly than those of native white males.

Death rates for native white, foreign-born white, and nonwhite females were obtained from the corresponding rates for males. In the high mortality assumptions the percentage by which the male death rate exceeded the female death rate at each age was left unchanged. In the medium assumptions it was reduced by one-sixth between 1940 and 2000, and in the low assumptions it was reduced by one-third.

The foregoing discussion of mortality assumptions relates with minor exceptions to those made in 1942 and used in preparing the previous series of esti-

mates. At that time detailed mortality statistics were available for 1940 but not for later years; hence the death rates to be applied to the 1940 population in computing the number of survivors in 1945 were estimated as explained above. The projected rates and the resulting numbers of persons dying and surviving may now be compared with the corresponding figures based on the current estimates for the 5-year period.

According to the projected mortality trends the number of deaths between April 1, 1940, and April 1, 1945, excluding deaths due to World War II, was expected to be between 7,552,000 (high assumptions) and 7,444,000 (low assumptions), and close to 7,513,000 (medium assumptions).9 The current estimate of the number of deaths which would have occurred without war losses is 7,311,000; hence the medium mortality assumptions give an estimate of deaths which is too high by about 202,000, or 2.8 percent (see table 12). The purpose of forecasts of population, however, is not to show the probable number of deaths, but rather the probable number of survivors. The estimate for this group on April 1, 1945, based on the medium mortality rates (with no allowance for war deaths), is too low by the same amount, i. e., 202,000, but the relative difference is only about 0.1 percent, which is too small to be important.

⁹When the previous report was written (in the autumn of 1942) there was no adequate basis for estimating how many deaths would be caused by the war. For this reason war deaths were ignored in making the mortality assumptions, and special tables were prepared to show the amount to be deducted from the future population to allow for the war deaths of each 100,000 white males and 10,000 nonwhite males.

Table 12.—COMPARISON OF THE CURRENT ESTIMATE AND THE FORECAST OF DEATHS, BY COLOR AND SEX, FOR THE UNITED STATES, APRIL 1, 1940, TO APRIL 1, 1945, AND SURVIVORS ON APRIL 1, 1945

		 	DEATHS ¹			SURVIVORS ²						
COLOR AND SEX Current estimate	Forecast			Percent deviation of medium forecast from	Current estimate	·	Forecast		Percent deviation of medium forecast			
	High mortality	Medium mortality	Low mortality	current estimate		High mortality	Medium mortality	Low mortality	from current estimate			
All classes	7,311	7,552	7,513	7,444	+2.8	139,681	139,440	139,479	139,548	———		
White	6,333 3,587 2,746	6,529 3,631 2,898	6,503 3,613 2,890	6,445 3,577 2,868	+2.7 +0.7 +5.2	124,997 62,620 62,377	124,801 62,576 62,225	124,827 62,594 62,233	124,885 62,630 62,255	-0.1 -0.2		
Nonwhite	978 527 451	1,023 546 477	1,010 539 471	999 533 466	$^{+3.3}_{+2.3}$ $^{+4.4}$	14,684 7,209 7,475	14,639 7,190 7,449	14,652 7,197 7,455	14,663 7,203 7,460	-0.2 0.2 0.3		

¹Adjusted for underregistration; excludes excess deaths resulting from the war.

2Includes survivors of children born between Apr. 1, 1940, and Apr. 1,

1945. In computing survivors, the population figures were adjusted for underenumeration of children and the births for underregistration.

The forecast of white persons surviving is closer to the current estimate than is that for nonwhite persons, the former being 0.1 percent too low and the latter 0.2 percent too low. In other words the white-nonwhite mortality differential was reduced more rapidly than was anticipated. The medium estimate of the number of white males surviving is very close (within 26,000), but the white females surviving were underestimated by 0.2 percent. Nonwhite survivors were underestimated for both sexes, but the error for females (0.3 percent) is larger than that for males (0.2 percent). With both white and nonwhite persons the excess mortality of males did not decrease as had been expected.

A much more rigorous test of the accuracy of the mortality assumptions may be made from rates for the various color, age, and sex groups. For white males the medium projected rates are within 2.1 per 1,000 of the currently estimated rates, except at ages 70–79 where mortality is high and the population relatively small.¹⁰ (See table 13.) Even at these ages the relative differences are less than three percent. The differences between the projections and the current estimates for white females are 1.6 or less per 1,000 at ages under 50, but are 3.0 or larger at ages

over 50. Again, however, the relative differences are small (less than 7.0 percent) at these older ages. The medium assumptions for nonwhite persons differ from the current estimates by less than 2.0 per 1,000 at ages from 10–14 to 40–44, but by larger amounts at most of the other ages. The most important difference occurs in the group born between April 1, 1940, and April 1, 1945, the forecast of mortality being too low by 12.0 per 1,000 for males and 11.2 for females. But even here the effect on survivors is small relatively, the forecast being too high by less than 1.3 percent.

In view of the close agreement in most cases between the current estimates and the medium assumptions for color-age-sex specific mortality rates during 1940–45 when the excess deaths due to the war are omitted, it seemed advisable in this revision to make minimum changes in the estimated rates for 2000 (obtained as explained above), and to modify the rates for the 1945–2000 period so that they follow smoothed curves connecting the current estimates for 1940–45 and the earlier forecasts for 2000. Accordingly, no changes have been made in the rates for 2000 except at those ages where the rates had earlier been assumed to remain constant between 1939–40 and 2000 but actually changed somewhat

Table 13.—COMPARISON OF CURRENTLY ESTIMATED DEATH RATES AND PROJECTED DEATH RATES ACCORDING TO MEDIUM ASSUMPTIONS, BY COLOR, AGE, AND SEX, FOR THE UNITED STATES: 1940–45

[Deaths during the 5-year period 1940 to 1945 per 1,000 persons grouped by age at the beginning of the period. The current estimates were computed according to the formula 1,000—1,000 $\frac{5^L X + 5}{5^L X}$ from unpublished life tables prepared by the Bureau of the Census on the basis of registered deaths for the years 1940 to 1944. The medium projections are those used in the earlier report. Rates are adjusted for underregistration and exclude the excess deaths resulting from the war]

		WHITE MALE	E	w	HITE FEMAI	Æ	NO	NWHITE MA	LE	NOI	WHITE FEM	ALE
AGE IN 1940	Current estimate	Medium projection	Deviation of projection from current estimate	Current estimate	Medium projection	Deviation of projection from current estimate	Current estimate	Medium projection	Deviation of projection from current estimate	Current estimate	Medium projection	Deviation of projection from current estimate
Born in 5-year period Under 5 years 5 to 9 years 10 to 14 years 20 to 24 years 20 to 24 years 30 to 34 years 35 to 39 years 45 to 49 years 45 to 49 years 55 to 59 years 60 to 64 years 65 to 69 years 75 to 79 years 80 to 84 years	9.4 5.3 6.8 8.8 11.5 13.2 17.5 24.8 36.8 55.4 81.8 119.4 171.1 242.2 339.7 476.6	47.0 11.1 3.2 6.5 9.4 11.3 13.1 17.1 24.2 36.3 55.2 82.6 120.4 171.6 244.1 1348.3 480.9 625.7	-0.8 +1.7 -2.1 -0.3 +0.6 -0.2 -0.1 -0.6 -0.5 -0.5 +0.8 +1.0 +1.0 +1.5 +4.3 +0.6	37.8 7.9 3.8 3.9 6.6 8.0 9.8 12.6 17.2 24.4 35.5 52.1 78.9 121.8 187.1 283.6 423.0 579.3	37.2 8.9 2.2 4.5 6.7 8.6 10.8 13.4 17.8 25.4 37.1 55.1 184.2 127.2 294.2 430.1 592.9	-0.6 +1.0 -1.6 +0.6 +0.1 +0.1 +0.6 +1.0 +0.8 +1.0 +1.6 +3.0 +5.3 +5.4 +8.1 +10.6 +7.1 +13.6	86. 1 16. 7 8. 2 12. 9 24. 0 34. 5 41. 7 51. 2 66. 0 89. 0 122. 0 153. 8 180. 3 230. 9 297. 1 352. 1 414. 8 506. 6	74.1 18.1 4.6 13.1 24.7 34.6 42.1 51.2 65.5 87.7 120.8 159.0 219.0 267.5 350.1 431.6 548.6	-12.0 +1.4 -3.6 +0.2 +0.7 +0.1 +0.4 0.5 -1.3 -1.2 +4.6 +11.7 -11.9 -29.6 -20.6 +16.8 +42.0	70.9 14.6 7.1 13.0 23.7 29.7 34.9 44.1 57.9 75.6 102.4 135.2 164.0 205.8 250.7 283.9 325.6 395.4	59.7 15.4 4.0 14.2 25.2 31.2 36.8 44.4 58.3 77.2 106.0 143.5 179.0 195.5 228.1 300.2 352.4 432.8	-11.2 +0.8 -3.1 +1.2 +1.5 +1.5 +1.9 +0.3 +0.4 +1.6 +8.3 +15.0 -10.3 -22.6 +16.3 +26.8 +37.4

¹⁰The comparison is between death rates representing the number of deaths between Apr. 1, 1940, and Apr. 1, 1945, per 1,000 persons grouped by age on Apr. 1, 1940. These rates are comparable to those computed from a life table by the formula 1,000-1,000 $\frac{5Lx+5}{5Lx}$

¹¹The larger differences at certain other ages, e.g., ages 80-84, have a much less important effect on the forecasts because of the much smaller number of persons involved.

between 1939-40 and 1940-45. The 1940-45 rates for these ages were in most cases lower than those for 1939-40 and 2000. This occurred with the medium mortality assumptions for native white males at ages 70-74 and over, for foreign-born white and nonwhite males at ages 75-79 and over, and for white and nonwhite females at ages 65-69 and over. The differences between the 1939-40 rates and the 1940-45 rates for whites are small, however, and those for nonwhites may be affected in important degree by the incorrect reporting of the age of elderly nonwhite persons. In these few cases it is assumed in this revision that the currently estimated 1940-45 rates continue unchanged. At other ages the trends between the currently estimated 1940-45 rates and the projected rates for 2000 which are used in this revision are obtained from those previously established, the divergences found for 1940-45 being reduced to zero by 2000.12 The resulting central death rates are shown in table 10 and figure 1.13

While it is not expected that the actual course of mortality in the future will follow exactly any of the assumed trends, it is believed that it will be between the high and the low, and nearest to the medium series.

B. FERTILITY TRENDS, 1945-2000

Past fertility trends in the United States

Changes in fertility have been larger and less regular than those in mortality during past years. and are likely to be so in the future. Although it is true that there have been important fluctuations in the death rate from year to year under the conditions of modern civilization, notably because of epidemics or war, most changes have been gradual decreases due to the development of medical and sanitary science. The birth rate, in contrast, is more directly dependent on individual behavior, hence it has varied more widely both up and down. Changing economic and social conditions not only affect the opportunity that people have for marrying and for raising families, but also influence their attitudes toward assuming such responsibilities. For these reasons an analysis of past trends of fertility, while aiding in the formation of opinion concerning future developments, must be supplemented with more information on underlying causes and attitudes than is the case with mortality.

The recording of births in the United States developed less rapidly than the recording of deaths, the national birth registration area being formed 15 years after the death registration area commenced to function on a yearly basis. Beginning in 1915 with 10 States and the District of Columbia, the birth registration area grew to 23 States in 1920, to 46 in 1930, and became Nation-wide in 1933. Since birth data have been available for the entire United States for such a short time, it is fortunate that another measure of fertility, the ratio of children to women, is available for census years as far back as 1800. In

Table 14.—CHILDREN UNDER 5 YEARS OF AGE, PER 1,000 WOMEN 20 TO 44 YEARS OF AGE, BY RACE AND NATIVITY OF WOMAN, FOR THE UNITED STATES, BY DIVISIONS: 1800 TO 1940

[In an attempt to improve the comparability of white and Negro ratios, all ratios have been adjusted for underenumeration of children, and all except those for whites in 1800 to 1820 have been standardized indirectly to the age distribution of women in the United States in 1930. The number of enumerated white children under 5 in the United States has been increased by 5 percent, and the number of Negro children by 13 percent, these being factors obtained from a study of data for 1925-30. Leaders indicate that data are not available]

		-			TOTAL	WHITE					Native	}
YEAR	United States	New England	Middle Atlantic	East North Central	West North Central	South Atlantic	East South Central	West South Central	Mountain	Pacific	white, United States	Negro, United States
800. 810. 820. 830. 840. 850. 860. 860. 870. 880. 890. 900. 910. 920. 9330.	1,342 1,358 1,295 1,145 1,085 892 905 814 780 685 666 631 604 506 419	1,164 1,111 980 826 770 636 639 564 520 456 497 505 543 467 365	1,334 1,365 1,244 1,044 951 776 648 563 567 554 562 447	1,918 1,777 1,683 1,473 1,280 1,037 1,016 892 781 668 620 576 570 482 407	1,915 1,768 1,685 1,685 1,122 1,118 1,012 930 797 731 650 605 520 452	1,402 1,382 1,330 1,189 957 940 833 879 802 802 802 720 618 480	1,875 1,794 1,708 1,530 1,424 1,115 1,056 922 952 873 855 836 760 680 556	1,446 1,483 1,369 1,310 1,061 1,103 953 1,066 994 942 861 706 586 492	!!	896 1,035 916 808 600 532 478 447 357 358	594 555 499 426	

¹²In most instances the relative divergence is reduced one-eleventh in each 5-year period (there being 12 such periods from 1940-45 to 1995-2000, inclusive). Thus, if the currently estimated rate for 1940-45 is 11 percent higher than the projected rate, the revised rates are obtained by increasing the previous forecast for 1945-50 by 10 percent, for 1950-55 by 9 percent, etc. In a few cases, however, the convergence is accelerated in order to avoid a rate between 1940-45 and 2000 below the estimate for 2000.

¹³The 5-year survival rates actually used in the computation of forecasts to 1975 are given in appendix table A for each 5-year period, 1945-50 to 1970-75, by color, nativity, age, and sex.

its customary form this ratio shows the number of children under 5 per 1,000 women of childbearing age. It depends primarily on the births in the 5 years preceding the census date, but is affected in minor degree by child mortality during that period.

Caution must be exercised in the use and interpretation of both birth rates and ratios of children to women because of the underregistration of births and underreporting of young children. Since this matter is discussed in section C all that need be said here is that the fertility rates for the United States and its subdivisions which are presented in this section have been adjusted for underreporting.

The ratios of children to women for census years from 1800 to date show clearly that the long-time trend of fertility in the United States has been downward and that a great decrease has occurred. In 1800 there were approximately 1,342 children under 5 for every 1,000 white women aged 20-44. After 1810 the ratio fell rapidly, reaching 1,085 in 1840, 780 in 1880, 604 in 1920, and 419 in 1940 (see table 14). The 1940 figure is less than half of that for 1860 and less than one-third of that for 1800. A more accurate measure of fertility should show a larger decline, for during the 140-year period there was a decrease of over 80 percent in infant and child mortality. Ratios of children to women can be computed for Negroes from 1850 to 1940. During this period the fertility of Negro women, like that of white women, dropped by a little over 50 percent.

A much more accurate idea of fertility trends since 1920 is given by age-specific birth rates, that is, the number of births per thousand women aged 15–19, 20–24, and so on up to 45–49. Rates for the various age groups can be used separately or can be combined to obtain single figures showing the average number of births per 100 women living through the childbearing period and the average number of females borne by such women (the gross reproduction rate).¹⁴

From the end of the demobilization after World War I to the worst year of the depression, there was a large and almost uninterrupted drop in the gross reproduction rate. In 1921 the rate for native white women in the birth registration area was 155, but by 1933 it was only 104, a decline of 33 percent (see table 15). From 1936 to 1943 the long-time

downward trend was not followed, for the rate rose 25 percent and the 1943 rate of 130 was the highest

Table 15.—Birth Rates by Age of Mother, Births per 100 Women Living to Age 50, and Gross Reproduction Rates, for Native White Women in the United States, 1920 to 1945, and for Nonwhite Women, 1930 to 1945

[Stillbirths are excluded. Births in the registration area have been increased to allow for incomplete recording. Births outside the registration area (in 1920 to 1932) have been estimated according to the method explained by Whelpton, P. K., "Corrected Birth Rate Tables by States, 1918-21, 1929-31," in National Resources Committee, Population Statistics, 2. State Data, Government Printing Office, Washington, 1937]

COLOR AND		BIRT	TH RAT	E ¹ FOR	WOME	N AGE	p—		Births	Gross
NATIVITY OF WOMAN AND YEAR	15 to 49 years²	15 to 19 vears	20 to 24 years	25 to 29 years	30 to 34 years	35 to 39 years	40 to 44 years	45 to 49 years	per 100 women living to age 505	repro- duc- tion rate ⁶
NATIVE WHITE				,			١	,		
1920 1921 1922 1923 1924	99 101 94 94 94	55 59 54 54 58	166 166 153 152 154	160 164 155 155 153	122 125 119 120 120	85 87 80 80 79	35 35 33 32 32	5 4 4 4	314 320 299 298 300	152 155 145 145 143
1925 1926 1927 1928 1929	88 86 81	57 55 55 52 49	148 144 144 138 133	148 142 139 131 127	116 112 109 101 94	76 72 71 67 62	31 29 28 26 24	4 4 3 3 3	290 279 274 259 246	140 136 133 126 119
1930 1931 1932 1933 1934	74 71 67	50 47 45 42 44	136 129 125 117 123	128 123 119 113 117	95 89 85 80 82	61 59 56 53 52	24 23 23 21 21	3 3 2 2	249 236 228 214 220	121 115 111 104 107
1935 1936 1937 1938 1939	67 68 70	44 44 45 47 45	123 124 128 132 127	115 114 116 120 118	79 77 78 80 80	51 48 46 46 45	20 18 17 17 16	2 2 2 2 2 2	217 214 216 222 216	106 104 105 108 108
1940	70 74 82 85 79	46 48 53 54 47 43	131 142 162 160 146 133	123 131 147 153 140 135	82 85 91 99 98 100	45 45 47 53 54 56	15 15 14 15 16 16	2 2 1 1 1 1	222 234 259 268 251 242	100 113 12 130 12 111
NONWHITE										
1930 1931 1932 1933	92 94 90	114 107 108 104 110	165 155 159 155 164	123 115 117 113 114	100 93 94 90 92	70 64 64 60 60	29 27 28 26 26	8 6 7 6 6	303 284 288 277 286	149 140 141 130 141
1935 1936 1937 1938 1939	87 90 91	110 107 116 120 123	160 154 161 160 160	114 111 113 114 114	85 79 80 81 80	60 57 57 57 55	25 23 22 21 19	6 5 4 4	280 268 277 279 278	13: 13: 13: 13: 13: 13:
1940 1941 1942 1943 1944 1945	92 96 99 103 101	125 132 138 141 131 131	168 176 184 191 187 179	116 119 122 129 133 134	83 86 88 92 95 94	54 56 58 64 67 70	21 21	3 3	285 297 306 320 318 316	14 14 15 15 15
		1						1.	1	1

1Births during year to women in a specified age group per 1,000 midyear female population in that age group.

4Includes births to women 50 years of age and over.

5It is assumed that (a) the age-specific birth rates in the columns to the left apply to a cohort throughout the life span and (b) no women die before age 50. The figures in this column are obtained by multiplying by five the figures in the seven columns to the left (because each of them is for a 5-year age group), adding the products, and dividing by 10.

6These rates are obtained by multiplying "births per 100 women living to age 50" by the percentage of girls among the babies of native white or nonwhite mothers in the year indicated.

¹⁴Adding the age-specific birth rates per 1.000 women by 5-year age groups and dividing by 2 gives the average number of births per 100 women living through the childbearing period subject to those rates. Multiplying this figure by the percent of babies that are girls gives the conventional gross reproduction rate per 100 women. In computing both types of rates it is assumed that the women who die before the end of the childbearing period have the same age-specific birth rates prior to death as the women who live to the end of the childbearing period.

²Births to women of all ages per 1,000 female population 15 to 49 years of age.

³Includes births to women under 15 years of age.

since 1927. From 1943 to 1945, however, a decline occurred again, the 1944 rate being 122 and the 1945 rate 118.¹⁵ On the basis of the information which is available, it appears that the variations from year to

15The record-breaking rise in the number of births during the last half of 1946 probably will raise the gross reproduction rate of native white women in that year to about 140, or approximately to its size in 1925. The relative increase from 1945 to 1946 (estimated at 19 percent) is the largest annual change on record. (The 1946 rate cannot be computed accurately until births during 1946 have been tabulated by color, nativity, and age of mother. These data probably will not be available until December. 1947.)

year of the gross reproduction rate for nonwhites usually have been in the same direction as those for native whites, but somewhat smaller.

Wide differences are found in the trends of the birth rates for native white women in different 5-year age groups within the childbearing period. Rates for the 3 groups of younger women (aged 15–19, 20–24, and 25–29) have fluctuated the most, dropping about 30 percent from 1921 to 1933 and then regaining most of the loss by climbing 28 to 37 percent from

Table 16.—DISTRIBUTION OF 1,000 NATIVE WHITE AND NONWHITE WOMEN IN SELECTED AGE GROUPS BY MARITAL STATUS, AND OF EVER MARRIED WOMEN BY NUMBER OF CHILDREN EVER BORN, FOR THE UNITED STATES: 1940 AND 1910

COLOR, NATIVITY,	Total	Single			EVE	R MARRIED WO	MEN WHO HAD	BORNE ² —	•	
AGE, AND YEAR	women	women ¹	No child	One child	Two children	Three children	Four children	Five or six children	Seven to nine children	Ten or more children
NATIVE WHITE ³ 20 to 24 years: 1910	- 1,000 1,000	513 481 —6	118 191	184 19 <u>6</u>	114 91	49	16 8	5 3		
25 to 29 years: 1910 1940 Percent change	1,000 1,000	269 229 —15	+62 127 210 +65	+7 185 246 +33	-20 168 172 +2	-39 116 80 -31	—50 73 37	40 53 23	8 4	
30 to 34 years: 1910 1940 Percent change	1,000 1,000	179 149 —17	115 176 +53	153 219 +43	159 204 +28	125 113 —10	96 63 34	—57 120 55 —54	50 19 62	
35 to 39 years: 1910	1,000 1,000	140 118 —16	102 153 +50	125 190 +52	139 200 +44	120 130 +8	98 79 —19	144 80 —44	108 42 —61	; ; (
0 to 44 years: 1910	1,000 1,000	119 105 —12	96 140 +46	112 173 +54	128 194 +52	115 133 +16	97 88 —9	144 94 35	131 55 —58	_
5 to 49 years: 1910	1,000 1,000	105 98 —7	90 142 +58	103 161 +56	122 182 +49	110 133 +21	100 91 —9	152 105 —31	142 64 —55	
0 to 54 years: 1910	1,000	96 100 +4	85 145 +71	92 151 +64	114 172 +51	109 131 +20	98 92 —6	160 112 —30	159 70 —56	
5 to 64 years: 1910 1940 ercent change	1,000 1,000	84 103 +23	81 145 +79	86 137 +59	107 158 +48	106 127 +20	99 95 —4	165 119 —28	173 81 —53	; (
NONWHITE 5 to 49 years: 1910 1940 ercent change	1,000	48 51 +6	83 203 +145	90 138 +53	79 131 +66	73 106 +45	75 85 +13	131 126 —4	179 98 45	24 6 —7
0 to 54 years: 1910 1940 ercent change	1,000 1,000	47 49 +4	74 168 +127	80 124 +55	77 124 +61	68 117 +72	66 100 +52	132 140	186 107	26 7 —7
to 64 years: 1910	1,000 1,000	40 46 +15	65 152 +134	74 113 +53	71 125 +76	67 110 +64	71 99 +39	130 148 +14	191 119 38	29 8 —7

 $^{^{1}}$ The question as to number of children ever born was not asked of single women in the 1940 census.

same age

²No adjustment has been made for understatement of the number of children born to ever married (i.e., married, widowed, and divorced) women for whom this question was answered. It is assumed that ever married women for whom the question was not answered were distributed by number of children ever born like other ever married women of the

³From Bureau of the Census, Population—Differential Fertility, 1940 and 1910—Women by Number of Children Ever Born, Government Printing Office, Washington, 1945, pp. 7 and 9.

⁴From Bureau of the Census, Population—Differential Fertility, 1940 and 1910—Fertility for States and Large Cities, Government Printing Office, Washington, 1943, pp. 7 and 10.

1933 to 1943. From 1943 to 1945, however, declines of 11 to 19 percent occurred. At ages 30-34 the changes were about as large as those for younger women and included a drop of over 38 percent from 1921 to 1936, a smaller rise (nearly 30 percent) from 1936 to 1943, and a slight increase (1 percent) from 1943 to 1945. Birth rates of older women fell farther and until a more recent year. At ages 35-39 the low point reached in 1940 was barely 50 percent of the 1921 rate, and only one-fourth of the loss was recovered from 1940 to 1945. At ages 40-44 the decline lasted until 1942, the rate in that year was barely 40 percent of the 1921 rate, and the rise from 1942 to 1945 was less than one-tenth of the decline. Finally, the rate for women aged 45-49 was only onefifth as large in 1945 as in 1921, and the decline apparently had not stopped. In general, therefore, the younger the age, the less the 1945 rate is below that for 1921 on a relative basis. Among nonwhite women similar differences are found since 1930 in the trends of the birth rates of the various age groups.16

Changes in the distribution of families by number of children

Persons familiar with population trends have known for many decades that the large decline in birth rates in the United States was being accompanied by a substantial reduction in the proportion of families with many children and a corresponding increase in the proportion with few or none. Only since 1943, however, has there been accurate information regarding the character and scope of these changes in the Nation as a whole. In that year the first of a series of reports showing the distribution of ever married women in 1910 and 1940 by the number of children ever born to them, and the changes which took place during this 30-year period, was published by the Bureau of the Census.17 Among native white women aged 45-49 (nearly all of whom had completed their families) the proportion that had borne five or more children fell almost 48 percent, the proportion with three or four children changed relatively little, and the proportion with no child, or with one or two, jumped over 52 percent (see table 16). Among nonwhite women there was little change in the proportion that had borne four, five, or six children, but large decreases occurred in the proportion with seven or more children, and large increases in the proportion with three or fewer. Similar changes took place between 1910 and 1940 among women aged 50–54 and 55–64 on these census dates.

Comparisons for younger women are of interest, though of less value because there is no way of determining the number of children borne by these women after the years in question. Such comparisons show changes for younger women like those for older women, namely, a large increase in the proportion with small families and a large decrease in the proportion with large families. For native white women the proportion married but childless jumped between 46 and 65 percent at ages under 45 from 1910 to 1940 (see table 16). In addition, large increases (over 28 percent) occurred in the proportion with only 1 child at ages 25-44 and with 2 children at ages 30-44. Important decreases are found in the proportion with 2 or more children at ages 20-24, with 3 or more children at ages 25-29, with 4 or more at ages 30-39, and with 5 or more at ages 40-44.

The foregoing shifts in the distribution of families by size have been accompanied by corresponding shifts in birth rates by order of child in the family, i.e., in the number of first births, of second births, etc., per 1,000 women aged 15-49. Rates for the higher birth orders have had the steepest downward trend, and those for the lower orders the slightest downward trend. Near one extreme for native white women are the rates for eighth or higher order births, which fell from 6.8 in 1921 to 2.5 in 1942 and 1945 (a drop of 63 percent), and in only 2 years (1921 and 1943) were fractionally higher than in the preceding year.18 (See table 17.) At the other extreme are the rates for first births. They declined from 32.6 in 1921 to 22.2 in 1933, their drop of 32 percent being three-fourths as large as that for eighth and higher order births in the same period. But instead of continuing to fall after 1933 they began a rise which carried them to 35.8 in 1942, over 60 percent above 1933 and nearly 10 percent above 1921. From 1942 to 1945, however, there was another rapid decline, which lowered this rate to 26.9 and cancelled two-thirds of the 1933-42 rise. In spite of this reversal the long-time straight line trend has been slightly upward. Rates for intermediate birth

¹⁶The exceptionally large increase in births in the last half of 1946 probably occurred primarily because of demobilization, and affected age-specific birth rates for women under 30 in greater degree than those for women 30 or older. The extent of this differential cannot be determined accurately until early in 1948.

¹⁷Bureau of the Census, Population—Differential Fertility, 1940 and 1910
—Fertility for States and Large Cities, Government Printing Office, Washington, 1943: Population—Differential Fertility, 1940 and 1910—Women by Number of Children Under 5 Years Old, Government Printing Office, Washington, 1945: Population—Differential Fertility, 1940 and 1910—Women by Number of Children Ever Born, Government Printing Office, Washington, 1945.

¹⁸Births in States outside the registration area have been estimated as noted in table 15.

orders followed intermediate trends, the general relationship being the higher the order, the longer and larger the decline, and the shorter and smaller the subsequent rise. The second birth rate fell from 21.6 in 1923 to 15.6 in 1933 and 1935 (over 25 percent), rose to a new high of 23.8 in 1943, and then receded to 21.4 in 1945. The long-time straight line trend was slightly downward. For third births the low rate of 9.0 in 1936-37 was nearly 40 percent below the high rate of 14.7 in 1921, and less than three-fifths of the loss was recovered by 1944. The fourth birth rate was almost halved from 1921 to 1939, and less than one-fourth of the drop was regained by 1944. The fifth birth rate fell nearly 55 percent, and the sixth and seventh birth rates over 60 percent, from 1921 to 1942, with a recovery by

Table 17.—Birth Rates by Order of Birth, for Native White Women in the United States, 1920 to 1945, and for Nonwhite Women, 1930 to 1945

[Births of specified order per 1,000 female population 15 to 49 years of age Birth order refers to number of children ever born alive to mother. See head note to table 15]

			<u> </u>				
COLOR AND NATIVITY OF WOMAN AND YEAR	First births	Second births	Third births	Fourth births	Fifth births	Sixth and seventh births	Eighth and higher births
NATIVE WHITE	·						
1920 1921 1922 1923 1924	31.8 32.6 28.6 27.8 28.9	21.2 21.0 21.4 21.6 20.9	14.5 14.7 13.6 13.8 14.1	9.9 10.2 9.4 9.4 9.3	6.8 6.9 6.5 6.5 6.4	8.3 8.6 7.9 8.0 7.8	6.5 6.8 6.4 6.4
1925	28.0	20.2	13.6	9.0	6.2	7.5	6.1
1926	27.0	19.8	13.0	8.8	5.9	7.2	5.8
1927	27.0	19.2	12.6	8.6	5.8	7.0	5.7
1928	25.9	18.3	11.9	7.9	5.4	6.4	5.3
1929	25.0	17.6	11.2	7.4	5.0	6.0	4.9
1930	25.9	17.7	11.2	7.3	5.0	5.9	4.7
1931	24.6	17.0	10.6	6.9	4.6	5.6	4.5
1932	23.5	16.5	10.3	6.7	4.5	5.4	4.3
1933	22.2	15.6	9.7	6.3	4.2	5.0	4.0
1934	23.9	15.9	9.8	6.3	4.2	4.9	4.0
1935	25.1	15.6	9.3	6.0	3.9	4.6	3.8
	25.3	15.9	9.0	5.6	3.7	4.3	3.5
	26.4	16.3	9.0	5.5	3.5	4.1	3.4
	27.6	17.3	9.2	5.4	3.5	4.0	3.2
	26.8	17.3	9.1	5.2	3.2	3.7	2.9
1940 1941 1942 1943 1944 1945 NON-	27.4 30.4 35.8 32.8 28.4 26.9	18.4 19.2 21.4 23.8 22.3 21.4	9.6 9.8 10.5 12.1 12.4 12.0	5.3 5.4 5.5 6.3 6.4 6.3	3.2 3.2 3.2 3.6 3.6 3.5	3.6 3.4 3.3 3.6 3.6 3.5	2.9 2.7 2.5 2.7 2.6 2.5
WHITE 1930 1931 1932 1933 1934	29.2	17.6	12.7	9.8	7.7	10.5	11.5
	26.5	16.6	12.0	9.4	7.1	10.1	10.8
	26.9	17.0	12.2	9.4	7.1	10.1	11.0
	25.4	16.3	12.0	9.2	7.0	9.7	10.4
	26.8	16.6	12.2	9.4	7.1	9.8	10.9
1935	27.0	16.6	11.9	9.2	6.9	9.3	10.1
1936	25.6	16.4	11.4	8.7	6.6	8.8	9.5
1937	26.9	17.0	11.9	8.8	6.7	9.0	9.7
1938	27.4	17.1	12.2	8.9	6.7	9.1	9.5
1939	27.0	17.4	12.1	8.9	6.6	8.9	9.3
1940	26.5	17.9	12.8	9.4	6.9	9.2	9.6
	27.9	19.0	13.3	9.5	7.2	9.4	9.7
	29.2	19.7	13.8	9.8	7.3	9.4	9.6
	29.4	20.9	14.4	10.4	7.6	9.9	10.1
	27.4	20.0	14.7	10.8	7.9	10.3	10.3
	26.9	19.3	13.9	10.6	8.1	10.5	10.8

1944 of one-ninth or less in each case. Rates for each order were lower in 1945 than in 1944. 19

Trends of birth rates for nonwhite women by order of child can be determined fairly accurately since 1930. They resemble in a general way those for native white women, but the depression drop and recovery rise were smaller and the differences between the trends for the various birth orders are less marked. For first, second, and third births the low year was the same for nonwhite as for native white women (1933, 1933, and 1936, respectively), but for fourth and higher order births the rates for nonwhite women reached the low point and started upward from 3 to 6 years earlier than the rates for native white women. Perhaps the most important difference is the smaller decrease from 1930-34 to 1940-44 in the rates for fourth and higher birth orders for nonwhite women than in those for native white women. For the latter the 1940-44 rates were below those of 10 years earlier by 14 percent for fourth births, 25 percent for fifth births, 35 percent for sixth and seventh births (combined), and 38 percent for eighth and higher order births (combined). But for nonwhite women there were increases of 6 and 2 percent, respectively, for fourth and fifth birth rates, and declines of only 4 percent for sixth and seventh birth rates and of not quite 10 percent for eighth and higher order birth rates. Furthermore, although the rates for births of each order to native white women declined from 1944 to 1945, those for fifth and higher order births to nonwhite women rose during this period.

Regional differences in fertility

Important regional differences in fertility are known to have existed for 150 years and probably date back another century or more. In 1800 the ratio of children to white women was highest in the East North Central States (1,918) and lowest in New England (1,164). (See table 14.) In 1940 it was highest in the East South Central States (556) and lowest in the Middle Atlantic States (337). At both times the low ratio of children to women was almost exactly three-fifths of the high.

Age-specific birth rates of native white women in the various divisions can be computed for years centering on the last three censuses. Differences in these rates resemble in general those in the ratios of children to women, for the ranking of the divisions ac-

¹⁹The upward surge in the number of births during the last half of 1946 probably raised the rates for each order of birth and may have set new high marks for first, second, and third births. The tabulations of 1946 births by order should be available early in 1948 and will be of unusual interest.

cording to the birth rate at any age is close to that according to the broader ratio. Birth rates for the years in question were highest in the East South Central States for women aged 15–19 and 30–49, and in these States or the Mountain States for women in their twenties (see table 18). At the other extreme, rates were lowest in the New England and the Middle Atlantic States for women under 25, and in the Pacific States for those aged 25–49. On a relative basis the difference between the highest and lowest regional rate was large (nearly 3 to 1) at ages 15–19, narrowed to less than 2 to 1 at ages 25–29, and then increased to a maximum (over 4 to 1) at ages 45-49.20

20For 1939-41 the percent which the low rate is of the high rate is as follows: New England, 33 percent of East South Central at ages 15-19; Middle Atlantic, 57 percent of Mountain at ages 20-24; Pacific, 75 percent of Mountain at ages 25-29; Pacific, 63 percent of East South Central at ages 30-34, 42 percent at ages 35-39, 28 percent at ages 40-44, and 21 percent at ages 45-49.

Table 18.—Birth Rates by Age of Mother and Gross Reproduction Rates, for Native White Women in the United States, by Divisions: 1918–21, 1929–31, and 1939–41

See	notes	to	table	15

		occ not	,					
		ві	RTH RA	re for	WOMEN	AGED-		Gross
DIVISION AND YEAR	15 to 19 years	20 to 24 years	25 to 29 years	30 to 34 years	35 to 39 years	40 to 44 years	45 to 49 years	repro- duc- tion rate
UNITED STATES: 1918-21	52 49 46	160 133 133	157 126 124	121 93 82	83 61 45	33 24 15	4 3 2	148 118 109
New England: 1918-21	29 28 24	117 106 104	133 119 119	100 89 84	60 52 42	· 20 17 12	2 1 1	112 100 93
Middle Atlantic: 1918-21	38 33 25	132 110 102	135 112 113	98 78 75	61 46 36	23 16 10	3 1 1	119 96 88
East North Central: 1918-21 1929-31 1939-41	46 43 40	152 127 131	147 121 124	110 86 80	71 53 42	28 20 13	3 2 1	135 110 105
West North Central: 1918–21	42 42	154 131 134	162 134 130	126 100 88	87 65 50	36 26 17	4 3 2	148 121 112
South Atlantic: 1918-21	63	193 151 154	192 143 131	158 110 91	118 77 55	50 32 20	6 4 2	191 141 126
East South Central 1918-21	81 75 75	209 169 169	203 156 143	167 125 102	126 88 67	55 38 26	8 4 3	206 159 142
West South Central 1918-21	68	193 151 166	179 133 130	142 99 87	108 70 51	45 29 18	5 3 2	181 134 127
Mountain: 1918–21 1929–31 1939–41	61	198 172 178	179 152 147	136 108 97		42 30 20	6 3 2	173 145 137
Pacific: 1918-211929-311939-41	41		122 89 111	58	31		1	82

When the characteristics of the regions are considered it is obvious that relatively high fertility rates have been associated throughout the past with rural or agricultural areas, and relatively low fertility rates with urban or industrial areas. The tendency has been for the more urban and industrialized States to lead in the decline in childbearing, and for the more rural and agricultural States to follow 50 to 80 years later. During most of the period the Nation has been from 30 to 50 years behind the region with lowest fertility. The lag has narrowed during recent decades, however, for the gross reproduction rate of 112 for the Pacific States in 1918-21 was reached by the Nation in 1932, only about 12 years later. If similar relations hold in the future, the national rate will decline to 90 before 1960 (that is, to the 1939-41 rate of the New England and Middle Atlantic States), and 100 native white women living to age 50 will have only 185 children. These figures are well below the gross reproduction rate of about 105 and the 216 births per 100 women living through the reproductive period that will be required to maintain a stationary population with the lower death rates which are expected during 1950-60.

Past fertility trends in other countries

A marked decline of fertility is not peculiar to the United States, but has occurred in most of the countries of Western civilization. In a few of them birth rates began to decrease early in the nineteenth century; in several more the decline began about 1875; in nearly all of them rates were much lower during the five years preceding World War II than during those before World War I. Accurate figures are not available for all countries and for all years, but those which are available show the long-time downward trend in unmistakable terms.

Gross reproduction rates for 1871–75 have been computed for Norway, Sweden, Finland, England and Wales, France, Germany, and Italy, and estimated for the United States. At that time the rate for France was relatively low (168), and rates for the other countries were bunched between 215 (Sweden) and 255 (Germany). (See table 19.) Between 1871–75 and the early 1920's substantial declines occurred in the rate for each of these nations, the largest being a drop of over 50 percent in Germany (from 255 to 124) and the smallest slightly over 20 percent in Italy (from 238 to 190). Even in France, where the rate was only 168 at the beginning of this period, the decrease was almost 30 percent. The gross reproduction rates computed for other

Table 19.—GROSS REPRODUCTION RATES IN SELECTED COUNTRIES: SPECIFIED PERIODS, 1871 TO 1939

[Births have not been increased to allow for incomplete recording except in the United States. Leaders indicate that data are not available]

COUNTRY	EARLY YEARS		SOON AFTER WORLD WAR I		SHORTLY BEFORE WORLD WAR II	
	Period	Rate	Period	Rate	Period	Rate
United States ¹ Northern and western Europe:	1871-76	225	1918-21	148	1938-39	
Norway. Sweden. Finland. Denmark. Netherlands	1871-76 1871-75 1871-75 1878-84	222 215 239 222	1920-21 1921-25 1921-30 1921-25	168 124 140 138	1938-39 1938-39 1938-39 1938-39	1 1 1
France. Germany. Czechoslovakia	1871-75 1871-75 1871-75	235 168 255	$\begin{array}{c c} 1930-31 \\ 1921-25 \\ 1921-25 \\ 1921-25 \\ 1921-25 \\ \end{array}$. 143 118 118 124	1938-39 1938-39 1938-39	1: 1: 1:
outhern and eastern Europe: Portugal	1895-1900	248	1928	158 97	1934 1935–36	1
Hungary Poland	10/1-/0	238	1930-31 1921-25 1920-21 1927-28	187 190 183	1938-39 1935-37 1938-39	16 14 15
Oceania:	1901-05	318	1927-28 1921-26 1921	195 250 188	1933-36 1933-36	19
Australia New Zealand apan	1908-13	168	1921-25 1921-22 1925	146 144 260	1938-39 1938-39 1938-39 1937	14 10 11 21

1White women in 1871-76; native white women in later years. The rate for 1871-76 is a rough approximation.

²White women.

Source: The rates for foreign countries are from: Kuczynski, Robert R., The Measurement of Population Growth, Sidgwick and Jackson, Ltd., London, 1935, pp. 122-124; Statistique Generale de la France, Reproduction Nette en Europe, Imprimerie Nationale, Paris, 1941; and Population Index, School of Public Affairs, Princeton University, and Population Association of America, Inc., vol 7, No. 2, April, 1941, pp. 154-158, and vol. 11, No. 2, April, 1945, pp. 152-156. The rates for the United States were computed by the Scripps Foundation.

nations before World War I show similar changes. During 20 to 30 years there was a 30 percent drop in Hungary, one of 21 percent in Bulgaria, andlargest of all — a fall of over 60 percent in Austria.

The downward trend of fertility before World War I continued between World Wars I and II and affected nations with low birth rates as well as high. Austria had one of the low gross reproduction rates in the 1920's (97 in 1928); nevertheless, the 1935-36 rate of 77 was down by 21 percent. In Sweden, Finland, Denmark, England and Wales, France, Germany, Australia, and New Zealand - all of which had relatively low rates (between 115 and 150) in the early 1920's — there were declines of 10 to 30 percent by the late 1930's.

Most of the countries with medium gross reproduction rates (between 150 and 200) in the early 1920's had larger percentage declines. In Norway, Czechoslovakia, Italy, Hungary, Poland, and the Union of South Africa, the fall was between 20 and 45 percent. Portugal is an exception when rates for 1930-31 and 1938-39 are compared (the decrease was less than 12 percent), but might not be if the comparison could be based on rates for years around 1925. Countries with high gross reproduction rates (200 or more) after World War I — Bulgaria and Japan — had large declines on an absolute basis (83 and 45 points, respectively) and relative declines of 33 and 17 percent.

In most of the nations under consideration the long-time trend toward small families would have sufficed by itself to cause low birth rates during the early 1930's. As it was, however, the world-wide depression forced fertility to still lower levels in many cases, and the economic recovery of the late 1930's brought some rise. In Sweden the gross reproduction rate reached a low of 82 in 1933-35, then rose to 90 in 1938-39. In Norway, Denmark, and New Zealand similar trends were displayed. In Germany the larger rise from 80 in 1933 to approximately 110 in 1938-39 may be attributable at least in part to the various measures adopted to increase family size.

Accurate information as to fertility trends during World War II is available for relatively few foreign nations. In Portugal, the gross reproduction rate declined from 166 in 1938-39 to 154 in 1940-41 (continuing the past trend), but in Sweden it fluctuated between 88 and 92. War and military occupation caused a sharp decline from 1939 to 1941 in Belgium (103 to 80), a somewhat smaller decline in France (106 to 90), and only a little change in the Netherlands (129 to 126) and Denmark (104 to 109). From 1941 to 1942, however, the rate rose substantially in France (90 to 98) and in Denmark (109 to 121). In other countries for which a rate has been computed, there were substantial increases from 1939 to 1943, namely, in the United Kingdom (89

to 99), Switzerland (88 to 113), Canada (132 to 155), Australia (108 to 116), and New Zealand (115 to 130). The causes of these increases probably are much the same as those of the increase in the United States from 105 in 1939 to 130 in 1943, which will be discussed later.

To sum up the experience of other countries having Western civilization and reliable data, a long-time downward trend in fertility has been the almost universal rule. Upswings have occurred but rarely, and have been relatively small and of short duration. With few exceptions they have been limited to reactions from the abnormally low birth rates caused by World War I or by the great depression of the early 1930's. Other similar reactions are to be expected in some countries because of World War II.

Causes of the long-time decrease in fertility in the United States

The large drop in birth rates, the great increase in the proportion of small families, and the corresponding decrease in the proportion of large families during past decades have been ascribed by various people to one or more of the following: (1) A less favorable marriage rate, (2) a rise in the proportion of pregnancies ending in a miscarriage or stillbirth, (3) the greater frequency of illegal abortions, (4) an increase in sterility or low fecundity, and (5) an increase in the voluntary limitation of family size. It is not possible to summarize here all of the evidence bearing on the relative importance of each of these factors in various countries, but the conclusions for the United States which are accepted by most students of the problem, and the main supporting arguments, can be mentioned briefly. In general, they apply to most of the other countries as well.

A less favorable marriage rate could come about because of a decrease in the proportion of persons who marry or a postponement of marriage until later years of life. Census data indicate, however, that no such unfavorable developments occurred in the United States from 1890 to 1940.²¹ (See table 20.) During this period there was a substantial increase in the proportion married among native white males in their twenties and among native white females under 30. Smaller increases occurred at most of the other ages. In short, the trend during these decades was toward a lower average age at first marriage and a higher proportion of persons marrying before middle age. Such changes tended to increase birth

rates rather than to lower them, and could not have helped to cause the 40 percent drop which occurred from 1890 to 1940. Similar statements can be made for nonwhite women. The change from 1890 to 1920 in the marital status of foreign-born white women tended to raise their birth rates somewhat, and those from 1920 to 1940 to depress them slightly below the 1890 figure. From 1810 to 1890 the proportion of white persons marrying might have decreased and the age at marriage might have increased, but there is no evidence of such changes. Unless they took place on a large scale they could not have caused more than a small part of the 50 percent reduction in the fertility rate for the white population which occurred in those years.

Table 20.—Proportion of Native White and Nonwhite Persons Who Had Ever Married, by Age and Sex, for the United States: 1890 to 1940

[Computed from current census data]						
COLOR, NATIVITY, SEX, AND YEAR	15 to 19 years	20 to 24 years	25 to 29 years	30 to 34 years	35 to 44 years	
NATIVE WHITE						
Male: 1890		17.8 20.9 24.2 27.8 28.0 26.7	54.0 53.4 57.7 60.7 63.9 63.7	74.2 72.2 74.2 76.7 79.7 79.5	85.6 83.3 83.1 84.1 86.3 86.4	
Female: 1890 1900 1910 1910 1920 1930 1940	8.9 10.4 10.9 11.7 11.9 10.9	46.4 46.4 48.7 51.1 52.3 51.5	73.4 70.8 73.1 74.5 77.3 76.8	83.9 81.8 82.3 83.2 85.7 84.9	89.2 87.6 87.1 87.1 88.5 88.9	
NONWHITE Male: 1890 1900 1910 1920 1930 1940	1.9 2.3 4.0 3.6	33.5 34.0 38.8 44.0 41.7 39.6	66.8 63.8 67.7 69.8 68.5 69.5	76.8 75.4 78.4 78.8 78.8 78.8	84.3 82.6 86.1 85.8 85.7 84.5	
Female: 1890 1900 1910 1920 1930 1940	17.0 18.4 21.2 21.9	61.9 60.6 65.1 68.5 66.9 62.8	82.5 79.8 83.0 84.5 84.4 80.6	88.4 87.4 89.1 89.7 90.4 87.4	92.6 92.2 93.0 93.1 93.8 92.1	

The second factor to be dismissed as unimportant is an increase in the relative frequency of miscarriages and stillbirths. Information for the birth registration area shows that there was little change in the ratio of stillbirths to live births from 1918 to 1930, and a substantial decrease rather than an increase from 1930 to date.²² Less accurate information is available regarding miscarriages, but the indica-

²¹¹⁸⁹⁰ is the earliest year for which decennial census figures on the marital status of the population are available.

²²There were about 40 stillbirths per 1,000 live births in the birth registration area in 1918 (the first year for which these data are available) and nearly 38 in the same States in 1930. In the United States as a whole the ratio was approximately 39 per 1,000 in 1930 and 27 in 1945.

tions are that the advances in prenatal care which reduced the frequency of stillbirths have had a similar effect on miscarriages.23 To prove the unimportance of miscarriages and stillbirths in causing the long-time decline in the birth rate, however, it is not necessary to establish past trends but only to look at the present record. Even if there had been no stillbirths in 1810, an increase to the recent ratio of 24 per 1,000 births to white women would have caused only 1 percent of the decline in the fertility rate which has occurred since 1810.24 And if the ratio of miscarriages to live births had been zero in 1810 and 96 in 1945 (four times the recent stillbirth ratio and probably too high an estimate), this change could have accounted for only 4 percent of the drop in fertility.

An increase in the frequency of illegal abortions may have contributed to the decline of the birth rate. There is trustworthy evidence of numerous illegal abortions in certain atypical urban groups,²⁵ but the rate for more representative groups is relatively low, for example, between 10 and 31 illegal abortions per 1,000 pregnancies.²⁶ Much less is known about the abortion rate of any important group of the population during previous decades, but the usual assumption is that it was lower.²⁷ In view of the low rate in typical city groups in recent years, however, it is obvious that the frequency of illegal abortions could not have risen sufficiently to account for more than a small part of the large decline in fertility rates from 1810 to 1945.

Biological change, such as an increase in the incidence of complete sterility (the lack of ability to bear even one child), may have caused part of the drop of fertility rates. Census data show that 84.3 percent of the native white ever married women

23The term miscarriage is used here to denote the accidental wastage which occurs too early in pregnancy to be reported as a stillbirth. In most States the product of a pregnancy which terminates before the 20th week of gestation is not registered as a stillbirth.

24The birth rate per 1,000 white women aged 15-44 was approximately 274 in 1810 and 84 in 1945, a decline of 190. The elimination of stillbirths would have increased the 1945 birth rate (and reduced the 1810-1945 decline) by 2.

25Studies of women seeking contraceptive advice from various clinics or physicians show from 52 to 233 illegal abortions per 1,000 pregnancies occurring prior to the clinic visit. For citations of these studies see Stix, Regine K., and Notestein, Frank W., Controlled Fertility, Williams & Wilkins Co., Baltimore, 1940, p. 78.

26A rate of between 10 and 19 illegal abortions per 1,000 pregnancies was found in Indianapolis. (See Whelpton, P. K., "Frequency of Abortion. Its Effects on the Birth Rates and Future Population of America," in *The Abortion Problem*, Williams & Wilkins Co., Baltimore, 1944, p. 19.) A rate of 31 per 1,000 was found in New York. (See Wiehl, Dorothy G., and Berry, Katherine, "Pregnancy Wastage in New York City," *The Milbank Memorial Fund Quarterly*, vol. XV, No. 3, July, 1937, p. 237.)

27It is possible, however, that the increase in family planning (to be discussed later) has decreased the proportion of women seeking an illegal abortion and hence that the abortion rate was higher formerly than in recent years.

aged 45-49 in 1940 were reported as having borne at least one child, and 15.7 percent as not having borne a child. In 1910 the corresponding percentages were 90.0 and 10.0.28 Allowing for the underreporting to census enumerators of children ever born reduces the percentage with no live birth to less than 13.0 in 1940 and probably to 8.0 or less in 1910.29 Obviously the percentage of ever married women who are completely sterile (i.e., who never can have a child) is smaller than the percentage who do not bear a child, for some of the latter are childless for other reasons than their sterility. It is clear, therefore, that even though complete sterility may have increased in the past it is still too infrequent to have caused more than a minor part (5 to 10 percent) of the long-time decline in fertility.

Evidence is less adequate as to how much of the long-time decline in fertility has been due to a rise in the proportion of couples with low fecundity or partial sterility, that is, whose capacity for childbearing is subnormal but who have at least one child. Little is known as to the size of this group at present, but there are indications that it may include 15 to 25 percent of the urban white couples and smaller proportions of other couples.30 Some of the couples with impaired fecundity have all the children they want; it is the spacing rather than the size of their families which is affected by their condition. The other couples want more children and probably would have more if their childbearing capacity were normal, but the large majority of those who have been studied would have only one or two additional children if their wishes were fulfilled. In consequence, even if low fecundity or partial sterility could be eliminated the gross reproduction rate of the native white population probably would be increased by less than 10 percent and certainly by less than 20 percent. Information regarding the incidence of low fecundity or partial sterility in earlier years is almost entirely lacking. But even if no couples were so af-

²⁸Bureau of the Census, Population—Differential Fertility, 1940 and 1910
—Fertility for States and Large Cities, Government Printing Office, Washington, 1943; Population—Differential Fertility, 1940 and 1910—Women by Number of Children Ever Born, Government Printing Office, Washington, 1945. No child had been borne by approximately 10.8 percent of the ever married foreign-born white women aged 45-49 in 1940 and by 8.3 percent of those aged 45-49 in 1910. For nonwhite women the percentages are 21.4 and 8.7. These percentages are based on the women for whom the number of children ever born was reported.

²⁹Unpublished study by the senior author.

³⁰In a recent study of native white Protestant couples in Indianapolis, married 12 to 15 years, the wife under 30 when married, and both couples having completed the eighth grade, over 20 percent were found to be of low fecundity or partially sterile, i.e., they had one or more children but their capacity to have additional children was either low or completely destroyed. See Whelpton, P. K., and Kiser, Clyde V., "Social and Psychological Factors Affecting Fertility: V. The Sampling Plan, Selection, and the Representativeness of Couples in the Inflated Sample," The Milbank Memorial Fund Quarterly, vol. XXIV, No. 1, January, 1946, p. 71.

fected in 1810, this condition could not have caused more than 10 percent of the decline in the native white birth rate which has taken place since that year. Less is known about the effect of partial sterility or low fecundity on the birth rate of nonwhite couples, but there are some indications that its role may have been slightly more important in this group than in the white population.

As stated above, there are reasons for believing that none of the long-time decline of the birth rate in the United States should be attributed to an increasingly unfavorable pattern of marriage or to more frequent accidents of pregnancy, and only a comparatively small part at most to a relative increase in illegal abortions or to a biological change in the fecundity of women. The comparative unimportance of these factors indicates that the longcontinued and general reduction of the birth rate has occurred in large measure because of more widespread and effective attempts to limit family size to the number of children wanted. Such a conclusion is supported directly by two types of evidence. First, there is known to have been a very large increase in the manufacture and sale of contraceptives, and an advance in the efficacy of certain of the materials. The implications of these changes are clear and need no further discussion.

The second type of evidence consists of the results of several studies of human fertility. These show that control is practiced widely, and with considerable effect on family size, among typical as well as atypical groups of the population. Pearl studied a relatively typical group, namely, 30,949 women bearing a child during 1931–32 in hospitals in or near large eastern cities. Of the 25,316 white women nearly 43 percent had tried to restrict family size; of the 5,633 Negro women over 16 percent had done so. These efforts had reduced the birth rate by 25 to 50 percent in the white group and by less than 15 percent in the colored group.

The 1,977 couples covered by the study, "Social

and Psychological Factors Affecting Fertility," included nearly all the couples in Indianapolis in certain color, nativity, age, educational, and religious groups; hence they should be highly typical for such groups in a large city. Approximately 90 percent of all of these couples had tried to plan the number and spacing of their children, and only 10 percent had not tried. Among the latter, of course, are many of the couples who found that they were sterile or of low fecundity. If only the couples whose childbearing capacity appeared to be normal during most of their married life are considered, the proportion that tried to plan fertility rises to over 98 percent. Classifying these couples according to the success of their efforts brings out important differences in family size. Over 40 percent of the couples had "planned" their last child or had "planned" not to have any children; in this group there were 146 births per 100 couples. Over 30 percent of the couples had not "planned" the last child, but said they had no more children than they wanted; in this group there were 199 births per 100 couples. Finally, about 25 percent of the couples said they had more children than they had "planned" or thought they ought to have; in this group there were 296 births per 100 couples. In contrast, there were approximately 700 births per 100 couples among the few couples that had not attempted to control family size. For the group as a whole, therefore, the attempts at the voluntary limitation of family size had reduced the gross reproduction rate to less than one-third of what it otherwise would have been.34

No comparable information is available regarding the proportion of couples that tried to plan the number and spacing of their children during the decades prior to 1930 nor regarding the success of their efforts. It is obvious, however, that if attempts at family control had been as widespread and efficacious in the United States during the nineteenth century as during recent years, the birth rate would have been much lower throughout that century and the decline from 1810 to 1945 much smaller.

In summary, the great preponderance of evidence indicates that the large and long-continued decline in fertility, which has halved the proportion of families with several children and increased the proportion with no or few children, has been due primarily to a large increase in the voluntary limitation of family size. Other factors, such as an increase in the abortion rate or in the incidence of partial or complete sterility, probably have helped to lower fertility, but their combined effect has been of minor importance.

³¹For evidence regarding the effectiveness of the attempts to control fertility made by atypical group of women, see Stix, Regine K., and Notestein, Frank W., Controlled Fertility, Williams & Wilkins Co., Baltimore, 1940; and Beebe, Gilbert W., Contraception and Fertility in the Southern Appalachians, National Committee on Maternal Health, Williams & Wilkins Co., Baltimore, 1942.

³²Pearl, Raymond. The Natural History of Population. Oxford University Press, New York, 1939, pp. 167-248 and 330-340. No Catholic hospital was included.

³³Ibid. The reduction was 50.8 percent for white women who had borne one child and 25.3 percent for those who had borne 2 or more. For Negro women the reductions were 14.3 and 0.4 percent, respectively (p. 334). According to Pearl "the differences between whites and Negroes in the United States in officially recorded birth-rates are to be attributed primarily to differential environmental influences, and particularly to differences in the prevalence and effectiveness of the contraceptive efforts actually made in the two racial groups" (pp. 25 and 26).

³⁴Whelpton, P. K., and Kiser, Clyde V., "Social and Psychological Factors Affecting Fertility: VI. The Planning of Fertility," *The Milbank Memorial Fund Quarterly*, vol. XXV, No. 1, January, 1947, pp. 63-111.

Causes of the short-time changes in birth rates

Just as an analysis of the long-time trend of fertility in the past is helpful in forming an opinion regarding the long-time future trend, so is an analysis of year-to-year fluctuations about the long-time trend helpful in estimating the probable fertility during the next few years. Information about year-toyear fluctuations in the birth rate of the United States begins with 1910 but is scanty for the 1910–20 period. The estimated crude birth rate (births per 1,000 persons) increased gradually from 27.5 in 1910 to 28.1 in 1914, decreased gradually to 27.1 in 1918, dropped over 7 percent to 25.1 in 1919, and then jumped over 6 percent to 26.7 in 1920.35 Since 1920, birth rates by age of mother and order of birth of child have varied widely from year to year, as was pointed out earlier in this chapter.

There is no question but that great social upheavals, such as World Wars I and II and the depression of the 1930's, cause substantial short-time fluctuations in the birth rate. The 7 percent drop in the crude birth rate from 1918 to 1919 is ascribed usually to the absence of the millions of men who were in the armed forces during World War I. Similarly, the rise of over 6 percent from 1919 to 1920 is ascribed usually to demobilization. As pointed out earlier, birth rates fell substantially with the onset of the depression and rose with recovery. During World War II, like World War I, there were wide fluctuations, but in this case they were upward rather than downward. The United States declared war on Germany on April 6, 1917; the 1919 birth rate was over 8 percent below the 1917 rate. War with Japan began on December 7, 1941; the 1943 crude birth rate was about 13 percent above that for 1941, and the 1944 rate was nearly 6 percent above it. Evidently other conditions influencing short-time changes were different during these two wars.36 In spite of the fact that the birth rate was depressed during World War I and raised during World War II, the postwar rise is much greater for the latter than for the former. Part of the explanation may be the longer duration of the recent war and the much larger proportion of men in the armed forces on foreign soil.

Before discussing other causes of short-time fluctuations in birth rates it is important to recall the different behavior of the rates for the younger and the older women of childbearing age, and of the rates for the lower order and the higher order births. During the 25 years for which data are available rates for the younger women and for the lower order births have fluctuated erratically, rising rapidly at some times and declining rapidly at others. In contrast, rates for the older women and for the higher order births have declined fairly steadily, departing comparatively little from the long-time trend.³⁷ For this reason the discussion of short-time fluctuations will relate especially to rates for younger women and lower order births.

Because an important proportion of couples have their first child a year or so after marriage, fluctuations in the marriage rate should cause fluctuations a year later in the rate for first births. And because the majority of brides are under 25 at their first marriage, birth rates for women under 25 should be affected more than those for older women. The marriage rate has varied widely during recent years, the number of marriages per 1,000 women aged 17-29 rising to 104 in 1920, declining to 69 in 1932, rising to 114 in 1942, and then declining to 94 in 1944.38 (See table 21.) As would be expected, the birth rate of native white women aged 20-24 was correspondingly high or low in the following year (166 in 1921, 117 in 1933, 160 in 1943, and 133 in 1945), and also the rate for first births to native white women aged 15-49 (32.6 in 1921, 22.2 in 1933, 32.8 in 1943, and 26.9 in 1945). Correlation analysis shows a very close relationship (r equals .90) between the marriage rate each year from 1919 to 1943 and the first birth rate one year later. In fact, an estimate of the first birth rate for native white women in each year made from the marriage rate of the preceding year, based on the relationship for the 25-year period, differs from the actual rate by 1.5 or more in only 6 of the 25 years. Even for 1945 the normal first birth rate (28.2) differs from the actual rate (26.9) by less than this amount. It is clear, therefore, that year-to-year changes in the marriage rate during recent years have been a very important cause of changes in the first birth rate a year later.

Fluctuations in the marriage rate might be expected to influence strongly the rate for second births two or three years later, the rate for third births three to five years later, and so on, but this does not

³⁵Thompson, Warren S., and Whelpton, P. K.. Population Trends in the United States, McGraw-Hill Book Co., Inc., New York, 1933, p. 266.

³⁶There is evidence indicating that the influenza epidemic of 1918 depressed the 1919 birth rate in important degree. See Hotelling, Harold, and Hotelling, Floy, "Causes of Birth Rate Fluctuations," Journal of the American Statistical Association, vol. 26, No. 174, June, 1931, pp. 145–146.

³⁷The standard deviation of the actual rates from the straight line secular trend for the period 1920-42, expressed as a percentage of the average of the rates, is as follows: First births 11.4, second births 9.4, third births 7.1, fourth births 5.1, fifth births 3.9, sixth and seventh births 3.4, and eighth and higher order births 3.6.

³⁸The marriage rate rose to 104 in 1945 and is expected to be still higher for 1946. The age group 17-29 is used as a base in computing the marriage rate because it contained over 75 percent of all the women marrying in the marriage registration area in 1939 and 1940, and a substantially higher percentage of the women marrying for the first time.

Table 21.—Marriage Rates, 1919 to 1944, and Actual and "Normal" First Birth Rates of Native White Women One Year Later, for the United States

YEAR M	Marriage rate ¹	FIRST BIRTH RATE OF NATIVE WHITE WOMEN ONE YEAR LATER		DEVIATION OF "NORMAL" FROM ACTUAL RATE		
Tate-		Actual ²	"Normal"3	Number	Percent	
1919 1920 1921 1922 1923 1924	95 104 94 90 96 92	31.8 32.6 28.6 27.8 28.9 28.0	28.5 31.1 28.2 27.1 28.8 27.7	-3.3 -1.5 -0.4 -0.7 -0.1 -0.3	10.4 4.6 1.4 2.5 0.3 1.1	
1925 1926 1927 1928 1929	90 90 89 86 89	27.0 27.0 25.9 25.0 25.9	27.1 27.1 26.8 26.0 26.8	+0.1 +0.1 +0.9 +1.0 +0.9	+0.4 +0.4 +3.5 +4.0 +3.5	
1930 1931 1932 1933 1934	80 75 69 76 90	24.6 23.5 22.2 23.9 25.1	24.3 22.9 21.2 23.2 27.1	-0.3 -0.6 -1.0 -0.7 +2.0	$\begin{array}{c} -1.2 \\ -2.6 \\ -4.5 \\ -2.9 \\ +8.0 \end{array}$	
1935 1936 1937 1938	90 92 98 88 91	25.3 26.4 27.6 26.8 27.4	27.1 27.7 28.8 26.5 27.4	+1.8 +1.3 +1.2 -0.3	+7.1 +4.9 +4.3 —1.1	
1940 1941 1942 1943 1944 1945	102 110 114 102 94	30.4 35.8 32.8 28.4 26.9	30.5 32.7 33.9 30.5 28.2 31.1	+0.1 -3.1 +1.1 +2.1 +1.3	+0.3 -8.7 +3.4 +7.4 +4.8	

¹The total number of marriages (as estimated by the Bureau of the Census) per 1,000 women 17 to 29 years of age. This age group is used as a base because it contained over 75 percent of all the women marrying in the marriage registration area in 1939 and 1940, and a substantially higher percent of the women marrying for the first time.

appear to be the case. Correlation analysis indicates that the closeness of the relationship between the marriage rate in a given year and birth rates by order of birth in the appropriate subsequent year diminishes rapidly as order of birth increases.³⁹ It is substantially lower for second births than for first births, for third births than for second, and is of little, if any, significance for fifth and higher order births.

To say that variations in the marriage rate cause variations in the birth rate a year later raises the question as to what causes variations in the marriage rate. During the last 40 years much evidence has been accumulated showing that the marriage rate is affected in important degree by business cycles, rising with prosperity and declining with hard times.⁴⁰

The reason for believing that the relationship is one of cause and effect is simple. In prosperous years unemployment is low, wages, salaries, and business earnings are high, and there is a widespread feeling of confidence in the future. The consensus of opinion is that such conditions are highly favorable to marriage. War and other factors cause changes in the marriage rate, of course, but even in the aggregate they appear to be secondary to economic changes in most years.

Since economic conditions affect the marriage rate, which in turn affects the first birth rate, they have an *indirect* effect on this birth rate. In addition, they should have a *direct* effect on it by influencing couples who arrange the spacing of their first child. Such couples should tend to plan their first birth when economic conditions are good and to postpone it when conditions are bad, regardless of how long they have been married. Since attempts to arrange the spacing of children have become widespread throughout the population, it follows that the direct effect of economic conditions on the first birth rate should have increased in the past and have become highly important in recent years.⁴¹

At present, unfortunately, there is little basis for ascertaining to what extent the relationship between economic conditions and the first birth rate a year later is direct (through the planning of births) and to what extent it is indirect (through the marriage rate). That the combined relationship is close, however, is shown conclusively by correlation analysis. Li is true, of course, that a high correlation coefficient does not prove a cause and effect association, but in this case there are the valid reasons just mentioned for believing that the variations of the first birth rate result in important degree from prior variations in economic conditions.

Economic conditions should have a direct effect on second births as well as first, for couples with one child who try to arrange the spacing of their second child should be encouraged to plan to have the second during periods of prosperity and to postpone it during periods of depression. Because the proportion of births which are planned probably is somewhat

²From table 17.

³The "normal" first birth rate is computed on the basis of the correlation between the marriage rate and the first birth rate one year later during the 1919-44 period. The correlation coefficient is .90. The regression equation is Yc = 2821 X + 1.714.

^{&#}x27;Not yet available.

³⁹This and subsequent statements regarding the correlation between birth rates, marriage rates, and economic conditions are based (unless otherwise specified) on a study being made by the Scripps Foundation for Research in Population Problems under the direction of the senior author of this report.

[&]quot;OGalbraith, Virginia L., and Thomas, Dorothy S., "Birth Rates and the Interwar Business Cycles," Journal of the American Statistical Association, vol. 36, No. 216, December, 1941, pp. 465-476.

⁴¹Among the couples interviewed in the study, "Social and Psychological Factors Affecting Fertility," whose ability to bear children appeared to be normal during most of their married life, nearly 70 percent had tried to plan the length of the interval between marriage and the first child, and over 49 percent of these couples (over 34 percent of the entire group) had been successful. See Whelpton, P. K., and Kiser, Clyde V., "Social and Psychological Factors Affecting Fertility: VI. The Planning of Fertility," The Milbank Memorial Fund Quarterly, vol. XXV, No. 1, January, 1947, pp. 70 and 73.

⁴²Galbraith, Virginia L., and Thomas, Dorothy S., op. cit. The fluctuations of the first birth rate are related much more closely to those of economic conditions one year earlier than to those two or more years earlier (Scripps Foundation unpublished data).

larger for second than for first births, the direct effect of economic conditions on the second birth rate should exceed that on the first birth rate.43 The indirect effect of economic conditions should be somewhat less clear for second than for first births, however, because of the longer time interval involved. Indirectly, a period of prosperity should tend to increase the first birth rate a year or so later, and the second birth rate $1\frac{1}{2}$ to $2\frac{1}{2}$ years after the first, with a total lag of $2\frac{1}{2}$ to $3\frac{1}{2}$ years. When the direct and indirect effects are considered together, an important difference is evident between first births and those of higher order. The first births of a given year are affected both directly and indirectly by the economic conditions of the previous year, but the second births of a given year are affected directly by the economic conditions of the first previous year and indirectly by those of the second or third previous year. Because these years may differ considerably as to prosperity the influence of one may offset that of another. For example, the second birth rate of a given year may be raised directly by favorable business developments of the first preceding year, but depressed indirectly by hard times a year or two earlier. In consequence, the direct and indirect effect combined (which is all that can be measured statistically) is significantly smaller for second than for first births, but is important nonetheless.44

As birth order rises, the effect of economic conditions decreases still further. There is a tendency for a prosperous year to cause directly a high third birth rate in the following year and indirectly (through the marriage rate) a high third birth rate from three to five years later, and for a depression year to have the opposite effect. It is probable, however, that neither relationship is as close for third as for second births.⁴⁵ Fluctuations in economic conditions are less important in causing changes in the fourth birth rate than the third, and probably do not cause significant changes in the rates for sixth and higher order births.

In summary, the large year-to-year changes since 1920 in birth rates for younger women and lower order births have been due in important degree to

⁴³Among the Indianapolis couples referred to previously over 89 percent of those who had one child tried to control the length of the interval between the first and second children, and over 52 percent of them (about 47 percent of the entire group) were successful. See Whelpton, P. K., and Kiser, Clyde V., loc. cit.

**Galbraith, Virginia L., and Thomas, Dorothy S., op. cit. Also unpublished data of the Scripps Foundation for Research in Population Problems. **Gunpublished data of the Scripps Foundation for Research in Population Problems. The proportion of third births which are planned probably is much lower than that for second births. See Whelpton, P. K., and Kiser, Clyde V., op. cit. It should be noted, however, that Galbraith and Thomas found a closer relationship between economic conditions and the birth rate a year later for third than for second births.

previous changes in economic conditions, and in certain years to the effects of World Wars I and II. Rates for higher order births and older women have been affected only in minor degree by these factors and have followed closely the long-time downward trend.

Fertility rates in future years

In the light of present knowledge one may reasonably conclude that the factors which will primarily determine the long-time future trend of fertility will be (1) the speed with which the pattern of effective family planning is adopted by additional groups of the population and (2) the number of children that couples decide to have. Similarly, the most reasonable conclusion regarding causes of future fluctuations around the long-time trend is that changes in economic conditions will be of primary importance. The implications of these conclusions will be discussed briefly.

The long-time trend

The extension of the pattern of effective family planning—the first of the factors expected to determine the long-time future trend—probably will continue at a fairly rapid pace. Incident upon the war, great shifts of population took place from one section of the United States to another, for millions of men and thousands of women entered the armed forces and an important proportion of those who remained in the civilian labor force changed their place of work. Moreover, millions of women and girls who might never have sought employment in time of peace took jobs in offices, stores, and factories. These changes have tended to bring people with a regional or family background of high fertility into contact with those having a background of low fertility. Such contacts disseminate more widely the knowledge of effective measures of family planning and the point of view which leads to their use. Experience with similar situations in the past has shown that this is much more likely to reduce the birth rate of the high fertility group than to increase that of the low fertility group. In consequence, the number of couples who have more children than they believe they ought to have will become smaller and smaller.

With fertility coming to depend more and more on the size of planned families, it becomes increasingly important to know what people think is the optimum number of children. The best sources of information for the Nation as a whole are the surveys made by the American Institute of Public Opinion in 1941 and 1945, in which the respondents were asked,

"What do you consider is the ideal size of family a husband, a wife, and how many children?" If family size were to agree with the 1941 ideals, couples with two children would be most numerous, followed by those with three and four children. Very few couples would have an only child, and only a small number would have five or more children (see table 22). Altogether 100 mothers would have 297 children. Between 1941 and 1945 there were significant changes in opinions regarding ideal family size, for the later survey showed a substantially smaller number of persons favoring 2-child families and a correspondingly larger number favoring 4- or 5-child families. If people were to live up to these opinions there would be 330 births per 100 mothers in future years. The change in opinions from 1941 to 1945 could mean that there will be a tendency toward larger families in the future. It seems more probable, however, that it reflects the psychology and economic conditions of the war and that a survey a few years later will elicit replies which are more like those of 1941 than 1945.

Table 22.—Percent Distribution of Women 21 to 34 Years of Age by Opinion on Ideal Number of Children in a Family: 1941 and 1945

[From national surveys conducted by the American Institute of Public Opinion]

	w	OMEN RI	PORTING	ON IDEAL	NUMBER (OF CHILDR	EN	Children
YEAR	Total women	One child	Two children	Three children	Four children	Eive children	Six or more children	per 100 mothers ¹
1941 1945	100 100	1 1	40 25	. 32 . 33	21 31	3 7	3	297 330

¹Computed from the columns to the left. It is assumed that on the average 7 children were reported by women favoring 6 or more.

In interpreting opinions as to ideal family size it is important to note that the ideal number of children for a family is significantly higher than the actual number in recent years and exceeds in larger degree the number that was planned. To provide the children for families of ideal size according to the 1945 opinions, namely, 330 per 100 mothers, would require birth rates as high as those of 1943 or the years before 1928. In fact, the birth rates of most years from 1928 to 1946 would give families with fewer children than the ideal number according to the 1941 opinions. As pointed out previously, the actual number of births per mother averages considerably higher than the number that would be planned.

Whether opinions will favor larger or smaller families in the future and whether the number of children per family that is planned will continue to be so much smaller than the number thought ideal, will depend very directly on the nature of the postwar world and on the kind of lives that people desire and are able to lead. The success with which solutions are found for the international problems of security and the domestic problems of economic adjustment may be expected to influence the willingness of people to undertake the responsibilities of marriage and childbearing, the age at which they marry, and the number of children they plan to have. Thought and behavior with respect to reproduction will be still further affected if additional services and allowances come to be provided for families with young children.

When all of these matters are taken into account, there seems to be little justification for estimating the future trend of birth rates by the extrapolation of any curve fitted mathematically to the rates of earlier years. Many mathematical formulas for extrapolating past trends in rates for the native white population would give values for the year 2000 that seem absurdly low, representing fewer than one hundred births for each hundred women living through the childbearing period. Such declines would assume a large increase in the proportion of married couples controlling the size of their families and a marked decrease in the number of children that they decide to have. The result would be that the couples with no children or with only one would outnumber those with two or more. Such a situation is quite unlikely. It is more probable that the proportion of married couples wanting two or three children will remain sufficiently high to prevent such large declines in the average number of children per family and to maintain an average of at least 150 births per hundred women living to age 50. This figure is the low fertility assumption for the end of this century in both the present and the preceding reports. It sets the gross reproduction rate for the United States at 73 in the year 2000, the same as the rate for the District of Columbia in 1940, but below the lowest State rate in that year (78 in New Jersey). Since a gross reproduction rate of 103 to 105 will be needed to maintain a stationary population with the death rates expected in 2000, the low fertility assumption would bring about a decreasing population unless offset by immigration.

At the other extreme, it seems unlikely that the causes underlying the long-continued decline of the birth rate will undergo any radical change. At best, then, the conditions favoring higher birth rates can be expected to do no more than offset the tendency toward further decline. In effect this is to assume

that the anticipated increases in the proportion of couples controlling the size of their families will be counterbalanced by increases in the number of children they plan to have, supplemented perhaps by improvements in the treatment of low fecundity and sterility. The high fertility assumption, made on these grounds, is that the gross reproduction rate of the native white population will fluctuate around the 1935–44 value of approximately 112. Since this exceeds the replacement level of reproduction, the high fertility assumption provides for a continued natural increase of the population.

The high and low assumptions are designed as extreme values; it is more probable that the longtime future trend of fertility will be somewhere between these extremes. The medium assumption is that there will be a moderate increase in the proportion of married couples restricting the size of their families and little change in the number of children that they decide to have. Under these conditions birth rates will continue to decrease during the next 60 years, but the speed of decline will be retarded substantially. According to the medium assumption for the year 2000, 100 native white women living through the childbearing period will bear 190 children, and the gross reproduction rate will be approximately 92. This would bring the fertility of native whites throughout the Nation to the 1939-41 level in the New England States, or to a point well below that required for the maintenance of a stationary population. In consequence, the medium as well as the low fertility assumptions lead eventually to a decreasing population unless offset by sufficient immigration from abroad.

These future trends in the average fertility of native white women are assumed to be accompanied by changes in birth rates by order of birth and by age of mother. The low fertility assumptions anticipate that by the year 2000 there will have been a very large decline (over 75 percent) in the number of fourth and higher order births per 1,000 native white women living to age 50, a small decline (about 10 percent) in the number of first births, and intermediate declines for the other birth orders (see table 23). The relative decrease in birth rates by age is 37 percent for native white women 15-19, declines to 22 percent at ages 25-29, and then rises to 76 percent at ages 40-44 (see table 24). The high fertility assumptions are predicated on the maintenance of the 1935-44 gross reproduction rate, with decreases of rates for higher order births and for births to women in the latter part of the childbearing period which are offset by increases of rates for lower order births and for births to younger women. They envisage declines of between 40 and 90 percent in the number of sixth and higher order births per 1.000 native white women living to age 50, and increases of between 10 and 40 percent in the number of second, third, and fourth births. Rates for women 35 to 44 fall between 13 and 33 percent while those for women 20 to 29 rise between 3 and 7 percent. Intermediate changes are assumed to occur if fertility follows the medium trend. All assumptions, therefore, anticipate that in the future as in the past there will be a decline in the rates for fifth and higher order births and a concentration of reproduction in the first half of the childbearing period.

Table 23.—BIRTHS PER 1,000 NATIVE WHITE WOMEN LIVING TO AGE 50, BY ORDER OF BIRTH, FOR THE UNITED STATES: AVERAGE RATES, 1935–44, AND PROJECTED RATES, 1960, 1975, AND 2000

[It is assumed for 1935-44 that the women who live to age 50 have at ages 15-19, 20-24, etc., the birth rates by order of birth of native white women of those
ages in 1935-44. Similar assumptions are made for 1960, 1975, and 2000]

		_							
YEAR AND ASSUMPTION	All births	First births	Second births	Third births	Fourth births	Fifth births	Sixth births	Seventh births	Eighth and higher births
Average, 1935-44	2,314	854	580	320	187	118	80	. 56	119
High assumptions: 1960	2,314 2,314 2,314	826 829 830 —3	638 656 665 +15	407 427 439 +37	202 203 205 +10	104 97 93 —21	57 48 44 —45	32 24 19 —66	49 29 19 —84
Medium assumptions: 1960	2,064 1,972 1,905 —18	789 795 800 —6	573 557 545 —6	331 323 318 —1	169 151 136 —27	87 72 60 —49	46 33 23 —71	26 17 11 —80	42 25 13 —89
Low assumptions: 1960	1,801 1,608 1,500 —35	768 770 770 10	525 490 455 —22	279 242 212 —34	111 70 45 —76	57 24 12 —90	26 6 2 —98	15 2 1 —98	20 3 1 —99




FIGURE 2.—BIRTHS PER 1,000 NATIVE WHITE WOMEN LIVING TO AGE 50, BY ORDER OF BIRTH, FOR THE UNITED STATES: AVERAGE RATES, 1920–24 TO 1940–44; PROJECTED RATES, 1945–49 TO 1995–00




FIGURE 3.—BIRTH RATES BY AGE OF MOTHER, FOR NATIVE WHITE WOMEN IN THE UNITED STATES, 1920 TO 1945; PROJECTED RATES, 1950 TO 2000

Table 24.—Birth Rates by Age of Mother and Gross Reproduction Rates, for Native White Women in the United States: Average Rates, 1935–44, and Projected Rates, 1960, 1975, and 2000

	. В	RTH RA	TE ¹ FO	R WOME	N AGED	_	Gross
YEAR AND ASSUMPTION	15 to 19 years	20 to 24 years	25 to 29 years	30 to 34 years	35 to 39 years	40 to 44 years	repro- duction rate
Average, 1935-442	47	138	128	85	48	16	112
High assumptions: 1960	47 47	144 147 148 +7	131 132 132 +3	85 84 84	44 42 42 42 —13	13 11 11 33	113 113 113 +1
Medium assumptions: 1960	42 40 39 —19	129 127 125 —9	120 118 117 —8	72 67 64 —25	38 32 29 —40	11 9 7 —57	100 96 92 —18
Low assumptions: 1960	36 32 30 37	114 108 104 —24	110 103 100 —22	59 50 45 47	30 21 16 —67	10 6 4 —76	87 78 73 —35

 $^{1}\mathrm{Births}$ to women in a specified age group per 1,000 female population in that age group.

²From table 15.

The high, medium, and low rates having been determined for each age level in 2000, rates for the years between 1950 and 2000 were obtained by interpolation along a smoothed curve. In nearly all cases it was assumed that the changes from year to year would gradually become smaller, so that the trend lines would be nearly horizontal after 2000. The resulting trends of rates for each birth order according to the three assumptions are shown in figure 2, and those of rates for each age group in figure 3. The long-time trend in the ratio of children to women is shown in figure 4.

The future fertility trends assumed for foreignborn white women and nonwhite women are based on those for native white women. Since 1920 the birth rates of foreign-born white women have been decreasing faster than those of native white women; it is expected that the forces narrowing the differential in the past will continue to operate in the future. Birth rates of nonwhite women declined more rapidly than those of native white women from 1905-09 to 1915-19 and less rapidly from 1925-29 to 1930-34, then rose less rapidly from 1930-34 to 1940-44. Since the limitation of family size is as yet much less general among nonwhite than among native white women, there is more prospect for its extension in the nonwhite group. The result would be a larger decrease in the birth rates of the nonwhite population than in the rates of the native whites. Under the medium assumption the relative excess of the birth rates of foreign-born white and nonwhite women over those of native white women is reduced by five-eighths between 1940 and 2000. Under the high assumptions the percentage differ-




FIGURE 4.—CHILDREN UNDER 5 YEARS OF AGE PER 1,000 WHITE WOMEN 20 TO 44 YEARS OF AGE, FOR THE UNITED STATES, 1800 TO 1945; PROJECTED RATIOS FOR NATIVE WHITE WOMEN, 1950 TO 1975

ential is reduced by one-half; under the low assumptions it is reduced by three-fourths.46

Short-time fluctuations

The foregoing discussion of long-time future trends in fertility is taken with minor changes from the previous report, for the events since its preparation in 1942 give no adequate basis for improving it significantly. In contrast, the short-term outlook for birth rates during 1945-50 is different from what it was at that time. A high degree of economic prosperity plus war psychology resulted in a substantially larger number of births during 1942-45 than was expected when the previous report was prepared. Similarly, unless this period of prosperity comes to a more sudden end and is followed by a worse depression than most economists and business leaders predict, there will be more births during 1945-50 than were indicated by the long-time trends set up in 1942. According to those trends the number of births during the 5-year period beginning July 1, 1945, would be between 12,500,000 and 13,800,000, with 13,100,000 as a medium estimate. On the basis

of the information available in October, 1945, however, it seems more likely that births during the current 5-year period will amount to between 13,000,000 and 14,000,000, with 13,500,000 as a medium estimate.⁴⁷

It may be argued that if fertility rates fluctuate above the long-time trend during 1945–50 because of prosperity, they will fluctuate below the trend at a later date during a depression. Although this viewpoint may be correct in theory, it can scarcely be followed in making estimates of future population because of the conflicting opinions and the lack of information as to the probable fluctuations of economic conditions around their long-time trend after 1950.

Because birth rates are much more variable and more subject to individual control than death rates, the actual birth rates of future years can be expected to depart more widely from the medium assumptions than the actual death rates. But because the range between the high and low assumptions of this report is much larger for birth rates than for death rates, the probability that the actual rates will fall between these extremes after 1950 may be about as great for one as the other.

47The spectacular rise in the number of births and the crude birth rate from June to December, 1946, makes these estimates appear too low in March, 1947. Approximately 2,000,000 births occurred in the 6 months from July to December, 1946, compared with 2,900,000 in the preceding 12 months. The record-breaking number of marriage licenses issued in May and June, 1946, and the relatively large number in the preceding and following months, indicate that births in the first half of 1947 may outnumber those in the last half of 1946 and that births during the twelve months ending June 30, 1947, may exceed 4,000,000. The total for the 2 years ending on the latter date may be close to 7,000,000, which would surpass the 2-year record before the war (about 5,800,000 births in 1920–21) by approximately 1,200,000, or 20 percent.

The much larger than normal seasonal decline from June to December. 1946, in marriage licenses issued, and the abrupt decline from December, 1946, to February, 1947, in the crude birth rate (adjusted for seasonal variation), probably presage a reversal of the 1946 upswing. How severe it will be will depend in important degree on the extent to which the record-breaking jump of the crude birth rate in the last half of 1946 was due to a jump in the rates for first and second births as compared with many of which would have occurred at an earlier or later date except for the war, probably would mean that a very large drop in the crude birth rate should be expected within the next few years. In contrast, a large rise for third or higher order births probably would mean that the downward trend in size of family has been checked and that the decline the rates for higher order births. A large rise for first and second births, of the crude birth rate from the present peak should be moderate. Unfortunately, information regarding the number of first, second, and higher order births in 1946 probably will not be available until some time in 1948.

As mentioned above, approximately 7,000,000 births will occur from July, 1945, to June, 1947. If the average annual number of births from July, 1947, to June, 1950, is about the same as during 1933-36 (2,360,000), the total for the 5-year period will be about 14,000,000. If the three years resemble 1940-41 (with an average of 2,630,000), the 5-year total will be about 15,000,000. Finally, if 1947-50 are like 1942-46 (about 3,090,000 births per year), there will be over 16,000,000 births in the current 5-year period. On the basis of the information available in March, 1947, these seem to represent reasonable low, medium, and high estimates.

It was not feasible to revise all of the series of forecasts to take account of the unexpectedly large postwar rise in the number of births without delaying unduly the publication of this report. Instead, an additional series was computed, assuming 15,000,000 births during 1945-50, high fertility trends during 1950-75, and low mortality trends during 1945-75.

⁴⁶The birth rates actually used in the computation of forecasts of births to 1975 are shown in appendix table B for each 5-year period, 1950-55 to 1970-75, by color, nativity, and age of woman.

It is realized that it may be desirable in some instances to ascertain the effect on future population growth of birth rate trends other than the high, medium, or low assumptions used in this report. It is believed that this can be done with sufficient accuracy for most purposes by narrowing or widening the differences between the results based on these three series.

C. COMPLETENESS OF ENUMERATION AND REGISTRATION

In addition to having fertility and mortality assumptions for the future years in question, it is necessary to have the number of persons by color, nativity, age, and sex in a recent year on which to base the forecasts. The most recent census is obviously an excellent source of such information. As mentioned in section B, however, there is a tendency in the United States as in other countries for the persons seen by the census enumerators to fail to report a substantial number of children under 5 years of age. It is desirable, therefore, to allow for the children not counted in 1940 before making current postcensal estimates and projecting these estimates into the future. With the information now available, the best procedure for doing so is to: (a) Record the number of births registered during the 5 years ending on the census date, (b) record the number of deaths of these children which occurred prior to the census date, (c) correct the data in "a" and "b" for incomplete reporting, (d) deduct the adjusted deaths from the adjusted births, and (e) compare the remainder with the number of children under 5 enumerated in the census.

According to a study made by the Bureau of the Census, 94 percent of the white births and 82 percent of the nonwhite births which occurred from December 1, 1939, to March 31, 1940, were registered.48 These percentages are not uniform throughout the Nation; on the contrary they vary widely. For example, 1 percent or less of the white births were unrecorded in Connecticut, New Jersey, and Minnesota, but over 15 percent in South Carolina. Georgia, Tennessee, and Arkansas. Larger variations are found in the recording of nonwhite births, less than 3 percent having been omitted in Massachusetts, Connecticut, New Jersey, Minnesota, and Delaware during the test period, but more than 30 percent in Arkansas, Oklahoma, Texas, New Mexico, and Arizona. Although the information on these matters for years before 1940 is mostly indirect, it shows fairly conclusively that the longer a State has been in the birth registration area, the more completely its births are recorded. Less is known about the proportion of deaths of children under 5 years of age that are not reported, but there are reasons for believing that registration has been more complete for deaths than births and that it also has improved during recent years.

In the present report it is assumed that 93.6 percent of the white births and 81.6 percent of the nonwhite births occurring from April 1, 1935, to March 31, 1940, were registered, and that 96.8 percent of the white deaths and 90.8 percent of the nonwhite deaths of these children were registered.49 The number of native white children under 5 on April 1, 1940, computed from birth and death statistics adjusted as noted above, exceeds the number enumerated in the census by 7.1 percent for boys and 6.5 percent for girls. The corresponding percentages for nonwhite children are 19.0 for boys and 16.9 for girls. In other words, it is assumed that 93.4 percent of the total number of native white boys under 5 on April 1, 1940, were reported in the census, 93.9 percent of the native white girls, 84.0 percent of the nonwhite boys, and 85.5 percent of the nonwhite girls.

There are two important reasons for believing that the completeness of birth registration improved from 1940 to 1944. The first is based on the wartime regulations which forced millions of workers to prove that they were native-born in order to hold the jobs they desired. It is believed that this stimulated these people, and others as well, to make sure that the births of their children were recorded. The second, and probably more important, factor is the rapid increase in the proportion of births occurring in hospitals and other institutions, from 55.8 percent in 1940 to 75.6 percent in 1944.50 Since the registration test of 1940 showed that recording was much more complete for babies born in institutions (98.5 percent) than for those born elsewhere (86.1 percent), this change in itself would increase the proportion of white births registered from 94.0 to 96.0 percent and that of nonwhite births from 81.3 to 82.7 percent.⁵¹ These are the percentages used in this report.

The population of the United States on July 1, 1945, has been estimated by the Bureau of the Cen-

^{*}Bureau of the Census, "Studies in Completeness of Birth Registration. Part I. Completeness of Birth Registration, United States, December 1, 1939 to March 31, 1940," Vital Statistics—Special Reports, vol. 17, No. 18, Washington, D. C., April 20, 1943.

⁴⁹The percent of deaths not registered in these and other years is assumed to be half the percent of births not registered.

⁵⁰U. S. Public Health Service, National Office of Vital Statistics, "Estimated Completeness of Birth Registration, United States, 1935 to 1944," Vital Statistics-Special Reports, vol. 23, No. 10, Washington, D. C., September 30, 1946.

sus from the population enumerated on April 1, 1940, adjusted for the underenumeration of children, and from statistics of births, deaths, and the movement of aliens and citizens across our boundaries between the dates mentioned. This procedure gives a population estimate for July 1, 1945, which is comparable with the 1940 census if the latter is adjusted for underenumeration. For many purposes, however, it is desirable to compare the 1945 population figures with the census figures as enumerated in 1940 and earlier years; hence removal of the adjustment from the 1945 figures is advisable. To accomplish this, the Bureau assumed that, as there was an improvement in the completeness of birth registration between 1940 and 1945, there would also have been a more complete enumeration of children under 5 in 1945 than in 1940 if a census had been taken in the later year. Specifically, figures for July 1, 1945, which are believed to be comparable with the 1940 census, were obtained by assuming that 94.7 percent of the white boys, 95.0 percent of the white girls, 86.6 percent of the nonwhite boys, and 87.8 percent of the nonwhite girls under 5 would have been reported if there had been a census in 1945.52

Two estimates of the number of children under 5 years of age are given in each table of future population (tables I to VII, inclusive). The larger numbers, given at the bottom of each table, represent the estimated total numbers of children under 5 "adjusted for census underenumeration of children." The smaller numbers, included in the body of each table, are the estimated numbers of children under 5 that would be counted in a census according to the percentages for 1945 (mentioned above). It is these figures and the accompanying population totals that should be compared with census data for 1940 and earlier years.

There is evidence that the 1940 census, like those of other years and other countries, contains certain other discrepancies. For example, it appears that an appreciable number of young men aged 18 to 25 or thereabouts were omitted from the census because they were "on the move" at the time of the enumeration. Since there is little basis for estimating the size of this group, no adjustment has been made for it in this report. It may also be that the 1940 census figures for the population in the older ages are somewhat in error, a significant proportion of the persons immediately under 65 having been reported to the enumerators as 65 or older. An adequate basis

for estimating these errors precisely is not available; hence no attempt has been made to correct all the census figures in these age groups. Since such age biases appear to be somewhat marked for nonwhites, however, the nonwhite population 55 years old and over as enumerated was redistributed in such a way as to increase the number 55-64 by 8 percent, decrease the number 65-74 by 14 percent, and increase the number 75 and older by 7 percent.

D. IMMIGRATION AND FUTURE POPULATION GROWTH

Immigration to the United States in past decades made an important contribution to population growth and influenced significantly the color, nativity, age, and sex composition of the population.⁵³ It is estimated that over 25 percent of the gain in the white population during each decade from 1840 to 1910 came from the excess of persons entering the United States over those departing.⁵⁴ During the 1880's and 1900's immigration accounted for over 40 percent of the increase in the white population, and as late as the 1920's for over 20 percent.

From 1915 to 1920 the amount of immigration was small in comparison with prior years because of conditions brought about by World War I, but the influx in the postwar years probably would have approached or exceeded that of the prewar period if a system of restrictions had not been adopted in 1921. As it was, however, Congressional action set the maximum number of immigrants admissible from quota countries at approximately 357,000 during the fiscal years ending in 1922-24 and reduced it to 164,667 during the fiscal years ending in 1925-29 and to 153,714 after June, 1929. Since the summer of 1930 immigration has been restricted still further by various regulations affecting the issuance of visas. As a result, the number of immigrant aliens admitted in the fiscal years 1931-45 averaged only 46,000 annually, and in some of these years the number of alien emigrants exceeded the number of immigrants.55

Whether domestic opinion in the United States and international conditions will permit a substantial amount of immigration during the next few decades

⁵²These percentages give the same number of "unreported" children

⁵⁸The total influence of immigrants on the composition of the population is very difficult to measure, but some idea of the direct or immediate influence may be had from comparison of the total population with the native population in 1940. For example, the total population was 10.2 percent nonwhite, and the native population 11.1 percent nonwhite. The former had 100.7 males per 100 females and the latter 99.7. Finally, the median age of all persons was 29.0 years, and of natives 26.7.

⁵⁴Thompson, Warren S., and Whelpton, P. K., Population Trends in the United States, Recent Social Trends Monographs, McGraw-Hill Book Co., Inc., New York, 1933, p. 303.

⁵⁵These years are 1932, 1933, 1934, and 1935.

remains to be seen. It seems safe to assume that there will be large numbers of persons desirous of taking residence in this country and that certain groups in the United States will favor a relaxation of the restrictions on admissions. In the light of the policy developments of the last 25 years it seems more probable that immigration will either be negligible or continue on a small scale than that it will increase substantially. In consequence, the basic series of population projections for future years are made on the assumption of no net immigration. Public sentiment can change rapidly, however, and a majority may soon favor the resumption of immigration up to the quota limits or even on a larger scale. It is desirable, therefore, to illustrate the effect of such developments on the growth and composition of the population during future years.

The method utilized consists of showing the number of white persons that would be added to the population if those entering the United States during each 5-year period exceeded those departing by 500,000, by 1,000,000, or by 1,500,000.56 The first figure (500,000 in 5 years) would represent a net movement to the United States somewhat smaller than that of civilians (aliens and citizens) from

July 1, 1940, to July 1, 1945. It is the number that would be admitted from the quota nations if approximately two-thirds of the quotas were filled and no emigration occurred. The second figure (1,000,000 in 5 years) could occur through the complete use of present quotas and the admission of about 230,000 immigrants from nonquota nations. The third amount (1,500,000 in 5 years) would require a larger number of nonquota immigrants, an increase in the quotas, or both. It would mean a return of immigration almost to the scale of 1924–28.

The net immigrants of each future 5-year period are assumed to have on arrival the sex and age distribution of those of the period 1925–29, the most recent years for which there was any considerable volume of "normal" immigration (see table 25). A net emigration of males is assumed for the age groups 40–44 and 45–49 because an outward movement occurred at these ages in 1925–29, the period on which the sex and age distribution is based.

The birth rates and death rates applied to the new immigrants after the 5-year period of their arrival are those for the foreign-born white population which came earlier. The American-born children of foreign-born persons are assumed to be subject to the birth rates and death rates of the older native white stock. Any marked changes in immigration policy leading to the entry of large numbers of aliens having birth rates and death rates different in the future from those of the foreign born now in this country would, of course, make necessary a revision of these calculations.

The additions to the population of future years

Table 25.—ASSUMED DISTRIBUTION BY AGE AND SEX OF SPECIFIED NET NUMBERS OF WHITE IMMIGRANTS IN A 5-YEAR PERIOD

[Based on the age and sex distribution of the excess of white immigrant aliens over white emigrant aliens during 1925-29. The distributions are assumed to apply at the end of the 5-year period of entry]

AGE		500,000			1,000,000		1,500,000			
	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	
All ages Under 5 years	9,600 22,800	275,000 5,600	225,000	1,000,000	550,000 11,200	450,000 8,000	1,500,000	825,000	675,000	
10 to 14 years. 15 to 19 years. 20 to 24 years. 25 to 29 years. 30 to 34 years. 35 to 39 years. 40 to 44 years.	35,400 64,200 148,700 119,400 55,700 17,200 5,100	5,600 13,900 21,400 38,100 94,100 73,400 30,900 2,600 4,600	8,900 14,000 26,100 54,600 46,000 24,800 14,600 9,700	45,600 70,800 128,400 297,400 238,800 111,400 34,400 10,200	27,800 42,800 76,200 188,200 146,800 61,800 5,200 —9,200	17,800 28,000 52,200 109,200 92,000 49,600 29,200 19,400	28,800 68,400 106,200 192,600 446,100 358,200 167,100 51,600 15,300	16,800 41,700 64,200 114,300 282,300 220,200 92,700 7,800 —13,800	12,000 26,700 42,000 78,300 163,800 138,000 74,400 43,800 29,100	
45 to 49 years. 50 to 54 years. 55 to 59 years. 60 to 64 years. 65 to 69 years. 70 to 74 years. 75 to 79 years. 80 to 84 years. 85 to 89 years.	5,300 5,700 4,400 2,800 1,500 900 500 400 400	1,600 600 400 200	6,900 5,100 4,000 2,600 1,500 900 500 400 400	10,600 11,400 8,800 5,600 3,000 1,800 1,000 800	-3,200 1,200 800 400	13,800 10,200 8,000 5,200 3,000 1,800 1,000 800	15,900 17,100 13,200 8,400 4,500 2,700 1,500	-4,800 1,800 1,200 600	20,700 15,300 12,000 7,800 4,500 2,700 1,500 1,200	

⁵⁶To simplify the computations without affecting the results appreciably, two assumptions have been made: (1) All immigrants and emigrants will be white persons. The latter constituted 99 percent of net alien arrivals during 1920-29 and probably will constitute an equally large proportion in the future. (2) All immigration will occur on the last day of each 5-year period. This assumption makes it possible to ignore the small number of births and deaths among immigrants during the 5-year period in which they arrive. The size of the foreign-born population at the end of a 5-year period is the same with the net arrival of 500,000 immigrants on the last day as with the net arrival of a slightly larger number (to allow for deaths) distributed evenly throughout the period. The latter would give a slightly larger native population, however.

that would result from immigration of the type described are shown in table 26. For example, if mortality and fertility should follow the medium assumptions, the net immigration of 500,000 persons during each 5-year period would increase the 1950 population (adjusted for underenumeration) by 500,000, the 1960 population by 1,709,000, and the 1975 population by 3,745,000. Similarly, if mortality should follow the low assumptions and fertility the high assumptions, the net arrival of 500,000 persons per 5 years would increase the 1960 population by 1,735,-000 and the 1975 population by 3,899,000. Because a high proportion of the immigrants arrive as young adults, the number of children they have is substantially larger than their losses by death for several years after they enter the United States. For this reason the net arrival of 500,000 immigrants during each of the six 5-year periods from 1945-50 to 1970-75 would give a net total of 3,000,000 newcomers by 1975, but with medium fertility and mortality rates would add 3.745,000 persons to the population in that year.57

At the risk of repeating, it should be emphasized again that there is little basis for forecasting the

Table 26.—Additions to the Population of the United States Which Would Result From Selected Amounts of Net Immigration of White Persons in Each 5-year Period After July 1, 1945, According to Various Assumptions of Fertility and Mortality: 1950 to 1975

[No allowance has been made for births and deaths to immigrants in the 5-year period of entry. Figures are based on population forecasts adjusted for census underenumeration of children]

	500,	000	1,000	,000	1,50	0,000
TEAR AND ASSUMPTION	Number (in thousands) Number of population with immigration		Num- ber (in thou- sands)	Percent of popula- tion with immi- gration	Num- ber (in thou- sands)	Percent of popula- tion with immi- gration
MEDIUM FERTILITY, MEDIUM MORTALITY						
1950 1955 1960 1965 1970	500 1,076 1,709 2,374 3,053 13,745	$0.3 \\ 0.7 \\ 1.1 \\ 1.5 \\ 1.9 \\ 2.2$	1,000 2,151 3,417 4,748 6,105 7,489	0.7 1.4 2.2 2.9 3.7 4.4	1,500 3,227 5,126 7,121 9,158 11,234	1.0 2.1 3.2 4.3 5.4 6.4
HIGH FERTILITY,2 LOW MORTALITY						
1950 1955 1960 1965 1970	500 1,084 1,735 2,430 3,150 13,899	0.3 0.7 1.1 1.4 1.8 2.1	1,000 2,167 3,470 4,860 6,300 7,799	0.7 1.4 2.1 2.9 3.5 4.2	1,500 3,251 5,205 7,290 9,449 11,698	1.0 2.1 3.2 4.2 5.2 6.2

The corresponding figures, based on population forecasts comparable with census figures for 1940 and earlier years, are 3,732,000 and 3,884,000.

2Percents based on forecasts assuming 15,000,000 births during 1945-50.

Nation's immigration policy accurately and in detail. The function of the material in tables 25 and 26 is to illustrate what would happen with certain amounts of immigration and to provide a means by which those who wish to ascertain the effect of other amounts of immigration may do so conveniently.

E. ANNUAL FORECASTS, 1946-49

Since there is a wide interest in population projections for each year in the immediate future, annual forecasts by color, age, and sex, for the period 1945–50 were prepared, according to assumptions of medium fertility, medium mortality, and no immigration after July 1, 1945. Similar forecasts were not prepared on the basis of high or low fertility and mortality assumptions because of the slight differences between these estimated trends before 1950.

The easiest method of obtaining annual estimates for 1945–50 is to make simple mathematical interpolations between the 1945 estimates by 5-year age groups and the 1950 projections for the corresponding groups. Projecting estimates for each single year of age in 1945 with the aid of single-year-of-age life table survival rates involves much more work, but was believed to be justified because of the more realistic and consistent forecasts which would be obtained. The results for single years of age can then be combined into any desired age groups at annual intervals. This method takes account of the population distribution within 5-year age groups in 1945 and of the expected fluctuations in the annual number of births during the period 1945–50.

Accordingly, one-year survival rates for single years of age were computed from the 1939-41 United States life table (the most recent life table from which such survival rates could be conveniently obtained) and applied successively to the 1945 population to obtain annual estimates for the cohorts that would be 5 and over in 1950. For the cohorts that would be under 5 in 1950, projections of births from July 1 to June 30 for each year from 1945 to 1950 were used as a starting point, and survivors were estimated as for the older cohorts. These estimates of births in each year from 1945 to 1950 were made at the same time as those for the 5-year period as a whole. (See chapter II, section B, "Fertility Trends," p. 33) In preparing them consideration was given not only to past and prospective changes in birth rates by age of mother and order of birth, but also to expected postwar developments with respect to the return of servicemen, the level of economic activity, and the marriage rate.

Because of differences in the death rates used it

⁵⁷Appendix table C gives detailed figures by nativity, age, and sex, for each fifth year, 1950 to 1975, according to the same combination of assumptions.

was not expected that the year-by-year projections would give results for 1950 which would agree exactly with the forecasts made by 5-year time periods. In the first place, the projected 5-year death rates allow for improvement in mortality between 1940 and 1950; secondly, they contain an adjustment for underregistration of deaths while the 1939-41 rates do not; and finally, life table values for 5-year age groups do not take account of the differences between the distribution within such age groups of the currently estimated population and the life table population.

In general, the differences in 1950 between the 5-year sums of forecasts for single years of age and the forecasts for 5-year age groups projected directly from 1945 were not large. The maximum numerical difference was in the group under 5 (this would be expected because improvements assumed in mortality were largest here), but the relative difference was less than 2 percent. Differences between the two sets of estimates at ages between 5 and 70 were 0.4 percent or less for white males and females and 2.0 percent or less for nonwhite males and females. In some of the age groups over 70 the relative differences were somewhat larger, but the numbers of persons involved were comparatively small.

The next step was to adjust the annual estimates so as to bring them into agreement with the 1950 forecasts. The differences between the two series in 1950 were projected backward by cohorts and reduced by proportions gradually approaching zero in 1945. In those cases where the single-year forecasts gave fewer people in 1950 than the 5-year forecasts, the proportions of the 1950 differences prorated among the estimates of survivors in the same cohort for the years 1946 to 1949 were as follows: 10 percent in 1946, 25 percent in 1947, 45 percent in 1948, and 70 percent in 1949. For example, the 5-year

series showed 4,964,000 white males aged 30–34 in 1950 and the annual series 4,953,000, a difference of 11,000; 70 percent of this amount was prorated among the survivors 29–33 in 1949, 45 percent among the survivors 28–32 in 1948, and so on. In those cases where the single-year forecasts gave more people in 1950 than the 5-year forecasts — which occurred in most of the ages over 65 — the estimates of survivors in a given cohort in prior years were reduced by prorating to these years proportions of the 1950 differences similar to those mentioned above, and subtracting the amounts so obtained from the original estimates of survivors.

The procedure for adjusting the cohorts born between 1945 and 1950, and under 5 in 1950, was similar to that used in adjusting the older age groups, but allowed for the fact that the number of years over which the discrepancy between the two sets of estimates in 1950 had been accumulating from year of birth to 1950 —differed for each cohort. (For example, children who had their fourth birthday between July 1, 1949, and June 30, 1950, had been exposed to the risk of death more than four years, whereas those born in that period had been exposed to the risk of death less than one year.) Hence, proportions of the 1950 difference, varying for each single year of age and each estimate year, were used. As a check on the reasonableness of the results, within the framework of the mortality assumptions, the one-year death rates for each estimate year implicit in the adjusted forecasts were computed. These rates appeared sufficiently consistent from year to year and age to age to warrant confidence in the procedure followed.

As a final step, the adjusted single-year-of-age frequencies for each year, 1946 to 1949, were combined into the desired 5-year age groups.

CHAPTER III

INDICATED POPULATION CHANGES

A. GROWTH OF TOTAL POPULATION

The population of continental United States has been growing more and more slowly since 1860. The average annual rate of increase, which was between 2.8 and 3.1 percent for the decades from 1790 to 1860, fell to approximately 2.0 percent around 1900, and was slightly below 0.7 percent during the depression years 1930–35 (see table 27 and figure 5). Economic recovery and the wartime baby boom brought a rise in the rate to 1.12 percent during 1940–45. During 1945–46 the increase amounted to 1.17 percent; the jump in the number of births in

Table 27.—Total Population of the United States, 1900 to 1945, Forecasts According to Assumptions of Medium Fertility and Mortality and No Immigration, 1946 to 2000, and Average Annual Increase, 1900–1905 to 1995–2000

[Estimates and forecasts are comparable with census figures for 1940 and earlier years, no adjustment having been made for census underenumeration of young children]

	Population	AVERAGE ANNU	AL INCREASE DING DATE!
DATE	(in thousands)	Number (in thousands)	Percent ²
CENSUS OR ESTIMATE			
1900 (June 1)	83,820 91,972 100,549 105,711 115,832 122,775 127,250	1,539 1,701 1,647 1,147 1,840 1,462 852 930 1,515	1.93 1.94 1.71 1.11 1.66 1.23 0.68 0.72
FORECAST ⁴	140,840	1,219	0.87
1947 ⁴ 1948 1949 1950 1950 1960 1960 1975 1980 1975 1980 1985 1990 1995	142, 186 143, 329 144, 457 145, 460 149, 840 153, 375 156, 692 159, 847 162, 337 163, 877 164, 532 164, 585	1,346 1,143 1,128 1,003 876 707 663 631 498 308 131 11 -82 -173	0.95 0.80 0.78 0.69 0.59 0.47 0.43 0.40 0.31 0.19 0.08 0.010.05

¹A minus sign (-) denotes decrease.

²Average annual increase divided by population at middle of period.

the last half of 1946 probably will raise it to nearly two percent during 1946–47. A sharp decrease is expected in the next year or two. (See table I for forecasts by color, age, and sex in each year, 1946 to 1950, according to the assumptions of medium fertility, medium mortality, and no immigration or emigration after July 1, 1945.)

Although the trend of the rate of increase was downward from 1860 to 1930, the average number of persons added annually to the population continued to rise until 1920-25, when the high mark of 1,840,-000 was reached. By 1930-35, however, immigration restrictions, lower birth rates, and a less favorable age composition had lowered the average annual gain to about 850,000-less than half the amount of 10 years earlier and close to the amount for the decade preceding 1860. Recovery from the depression, and the war, by raising the birth rate, lifted the average annual population increase to a secondary peak of 1.515,000 persons during 1940-45. Whether this will be exceeded during 1945-50 remains to be seen. As of March, 1947, however, it appears probable that the average annual gain for the current period will be between 1,293,000 and 1,673,000. The first is the forecast according to the high fertility and low mortality assumptions (with 14,000,000 births during 1945-50) and no immigration; the second includes allowances for 1,000,000 additional births and for the net immigration of 1,000,000 persons during the period.

The outlook after 1950 is for a continuation of the long-time decline in population growth, both in absolute numbers and rate. Moreover, there is a strong possibility that within a few decades the population will reach its maximum size and will begin to decrease unless heavy immigration is resumed. If fertility and mortality rates should follow the medium assumptions and no immigration occur, the population would grow from 139,621,000 in 1945 to about 153 million in 1960 and to 162 million in 1975, but the average annual rate of increase would decline from 1.12 percent during 1940-45 to 0.47 percent during 1955-60 and to 0.31 percent during 1970-75. (See table 27 and figure 5. The forecasts of population in each fifth year from 1950 to 1975, by color, nativity, age, and sex, are shown in table II; similar figures for 1980 to 2000 are given in appendix table D.) Before the end of this century the favorable age composition carried over from the earlier period

³A revised estimate of the population on July 1, 1945, prepared after these forecasts had been completed, is 139,586,000. (See Bureau of the Census, "Estimated Population of the United States, for Selected Dates: April 1, 1940, to July 1, 1946," Population—Special Reports, Series P-47, No. 1, March 3, 1947.)

⁴July 1 of calendar year.

⁵A current estimate of the population on July 1, 1946, is 141,229,000, which represents an increase during 1945-46 of 1,643,000, or 1.17 percent. *Ibid.*

⁶Estimates made in March, 1947, indicate a population of about 143,900,000 on July 1, 1947, and an increase of about 2,700,000, or nearly two percent, over July 1, 1946.




FIGURE 5.—TOTAL POPULATION OF THE UNITED STATES, 1900 TO 1945; FORECASTS, 1950 TO 2000

of higher birth rates would have spent its influence, and a decline of numbers would be anticipated.

Divergent trends of total population are given by the alternative assumptions of fertility and mortality. The assumptions of low fertility, high mortality, and no immigration would cause growth to slow up rapidly, would bring the population to a peak of less than 152 million in 1970 or 1971, and subsequently would lead it to shrink in size (see table 28 and figure 5). On this basis the total increase from 1945 to 1975 would be less than 12 million, or below that during any single decade between 1880 and 1930. At the other extreme, if fertility should follow the

high assumptions, with 15,000,000 births during 1945-50, and mortality the low assumptions (without immigration), the Nation would have over 177 million inhabitants in 1975. Growth would be well maintained, for the average annual increase of nearly 1,300,000 during 1970-75 would be approximately two-fifths larger than that during the last prewar period (1935-40), and the average annual rate of growth in 1970-75 (0.74 percent) would be slightly above the 1935-40 rate. On this basis the population would pass the 200 million mark before 1995 and would continue to increase for several decades thereafter. (The results for these assumptions are shown

Table 28.—FORECASTS OF THE TOTAL POPULATION OF THE UNITED STATES, ACCORDING TO VARIOUS ASSUMPTIONS OF FERTILITY, MORTALITY, AND IMMIGRATION, 1950 TO 1975, AND AVERAGE ANNUAL INCREASE, 1945–50 TO 1970–75

[Forecasts are comparable with census figures for 1940 and earlier years, no adjustment having been made for census underenumeration of young children]

		WED	***** DDD##***** ****************************	IEDIUM MORTALII	PV .	HIGH FERT	ILITY, LOW MO	RTALITY	
YEAR OR PERIOD	Low fertility, high	M.S.D.	NET IMMIGRATION	n per 5 years ¹		14,000,000 births.	15,000,000 births, 1945-50		
TEAR OR PERIOD	mortality, no immigration	None 500,000		1,000,000	1,500,000	1945-50, no immigration	No immigration	Net immigration per 5 years ¹ of 1,000,000	
FORECAST (IN THOUSANDS)		,				*			
1950	144,922 147,990 149,827 151,047 151,627 151,090	145,460 149,840 153,375 156,692 159,847 162,337	145,959 150,911 155,075 159,055 162,888 166,069	146,458 151,982 156,775 161,418 165,929 169,801	146,957 153,053 158,475 163,781 168,970 173,533	146,087 152,017 157,609 163,446 169,612 175,750	146,987 152,970 158,559 164,434 170,845 177,304	147,986 155,126 162,011 169,270 177,118 185,071	
AVERAGE ANNUAL INCREASE ³					,				
NUMBER (IN THOUSANDS) 1945-50	614 367 244	1,168 876 707 663 631 498	1,268 990 833 796 767 636	1,367 1,105 959 929 902 774	1,467 1,219 1,084 1,061 1,038 913	1,293 1,186 1,118 1,167 1,233 1,228	1,473 1,197 1,118 1,175 1,282 1,292	1,673 1,428 1,377 1,452 1,570 1,591	
PERCENT ³								`	
1945-50 1950-55 1955-60 1960-65 1965-70 1970-75	0.42 0.25	0.82 0.59 0.47 0.43 0.40 0.31	0.89 0.67 0.54 0.51 0.48 0.39	0.96 0.74 0.62 0.58 0.55 0.46	1.02 0.81 0.70 0.66 0.62 0.53	0.91 0.80 0.72 0.73 0.74 0.71	1.03 0.80 0.72 0.73 0.76 0.74	1.16 0.94 0.87 0.88 0.91 0.88	

¹Excess of persons entering the United States over those departing in each 5-year period.

³Average annual amount of increase divided by population at middle of period.

in detail in tables IV and VI; similar no-immigration forecasts assuming high fertility, with 14,000,000 births during 1945–50, and low mortality are shown in table V.)

It is to be noted that the prospect of an eventual cessation of population growth in the United States is inherent in the present age structure of the population, for the large proportion of persons in the middle range of years is favorable temporarily to an excess of births over deaths. But unless fertility rates are higher in the future than they were during the 1930's, the balance will tip in the opposite direction, for there will be an increase in the proportion of elderly people in the population which will lead to an excess of deaths over births.

The forecasts mentioned above include no allowance for immigration. The effect of a net inflow of certain numbers of foreign-born whites is shown in table 28. Thus, if fertility and mortality follow the medium trends and if the number of persons entering the United States in each future 5-year period exceeds the number departing by 500,000, the population will amount to 166 million in 1975, nearly 4 million more than if no immigration occurred. (See

table 28. The forecasts of population according to these assumptions for each fifth year from 1950 to 1975, by color, nativity, age, and sex, are shown in table III.) Similarly, the net arrival of 1,000,000 persons in each 5-year period would give a population of nearly 170 million in 1975, more than 7 million above the forecast on the assumption of no immigration. Finally, if the excess of newcomers were allowed to rise to 1,500,000 every 5 years and if fertility and mortality follow the medium trends, the population in 1975 would exceed 173 million and be more than 11 million above the no-immigration forecast.

The highest forecast shown is based on the assumptions that fertility will follow the high trends and mortality the low, and that net immigration will amount to 1,000,000 every 5 years. Under these conditions the population would reach 185 million in 1975 and would continue to increase indefinitely. (The results are shown in detail in table VII.) The average annual growth in 1970-75 would amount to almost 1,600,000, which would exceed that of most past years. The average annual rate of growth, however, would be about 0.88, which would be less than

²A minus sign (--) denotes decrease.

that of most past years except the 1930's.1

Allowing for the net immigration of 500,000 to 1,500,000 persons in each 5-year period does not alter greatly the shape of the curves of the projected population, though obviously it gives higher totals and postpones the date of the maximum population. With medium fertility and mortality the smaller movement of foreigners would be almost sufficient to prevent a downward turn of total numbers before the end of this century. With low fertility and high mortality this immigration stream would keep the population growing until 1975, but not beyond. Even if the stream were swelled to 2,500,000 persons every 5 years, the assumptions of low fertility and high mortality would cause a decrease in total numbers, starting before 1990. The results would be different, of course, if the fertility pattern of the new immigrants varied widely from that of the present foreign-born population.

The present forecasts indicate a slightly larger population in future years than did those in the previous report. Most of the change is due to the wartime baby boom, which resulted in nearly 13,150,000 children under 5 on July 1, 1945, instead of the 11,930,000 that were expected when the earlier forecasts were made. The difference between the two sets of projections increases slowly in the future as these extra babies grow up and have babies of their own. In 1975 the revised forecasts (without immigration) exceed the previous series by over 3,600,000 according to the medium fertility and mortality assumptions, and by slightly larger or smaller amounts according to other fertility and mortality assumptions.

The fact that the growth of population from July, 1945, to March, 1947, has been close to the assumptions of high fertility (with 15,000,000 births during 1945-50), low mortality, and the net immigration of 1,000,000 persons per 5 years may lead some readers to conclude that this combination of assumptions is the best guide to the future. They should remember, however, that population growth was extremely large during 1920-23 (shortly after World War I), but that it dropped rapidly from over 2.000. 000 in 1923 to less than 750,000 in 1932. It is true that demographic developments during 1941-46 have not followed exactly the same course as during 1916-21, two of the notable exceptions being the higher wartime than prewar fertility during World War II (the opposite of the World War I relationship) and the larger rise in births after the recent war than after the earlier one. But both of these differences may well presage a still larger drop in growth from 1947 to 1956 than the fall of nearly 65 percent which occurred from 1923 to 1932.

For reasons indicated in the "Mortality" and "Fertility" sections of chapter II, it is believed that the medium assumptions are better than the high or low assumptions as guides to events for the 1945–75 period as a whole. In view of the national immigration policy developed in past years the best guess as to the future inward movement appears to be that it will average between 500,000 and 1,000,000 persons per 5 years. Although in March, 1947, it may appear that the eighth (right-hand) column of table 28 contains the best forecasts for 1945–50, it is believed that those in the third and fourth columns will prove to be more accurate for growth during 1950-55 and subsequent years.

B. COLOR AND NATIVITY

The proportion of white persons in the population showed a small increase from 1850 to 1940; in the latter year white persons constituted 89.8 percent of the total as compared with 84.3 percent in 1850. Although the proportion of nonwhites changed by the same absolute amount (declining from 15.7 to 10.2 percent), the relative change was large (a drop of over one-third). Between 1940 and 1945, in contrast, the proportion of white persons decreased slightly (0.2 percent) and that of nonwhites increased correspondingly. The next thirty years will see a continuation of the downward trend for whites unless the volume of immigration is much larger than anticipated. If there is little or no net movement to the United States, the proportion of white persons in the Nation's population will decrease from 89.6 percent in 1945 to between 88.4 (low fertility and high mortality) and 87.6 (high fertility and low mortality) in 1975 (see table 29). Even if net immigration should amount to 1,500,000 every five years, white persons would constitute 88.8 percent of the population in 1975 (medium fertility and mortality), as compared with 89.6 percent in 1945.

Much larger relative changes are to be expected in the nativity composition of the white population during coming decades. With no immigration the proportion of persons who are native white would rise from 82.2 percent in 1945 to between 85.9 (high fertility and low mortality) and 86.7 (low fertility and high mortality) in 1975, and the proportion who are foreign-born white would decline from 7.3 to 1.7. If there is a net immigration of 500,000 persons per 5 years, and the medium fertility and mortality trends

¹The effect of other amounts of immigration on the population of future years can be obtained easily by increasing the number of persons according to the fertility and mortality trends without immigration (shown in table 28), by multiples of the amounts shown in table 26.

are followed, the proportion of foreign-born whites in the population will decrease to about 3.3 percent in 1975, or less than half as much as in 1945. To maintain the 1945 proportion of this group would require the net movement to the United States of nearly 1,800,000 persons every 5 years.

The number of nonwhite persons will increase steadily from 1945 to 1975 with any of the fertility and mortality assumptions, for the forecasts indicate a growth during these 30 years of over 2,900,000 with low fertility and high mortality, over 4,900,000 with medium fertility and mortality, and over 7,100,-000 with high fertility and low mortality. Whether the white population continues to increase in numbers up to 1975, however, depends on the assumptions. With low fertility, high mortality, and no immigration a high point of about 134,500,000 would be reached between 1965 and 1970, and by 1975 a decrease to 133,600,000 would have occurred. With medium fertility and mortality and no immigration there would be an increase of nearly 17,800,000 from 1945 to 1975, and with high fertility and low mortality an increase of about 29 or 30 million. In either of these cases there would be additional gains after 1975. Immigration, of course, would add to the white population, the medium forecasts for 1975 rising by nearly 3,750,000 with the net immigration of 500,000 persons per 5 years, and by nearly 7,500,000 if the stream of immigrants amounts to 1,000,000 (see table 26). The gains would be still larger if these movements occurred with high fertility and low mortality.

The ratio of the native white to the nonwhite group in the absence of immigration will depend on whether their respective fertility and mortality trends converge or diverge, and on how rapidly the convergence or divergence occurs. For reasons explained in the sections on mortality and fertility trends in this report, convergence is relatively slow in the high assumptions and relatively rapid in the low assumptions. Because nonwhite fertility and mortality rates exceed those of native whites the convergence of fertility rates tends to slow down the decrease in the ratio of native white to nonwhite persons, and the convergence of mortality rates to accelerate it. In consequence, the ratio would scarcely decline at all by 1975 if the present fertility and mortality differentials between native whites and nonwhites were to continue, and would decline more rapidly with the high fertility, low mortality trends than with the low fertility, high mortality trends.

Foreign-born white persons constituted a relatively high proportion of the population — above 14

percent - most of the time from 1870 to 1915. Since the latter year the relative size of the group has been cut almost in half, for in 1940 8.7 percent of the population was foreign-born white and in 1945 only 7.3 percent. The number of foreign-born whites continued to rise after 1915, and probably reached a maximum (over 14,000,000) between 1925 and 1930. The decline which began at that time reduced the group to 11,419,000 in 1940 and to about 10,250,000 in 1945. If no immigration were to occur in the future, this downward trend would be accelerated; by 1975 the foreign-born white group would be reduced to between 2,580,000 and 3,000,000 persons (depending on the mortality assumptions) and would constitute only about 1.7 percent of the total population (see table 29). But since it is almost certain that there will be some net immigration, these numbers and proportions should be thought of as representing minimum values. With medium fertility and mortality trends the net arrival of 500,000 white immigrants in each 5 years would increase the foreign-born white group in 1975 from approximately 2,680,000 to 5,560,000, or from 1.7 to 3.3 percent of the total population. If the newcomers amounted to 1,000,000 every 5 years the group would number 8,430,000 in 1975 and would compose 5.0 percent of the population; if they amounted to 1,500,000 the corresponding figures would be 11,300,000 and 6.5 percent. Low mortality trends, of course, would increase the numbers of newcomers surviving to 1975 and later years.

C. THE SEX RATIO

Accompanying the slowing up of population growth will be a continuation of the decline in the sex ratio, that is, in the number of males per hundred females. In the census years from 1880 to 1910 there were between 103.6 and 106.0 males per 100 females, for the excess of males among babies and immigrants had more than offset the effect of the lower mortality rates of females. The highest sex ratio (106.0) is that of 1910, when the excess of males amounted to nearly 2,700,000 for the total population and to over 1,700,000 for foreign-born whites. Primarily because of the decrease in immigration, the sex ratio declined gradually from 106.0 in 1910 to 100.0 in 1944, and to about 99.7 in 1945—the first time in our history that it was below 100.0 (see table 30). In that year females outnumbered males by over 200,000 in spite of an excess of over 400,000 males among the foreign-born whites.

The outlook for 1945-75 is that the sex ratio will be slightly below the 1945 figure and that females

Table 29.—POPULATION BY COLOR AND NATIVITY, FOR THE UNITED STATES, 1900 TO 1945, AND FORECASTS, 1950 TO 1975

[Estimates for 1945 and forecasts are comparable with census figures for 1940 and earlier years, no adjustment having been made for census underenumeration of young children]

		POPULA	rion (in tho	USANDS)			PERCE	NT DISTRIBU	rion	
YEAR AND ASSUMPTION	All		White		Non-	Ali		White		Non-
	classes1	Total ¹	Native	Foreign- born	white	classes	Total	Native	Foreign- born	white
CENSUS OR CURRENT ESTIMATE										
1900. 1910. 1920. 1930. 1940. 1945*. FORECAST	91,972 105,711 122,775	66,809 81,732 94,821 110,287 118,215 125,053	56,595 68,386 81,108 96,303 106,796 114,805	10,214 13,346 13,713 13,983 11,419 10,248	9,185 10,240 10,890 12,488 13,454 14,568	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	87.9 88.9 89.7 89.8 89.8 89.6	74.5 74.4 76.7 78.4 81.1 82.2	13.4 14.5 13.0 11.4 8.7 7.3	12.1 11.1 10.3 10.2 10.2
medium fertility, medium mortality No Immigration										
1950	156,692 159,847	130,017 133,623 136,375 138,846 141,145 142,841	121,148 126,127 130,220 133,969 137,441 140,160	8,869 7,497 6,155 4,877 3,705 2,682	15,443 16,216 17,000 17,845 18,702 19,496	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	89.4 89.2 88.9 88.6 88.3 88.0	83.3 84.2 84.9 85.5 86.0 86.3	6.1 5.0 4.0 3.1 2.3 1.7	10.6 10.8 11.1 11.4 11.7 12.0
500,000 Net Immigration per 5 Years 1950	145,959 150,911 155,075 159,055 162,888 166,069	130,516 134,694 138,076 141,210 144,186 146,573	121,148 126,205 130,442 134,377 138,059 141,017	9,368 8,489 7,634 6,833 6,127 5,556	15,443 16,216 17,000 17,845 18,702 19,496	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	89.4 89.3 89.0 88.8 88.5 88.3	83.0 83.6 84.1 84.5 84.8 84.9	6.4 5.6 4.9 4.3 3.8 3.3	10.6 10.7 11.0 11.2 11.5 11.7
LOW FERTILITY, HIGH MORTALITY, NO IMMIGRATION 1950 1955 1960 1965 1970 1975 MIGH FERTILITY (14,000,000 BIRTHS, 1945-50), LOW MORTALITY, NO IMMIGRATION	144,922 147,990 149,827 151,047 151,627 151,090	129,561 132,065 133,413 134,168 134,367 133,598	120,705 124,607 127,325 129,382 130,765 131,015	8,855 7,458 6,088 4,786 3,602 2,582	15,361 15,925 16,414 16,879 17,259 17,493	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	89.4 89.2 89.0 88.8 88.6 88.4	83.3 84.2 85.0 85.7 86.2 86.7	6.1 5.0 4.1 3.2 2.4 1.7	10.6 10.8 11.0 11.2 11.4 11.6
1950	146,087 152,017 157,609 163,446 169,612 175,750	130,558 135,487 139,968 144,533 149,311 153,999	121,653 127,883 133,618 139,385 145,295 151,008	8,905 7,604 6,350 5,149 4,017 2,991	15,530 16,530 17,641 18,912 20,301 21,751	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	89.4 89.1 88.8 88.4 88.0 87.6	83.3 84.1 84.8 85.3 85.7 85.9	6.1 5.0 4.0 3.2 2.4 1.7	10.6 10.9 11.2 11.6 12.0
(15,000,000 BIRTHS, 1945-50), LOW MORTALITY No Immigration 1955	146,987 152,970 158,559 164,434 170,845 177,304	131,345 136,312 140,792 145,382 150,361 155,323	122,440 128,709 134,441 140,234 146,344 152,333	8,905 7,604 6,350 5,149 4,017 2,991	15,642 16,658 17,768 19,051 20,485 21,980	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	89.4 89.1 88.8 88.4 88.0 87.6	83.3 84.1 84.8 85.3 85.7 85.9	6.1 5.0 4.0 3.1 2.4 1.7	10.6 10.9 11.2 11.6 12.0 12.4
1950	147,986 155,126 162,011 169,270 177,118 185,071	132,344 138,469 144,244 150,219 156,633 163,091	122,440 128,879 134,933 141,150 147,752 154,313	9,904 9,590 9,310 9,069 8,881 8,778	15,642 16,658 17,768 19,051 20,485 21,980	100 0 100 0 100 0 100 0 100 0 100 0	89.4 89.3 89.0 88.7 88.4 88.1	82.7 83.1 83.3 83.4 83.4 83.4	6.7 6.2 5.7 5.4 5.0 4.7	10.6 10.7 11.0 11.3 11.6 11.9

1Published totals were obtained by rounding computed totals and hence are not always exactly equal to the sum of the rounded figures shown by color and nativity.

2Revised estimates of the color distribution of the population on July 1,

1945, prepared after these forecasts had been completed, are published in Bureau of the Census, "Estimated Population of the United States, by Age, Color, and Sex: 1940 to 1946," *Population—Special Reports*, Series P-47, No. 3, April 3, 1947, and differ only slightly from the figures shown in this table.

will outnumber males to a greater extent than at present. Under the medium assumptions of fertility and mortality, and with little or no immigration,

the sex ratio will be depressed to 98.2 in 1965 and 1970, for two reasons. First, the foreign-born white population will decrease in numbers and will be con-

Table 30.—Sex Ratios by Color and Nativity, for the United States, 1900 to 1945, and Forecasts, 1950 to 1975

[Males per 100 females. Ratios computed without adjustment for census underenumeration of young children]

Vear and assumption Classes Total Native Foreign white						
CENSUS OR CURRENT ESTIMATE	,	A 11		WHITE		Non-
ESTIMATE 104.4 104.9 102.8 117.4 101.0 1910 106.6 102.7 129.2 101.3 1920 104.0 104.4 101.7 121.7 100.9 1930 102.5 102.9 101.1 115.8 99.1 1940 1945 99.7 100.0 99.3 108.5 96.5 99.7 100.0 99.3 108.5 96.5 99.7 100.0 99.3 108.5 96.5 99.7 100.0 99.3 108.5 96.5 99.7 100.0 99.3 108.5 96.5 99.0 99.3 102.2 98.0 1960 99.3 98.6 99.0 98.8 102.2 98.0 1965 99.2 99.5 99.6 9	YEAR AND ASSUMPTION		Total	Native	Foreign- born	
1930	ESTIMATE					
1930	1900	104.4	104.9	102.8	129.2	101.0
1930	1920	104.0	104.4	101.7	121.7	100.9
FORECAST MEDIUM MORTALITY No Immigration 1950		102.5 [102.9	1 101.1	115.8	99.1
FORECAST MEDIUM MORTALITY No Immigration 1950	1940	99.7	101.2	99.3	108.5	96.5
No Immigration 1950	FORECAST		100.0			
No Immigration	MEDIUM PERTILITY, MEDIUM MORTALITY					
1955						
1955	1950	99.1	99.4	99.0	105.5	96.2
1965	1955	98.6	99.0	98.8	102.2	98.0
1970	1965	98.2	98.6	98.6	94.6	96.1
1950	1970	98.2	98.4	98.6	90.6	96.4
1950	1975	98.3	98.5	98.7	86.7	96.7
1955	500,000 Net Immigration Per 5 Years					
1965	1950	99.2	99.5	99.0	106.3	96.2
1965	1955	98.6	99.1	98.7	102.9	96.0
1970	1965	98.51	98.8	98.6	102.3	96.1
LOW FERTILITY, HIGH MORYALITY, NO IMMIGRATION 1950		98.6	98.8	98.7	102.8	96.4
HIGH MORTALITY NO IMMIGRATION 1950		30.1	99.0	50.5	100.1	30.1
1955	HIGH MORTALITY,					
1960		99.0	99.4	99.0	105.4	96.1
1970	1955	98.0	98.8	98.3	97.9	95.8
1975	1965	97.7	98.3	98.1	93.6	95.7
HIGH FERTILITY (14,000,000 BIRTHS, 1945-50), LOW MORTALITY, NO IMMIGRATION 99.2 99.5 99.1 105.7 96.2 1955- 98.8 99.0 99.0 99.7 96.1 1960. 99.0 99.0 99.0 99.7 96.2 1965. 99.1 105.7 96.2 1970. 99.0 9	1970	97.5	97.7	97.9	89.1	95.8
LOW MORTALITY, NO IMMIGRATION 1950	HIGH FERTILITY	97.3	97.5	91.0	04.1	90.0
1960	LOW MORTALITY,					
1960	1950	99.2	90 8	99.1	105.7	96.2
99.0 99.2 99.4 93.1 96.2 99.5 99.7 99.2 99.6 99.8 99.7 99.2 99.6 99.8 99.7 99.7 99.8 99.5 99.7 99.8 99.7 99.8	1955	98.8	99.2	1 99.0	102.7	96.1
1970		98.7	99.0	99.0	99.7	96.2 96.5
1975		99.0	99.1	99.4	93.1	96.8
LOW MORTALITY No Immigration 99.2 99.5 99.1 105.7 96.2 1955 98.9 99.2 99.0 102.7 96.2 1960 99.8 99.1 99.0 99.7 96.3 1965 99.0 99.3 99.1 99.2 96.5 96.5 1970 99.0 99.3 99.6 99.8 89.7 97.3 1,000,000 Net Immigration Per 5 Years 1950 99.3 99.7 99.1 107.2 96.2 1955 99.2 99.5 99.1 106.7 96.3 1965 99.2 99.5 99.1 106.7 96.3 1965 99.3 99.7 99.2 107.5 96.3 1965 99.3 99.7 100.0 99.5 106.1 96.3 1960 99.7 100.0 99.5 106.1 96.9 99.7 100.0 99.5 106.1 96.9 99.7 100.0 99.5 106.1 96.9 99.7 100.0 99.5 106.1 96.9 99.7 100.0 99.5 106.1 96.9 99.7 100.0 99.5 106.1 96.9 99.7 100.0 99.5 106.1 96.9 96.2 106.7 96.9 99.7 100.0 99.5 106.1 96.9 96.9 96.2 96.5 99.7 96.5 99.7 100.0 99.5 106.1 96.9 96.9 96.2 96.5 99.7 96.5 99.7 96.5 99.7 96.5 96.5 99.7 96.5 99.7 96.5 96.	1975		99.5	99.7	89.7	97.2
1950	HIGH FERTILITY (15,000,000 BIRTHS, 1945-50), LOW MORTALITY					:
1950	No Immigration	ľ				
1965	1950	99.2	99.5	99.1	105.7	96.2
1965	1955	98.9	99.2	99.0	102.7	
1970	1965	98 8 1	99.1	99.2	96.51	96.5
1,000,000 Net Immigration Per 5 Years 1950	1970	99.0	99.3	99.5	93.1	96.9
1950. 99.3 99.7 99.1 107.2 96.2 1955. 99.2 99.5 99.0 106.6 96.2 1960. 99.2 99.5 99.1 106.7 96.3 1965. 99.3 99.7 99.2 107.5 96.3 1970. 99.7 100.0 99.5 109.1 106.7		99.3	99.6	99.8	69.1	91.5
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			00.5	00.1	107.9	06.9
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1955	99.3	99.7	1 99.0	106.6	96.2
1970	1960	99.2	99.5	99.1	10671	96.3
1975	1965	99.3	99.7	99.2	107.5	96.5 96.0
	1975	100.1	100.0	99.8	111.8	97.3
				l	<u> </u>	

¹These figures differ slightly from the revised estimates published in Bureau of the Census, "Estimated Population of the United States, by Age, Color, and Sex: 1940 to 1946," Population—Special Reports, Series P-47, No. 3, April 3, 1947.

centrated in the older ages; hence the present excess of over 400,000 males in this group will be changed to a deficit of over 180,000 by 1970. Second, children

and youths will constitute a decreasing proportion of the native white and the nonwhite groups, and middle aged and elderly persons an increasing proportion.² As shown in table 31, the sex ratio of native whites is substantially higher at the younger ages than at the older ages, the maximum in the last census (1940) being 103.8 for children under 5 and the minimum 62.0 for persons 95 and older. A different situation is found in the nonwhite population; but, because native whites now outnumber nonwhites by nearly 8 to 1, the latter have correspondingly little influence on the composition of the total population. The aging of the population, therefore, will in itself lower the sex ratio.

After 1970 a slight rise in the sex ratio will occur under the medium assumptions of fertility and mortality (and with no immigration). By that time the effect of the heavy immigration of past decades will have been exhausted, and the tendency of age changes to lower the ratio will be more than balanced by the larger declines which are anticipated for the death rates of males than for those of females. The importance of the latter influence may be illustrated by comparing the 1940-44 situation with that expected in 1970-75. With death rates of native white males and females as they were in 1940-44, the normal sex ratio of 106.0 at birth would be reduced to 100.0 by about age 50. In contrast, the projected death rates for 1970-75 would give a surplus of males up to about age 56.

If fertility follows the high trends and mortality the low, and little or no immigration occurs, the decrease in the sex ratio will be smaller than that mentioned above, the low point (98.7 or 98.8) will be reached about 1960, and the trend thereafter will be slightly upward, to 99.3 in 1975. In contrast, with low fertility, high mortality, and no immigration the sex ratio will decline to 97.3 in 1975 and to still lower figures in later years.

Extensive immigration will tend to raise the proportion of males in the total population if the selective factors in international migration continue to operate as in the past. Exceedingly heavy immigration, however, would be required in order to increase the sex ratio significantly. Even if arrivals exceeded departures by 1,500,000 every 5 years, the sex ratio of the entire population in 1975 would be 99.6 under the medium fertility and mortality assumptions, slightly less than the ratio of 99.7 in 1945. Higher sex ratios would be maintained with high fertility and low mortality trends, and 1,000,000 net arrivals

²See section D of chapter III for a discussion of changes in age composition.

Table 31.—SEX RATIOS BY AGE, FOR THE TOTAL, NATIVE WHITE, AND NONWHITE POPULATION OF THE UNITED STATES, 1910, 1930, AND 1945, AND FORECASTS ACCORDING TO ASSUMPTIONS OF MEDIUM FERTILITY AND MORTALITY AND NO IMMIGRATION, 1960 AND 1975

[Males per 100 females]

5 to 9 years	ALL CLASSES						NATIVE WHITE				NONWHITE				
	1910	1930	19451	1960	1975	1910	1930	1945	1960	1975	1910	1930	1945¹	1960	1975
All ages²	106.0	102.5	99.7	98.3	98.3	102.7	101.1	99.3	98.6	98.7	101.3	99.1	96.5	96.0	96.7
Under 5 years ²	102.1 99.8 102.3	103.0 102.5 102.2 99.4 96.4 97.7 100.1	104.0 103.7 102.8 102.4 98.6 95.1 95.8	104.2 104.5 104.3 104.1 103.3 102.2 101.7	104.4 104.7 104.6 104.4 104.2 103.9 103.6	102.9 102.3 102.4 100.0 98.1 100.3 102.4	103.5 103.0 102.6 100.5 97.6 97.7 98.7	104.5 104.2 103.4 102.8 99.0 96.1 97.3	104.9 105.1 104.8 104.5 103.7 102.7 102.1	105.0 105.3 105.2 105.0 104.7 104.4 104.0	99.3 98.8 100.4 93.1 90.8 96.0 104.1	99.0 98.9 99.5 92.2 88.4 91.0 95.8	100.0 100.7 99.1 99.3 94.5 86.9 88.1	100.2 101.3 100.9 101.2 100.6 98.8 98.5	100.5 101.7 101.5 101.4 101.2 100.7
35 to 39 years. 40 to 44 years. 45 to 49 years. 50 to 54 years. 55 to 59 years. 60 to 64 years. 65 to 69 years. 77 to 74 years. 78 years and over.	111.2 112.6 113.8 117.8 114.6 109.7 105.9 101.7 94.0	103.3 107.3 108.9 110.1 109.3 107.3 104.8 103.5 91.8	97.6 98.3 100.0 101.8 103.6 101.8 97.2 92.5 85.9	97.7 93.9 94.1 94.6 93.4 91.9 90.2 88.0 78.1	102.6 101.3 100.3 95.4 90.2 87.9 85.3 80.4 71.7	104.7 105.6 106.8 112.0 110.9 106.9 102.3 99.5 91.5	100.2 101.5 102.9 104.8 104.9 103.6 100.8 100.4 90.1	98.6 98.9 99.0 98.8 97.7 95.4 92.5 88.8 82.6	98.1 94.9 95.4 95.6 93.8 90.7 87.0 82.2 73.1	103.0 101.7 100.6 95.7 91.0 88.7 85.5 79.8 69.3	106.8 107.3 113.6 128.3 127.0 123.2 123.9 106.7 91.9	95.5 101.2 109.1 126.3 133.1 125.8 117.4 108.1 90.6	92.2 91.2 97.8 100.8 106.9 112.5 108.2 105.8 85.1	93.2 85.4 86.2 89.3 87.1 92.7 94.4 97.0 80.9	99.7 97.7 97.1 91.1 82.8 82.9 84.6 71.2

¹See footnote to table 30.

2Ratios computed without adjustment for the census underenumeration of young children.

every 5 years, for under these conditions the current decline would stop at about 99.2 between 1955 and 1960, and by 1975 the ratio would rise to about 100.

Changes in the sex ratio of the various age groups will differ significantly. At ages under 20 the sex ratio has been increasing slowly in recent years (see table 31), chiefly because the decline in the infant death rate has retarded the decrease in the excess of males during the first year of life. This factor is expected to continue to operate in the future, and to be reinforced by the more rapid decline of the death rates of boy babies than of girl babies. As a result, from 1945 to 1975 the projected sex ratio, according to the medium assumptions of fertility and mortality (and with no immigration), rises from 104.0 to 104.4 at ages 0-4 and from 103.7 to 104.7 at ages 5-9. As time passes, additional age groups will be affected, which fact explains part of the expected increase of the sex ratio at ages 15-19 from 102.4 in 1945 to 104.4 in 1975. Because the differences between the death rates of males and females are reduced the most in the low mortality assumptions and the least in the high mortality assumptions, slightly larger increases than those just cited in the sex ratio at ages under 20 will occur if the low mortality trends are followed, and slightly smaller increases if the high trends are followed.

The larger gains from 1945 to 1975 in the sex ratio at ages 20-44 than at younger ages, which are shown by the forecasts, are difficult to explain, for only a small part could result from the narrowing of the difference between male and female death

rates. One cause may be the greater difficulty of enumerating men than women in the twenties, for at these ages an important number of men are "on the move" in the United States and are never reported to enumerators. For this reason the sex ratios at these ages which are shown for past years may be slightly too low, but those computed for future years (based on the number of persons surviving from younger ages) would not have such a bias. Another factor may concern the young men who leave the United States for a few months or years because of business and other reasons. Their absence lowers the sex ratio for past years; no allowance for such absentees has been made in the forecasts in future years.

The total population had an excess of males at ages 45–64 in 1945 chiefly because of the heavy immigration before and after World War I. In 1975 these age groups will contain a much smaller number of foreign-born persons and will have a sex ratio which is several points lower. Among persons aged 55–59, for example, the 3.6 percent excess of males in 1945 will change by 1975 to a deficit of 8.4 with low mortality, 9.8 with medium mortality, and 11.3 with high mortality (assuming no immigration). If immigration occurs and if the age and sex distribution of the newcomers is like that of those who arrived during 1925–29 (shown in table 25), these deficits will be slightly larger, for women tend to come at an older age than men.

After age 50 death rates are high, increase greatly with rising age, and reduce the male population

Table 32.—Median Age by Color and Nativity, for the United States, 1900 to 1945, and Forecasts, 1950 to 1975

[Computed on the basis of age distributions without adjustment for census underenumeration of young children]

			WHITE		
YEAR AND ASSUMPTION	All classes	Total	Native	Foreign- born	Non- white
CENSUS OR CURRENT ESTIMATE					
1900	22.9	23.4	20.2	38.5	19.7
1910	24.1 25.3 26.5	24.5	21.4 22.4	37.2 40.0	21 1
1920	25.3	$25.6 \\ 26.9$	23.7	43.9	$\frac{22.4}{23.5}$
940	29.0 29.7	29.5	26.9	51.0	25.2
945	29.7	30.3	28.0	54.1	25.3
FORECAST ·					
MEDIUM FERTILITY,				l i	
MEDIUM MORTALITY				1	
No Immigration		,] 1	
950	00.5	01.0	29.2	57.2	25.6
955	30.5	$\frac{31.2}{32.3}$	30.6	57.2 60.3	26.2
960	31.6 32.7 33.3	1 33 4 1	31.9 32.9	63.0	26.5
965 970	33.3 33.5	34.2 34.4	33.5	65.6 68.0	27.0 27.9 29.
975	34.1	34.8	34.3	70.3	29.
500,000 Net Immigraton Per 5 Years					
950	ا ء ء	61.1	29.2	56.3	05 (
955	30.5	31.1	30.6	58.1 59.3 59.7	25.6 26.5
960	$\frac{31.5}{32.5}$	32.2 33.2	31.9 32.8	59.3	26
965 970	33.1	34 ()	33.3	1 57.61	27.0 27.9
975	33.4 34.0	34.2 34.7	34.1	52.0	29.
LOW FERTILITY, HIGH MORTALITY, NO IMMIGRATION					
950	30.6	31.2	29.2 30.9	57.2 60.3	25.
955 960	31.9	1 32 6	32.5	63.0	26.
965	34.2	34.0 35.1	33.8 34.7	65.6	27. 27. 29.
970	31.9 33.2 34.2 34.8	35.7	34.7 35.8	68.0 70.4	29.
HIGH FERTILITY (14,000,000 BIRTHS, 1945-50), LOW MORTALITY, NO IMMIGRATION	35.6	36.5		10.1	30.6
1950	30.5	31.1	29.1	57.3 60.4	25.6
955	21 4	31.1 32.1 33.0	ll 30.4	60.4	25.
1960 1965	32.2 32.5 32.5	33.0	$\frac{31.5}{32.1}$	63.3 66.0	26. 26.
970	32.5	33.5 33.5 33.7	32.4	68.5 70.8	27. 27.
975	32.9	33.7	33.1	'0.8	27.
HIGH FERTILITY (15,000,000 BIRTHS, 1945-50), LOW MORTALITY		·			
No Immigration					
950	30.3 31.2 32.0 32.3 32.2	30.9	28.9 30.2	57.3 60.4	25. 25. 25.
955 960	32.0	32.8	31.3	63.3	25.
965	32.3	31.9 32.8 33.3 33.2	31.9 32.2	66.0 68.5	26.
970 975	32.2 32.6	33.2	32.8	70.8	26. 26. 27.
1,000,000 Net Immigration Per 5 Years	921				
950	30.1	30.8	28.9	55.5	25:
1955	30.1 31.0	30.8 31.6 32.5	30.1	56.1	25. 25.
1960	31.7	32.5	31.1 31.6	55.9 52.9	25. 26. 26.
1970	32.0 32.1	32.9 32.9	31.8	46.8	26.
1975	32.5	33.2	32.4	44.7	27.

much more rapidly than the female population. As a result the sex ratio tends to decrease accordingly. For example, in the cohort aged 35–39 in 1945 there were 97.6 males per 100 females. Fifteen years later (at ages 50–54 in 1960) the expected ratio with me-

dium mortality (if no immigration occurs) is 94.6, or only 3.0 lower. In contrast, the sex ratio of the cohort aged 50-54 in 1945 was 101.8, but when this group reaches ages 65-69 in 1960 the sex ratio is expected to be 90.2, a drop of 11.6.

D. AGE COMPOSITION

Of more immediate significance than the foregoing population trends are the future changes in the age structure of the population. As compared to many other countries, the United States has long had a relatively youthful population with a high fraction of its inhabitants in the younger employable ages. Here as elsewhere, however, the age composition of the population has been undergoing modification for many decades, the proportion in the younger age groups decreasing and the proportion in the older age groups increasing. The trend toward an older population is shown clearly by the rise in the median age of the population, the central age above and below which there are equal numbers of people. In 1800 half of the entire population of the United States was 16 years of age or younger. A century later the median age had risen to almost 23 years, and by 1945 it had reached a new high of 29.7 (see table 32 and fig. 6).

(Forecasts assume no migration after July 1, 1945)




Figure 6.—Median Age of the Population of the United States, 1800 to 1945; Forecasts, 1950 to 1975

The shift toward the older ages has been brought about primarily in three ways. By far the most important is the decline of nearly 70 percent in the birth rate which occurred almost without interruption from 1810 to 1940. Had the 1810 birth rate been maintained while death rates and immigration followed their actual courses from 1810 to date, not only would the population have been much larger

than it is, but the average age would have been much lower. Second, the falling off of immigration has contributed significantly to the aging of the population during recent decades. Relatively few young adults have entered the United States since 1930, while the large numbers that arrived earlier have been moving up into the older ages. Third in importance during recent decades (but second in earlier decades) is the lowering of the death rates of children and young adults, which has enabled a larger proportion of the population to live past middle age. Since these three factors presumably will continue to operate for some time to come, the outlook is for a continuation of the trend toward an older population.


The rate at which this process of aging of the population will go on depends on future birth rates, death rates, and immigration. The medium assumptions for fertility and mortality with no allowance for immigration indicate a median age of 34.1 in 1975. The high level of fertility in combination with low mortality would reduce this figure to 32.9 years or less, whereas the low level of fertility with high mortality would raise it to 35.6. Immigration of the type that occurred in past years would slow up the aging process slightly. For example, the net arrival every 5 years of 500,000 persons having the age distribution shown in table 25 would lower the median age in 1975 by 0.1 year (from 34.1 to 34.0, assuming medium trends of fertility and mortality). If the net incoming group were three times as large the median age in 1975 would be lower by 0.3 year than with no immigration.

Children under 5 years of age

As implied above, the increase in the median age of the population has been accompanied by a decline in the proportion of the population in the younger age groups and a rise in the proportion in the older age groups. In 1850 children under 5 years of age constituted 15.1 percent of the enumerated population of the United States. This proportion declined gradually to 12.1 percent in 1900, to 9.3 percent in 1930, and to 8.0 percent in 1940 (see table 33 and figure 7). In 1945 the number of children under 5 (nearly 13,150,000)³ was larger than in any prior year, but the group made up only 9.4 percent of the population, slightly more than in 1930.

FIGURE 7.—POPULATION BY BROAD AGE GROUPS, FOR THE UNITED STATES, 1870 TO 1945; FORECASTS, 1950 TO 1975

(Forecasts assume no migration after July 1, 1945)



³Allowing for census underenumeration would increase this amount by about 860,000.

TABLE 33.—POPULATION BY BROAD AGE GROUPS, FOR THE UNITED STATES, 1900 TO 1945, AND FORECASTS, 1950 TO 1975

[Estimates for 1945 and forecasts are comparable with census figures for 1940 and earlier years, no adjustment having been made for census underenumeration of young children]

	POPULATION (IN THOUSANDS)					PERCENT DISTRIBUTION						
YEAR AND ASSUMPTION	All ages1	Under 5 years	5 to 19 years	20 to 44 years	45 to 64 years	65 years and over	All ages	Under 5 years	5 to 19 years	20 to 44 years	45 to 64 years	65 years and over
CENSUS OR CURRENT ESTIMATE												
1900 ² . 1910 ² . 1920 ² . 1930 ³ . 1940.	105,711 122,775 131,669	9,171 10,631 11,573 11,444 10,542 13,147	24,510 27,931 31,470 36,165 34,764 33,648	28,632 35,867 40,556 47,023 51,260 54,186	10,400 13,424 17,030 21,415 \$26,140 28,530	3,080 3,950 4,933 6,634 \$8,964 10,110	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	12.1 11.6 11.0 9.3 8.0 9.4	32.3 30.4 29.8 29.5 26.4 24.1	37.8 39.1 38.4 38.3 38.9 38.8	13.7 14.6 16.1 17.5 19.9 20.4	4.1 4.3 4.7 5.4 6.8 7.2
FORECAST MEDIUM FERTILITY, MEDIUM MORTALITY No Immigration						,	*		,		,	
1950	153.375	12,141 11,112 10,717 10,948 11,235 11,039	35,787 37,937 38,358 35,852 34,640 34,804	55,671 55,642 55,645 57,867 58,521 58,671	30,666 32,565 34,676 36,869 39,080 40,178	11,193 12,583 13,978 15,157 16,370 17,646	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	8.3 7.4 7.0 7.0 7.0 6.8	24.6 25.3 25.0 22.9 21.7 21.4	38.3 37.1 36.3 36.9 36.6 36.1	21.1 21.7 22.6 23.5 24.4 24.7	7.7 8.4 9.1 9.7 10.2 10.9
500,000 Net Immigration Per 5 Years												*
1950 1955 1960	159,055 162,888	12,150 11,199 10,866 11,137 11,447 11,278	35,910 38,127 38,662 36,311 35,288 35,582	56,018 56,390 56,808 59,411 60,336 60,697	30,685 32,603 34,746 37,015 39,411 40,821	11,197 12,592 13,993 15,181 16,404 17,690	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	8.3 7.4 7.0 7.0 7.0 6.8	24.6 25.3 24.9 22.8 21.7 21.4	38.4 37.4 36.6 37.4 37.0 36.5	21.0 21.6 22.4 23.3 24.2 24.6	7.7 8.3 9.0 9.5 10.1 10.7
LOW FERTILITY, HIGH MORTALITY, NO IMMIGRATION												
1950	1 140 897	11,691 10,029 9,414 9,364 9,255 8,648	35,779 37,441 36,699 32,803 30,384 29,585	55,660 55,602 55,562 57,715 57,815 56,746	30,610 32,378 34,280 36,220 38,183 39,055	11,182 12,539 13,871 14,944 15,991 17,055	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	8.1 6.8 6.3 6.2 6.1 5.7	24.7 25.3 24.5 21.7 20.0 19.6	38.4 37.6 37.1 38.2 38.1 37.6	21.1 21.9 22.9 24.0 25.2 25.8	7.7 8.5 9.3 9.9 10.5 11.3
HIGH FERTILITY (14,000,000 BIRTHS, 1945-50), LOW MORTALITY, NO IMMIGRATION												
1950 1955 1960 1965 1970	169,612	12,591 12,246 12,110 12,620 13,287 13,683	35,790 38,420 40,048 39,022 39,119 40,274	55,686 55,687 55,731 58,008 59,189 60,591	30,721 32,753 35,077 37,533 40,023 41,365	11,298 12,911 14,644 16,260 17,994 19,837	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	8.6 8.1 7.7 7.7 7.8 7.8	24.5 25.3 25.4 23.9 23.1 22.9	38.1 36.6 35.4 35.5 34.9 34.5	21.0 21.5 22.3 23.0 23.6 23.5	7.7 8.5 9.3 9.9 10.6 11.3
HIGH FERTILITY (15,000,000 BIRTHS, 1945-50), LOW MORTALITY No Immigration				٠								
1950 1955 1960 1965 1970 1975	170,845	13,491 12,246 12,110 12,661 13,534 13,993	35,790 39,373 40,998 39,969 39,163 40,580	55,686 55,687 55,731 58,008 60,132 61,529	30,721 32,753 35,077 37,533 40,023 41,365	11,298 12,911 14,644 16,260 17,994 19,837	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	9.2 8.0 7.6 7.7 7.9	24.3 25.7 25.9 24.3 22.9 22.9	37.9 36.4 35.1 35.3 35.2 34.7	20.9 21.4 22.1 22.8 23.4 23.3	7.7 8.4 9.2 79.9 10.5 11.2
1,000,000 Net Immigration Per 5 Years		·										
1950. 1955. 1960. 1965. 1970.	147,986 155,126 162,011 169,270 177,118 185,071	13,509 12,435 12,441 13,089 14,025 14,562	36,034 39,752 41,621 40,939 40,562 42,296	56,378 57,183 58,059 61,101 63,773 65,609	30,757 32,829 35,216 37,831 40,694 42,670	11,306 12,928 14,675 16,310 18,065 19,935	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	9.1 8.0 7.7 7.7 7.9 7.9	24.3 25.6 25.7 24.2 22.9 22.9	38.1 36.9 35.8 36.1 36.0 35.5	20.8 21.2 21.7 22.3 23.0 23.1	7.6 8.3 9.1 9.6 10.2 10.8

¹Published totals were obtained by rounding computed totals and hence are not always exactly equal to the sum of the figures shown by age groups.

²Persons whose age was not reported are included in the total for all ages but not in the age distribution; in computing the percent distribution

by age they were excluded from the total.

³Adjusted for age biases in the nonwhite population as enumerated.

⁴See footnote to table 30.

Under the medium assumptions of fertility and mortality (and with no immigration) children under 5 would increase in numbers from 1945 to 1947, decrease from 1947 to 1955, and then be almost stationary from 1955 to 1975 — slightly above or below 11,000,000. As a proportion of the total population they would decline from 9.4 percent in 1945 to 7.4 percent in 1955, and to 6.8 percent in 1975 (see figure 8). For white children the decreases would be slightly larger; for nonwhite children there would be little change in absolute numbers and slightly smaller decreases in percentages. The net immigration of 500,000 persons each five years would increase the number of children by amounts varying from 9,000 in 1950 to 239,000 in 1975, but would not change appreciably their relative importance in the population.

With high fertility (15,000,000 births during 1945–50), low mortality, and the net immigration of 1,000,000 persons per 5 years, the number of children under 5 would rise to about 1949, decline until about 1957, but then rise to a new high of nearly 14,560,000 by 1975. With low fertility and high mortality, however, this group would fall more than one-third both in absolute numbers and on a relative basis between 1947 and 1975.

Children and youths aged 5 to 19

The maximum number of persons in the group aged 5–19 prior to World War II was somewhat over 36,200,000 in 1932; by 1945 the number had decreased to about 33,650,000 (see table 33 and fig. 7). In proportion to the total population the highest figure on record (approximately 40 percent) was attained in the early 1800's, after which there was a decline to 24 percent in 1945. The chief explanation, of course, is the drop in fertility rates.

Because of the rise in the annual number of births from 1933 to 1946 the number of children and youths aged 5-19 will increase from 1945 to 1955 or later. With medium fertility and mortality trends (and no immigration) there would be a rise to over 38,350,-000 in 1960—more than 2,000,000 above the prewar record. At about that time, however, a decline would begin which would reduce the group to fewer than 35,000,000 by 1970, or approximately to its size in 1940. Net immigration of 500,000 per 5 years would make the increase from 1945 to 1960 larger by over 300,000 and the decline to 1970 smaller by about the same amount. With high fertility (15,000,000 births, 1945-50), low mortality, and the net arrival of 1,000,000 persons, the 5-19 age group would increase fairly steadily to about 42,300,000 in 1975, nearly 9,000,000 more than in 1945, but fewer than would be expected in later years. In contrast, low fertility, high mortality, and no immigration would bring about a decrease in the group soon after 1955, and by 1975 it would contain fewer than 30,000,000 people.

On a relative basis the age group 5–19 will decline with any of the fertility, mortality, and immigration trends which are expected. By 1975 the group probably will include between 19 and 23 percent of the population as compared with 24 percent in 1945.

The working ages - 20 to 64

Changes from 1945 to 1975 in the number of persons in the working ages (20-64) will depend primarily on changes in mortality and in immigration, for the great majority of these persons are now living. The group has grown rapidly in the past and will continue to grow in the future, though at a slower pace. It included over 39,000,000 people in 1900 and nearly 83,000,000—more than twice as many—in 1945 (see table 33 and fig. 7). If there is no immigration between 1945 and 1975 the group will contain between 96,000,000 and 103,000,000 persons (depending on mortality rates), a gain of between 13,000,000 and 20,000,000, or of 16 to 24 percent. The net immigration of 500,000 foreigners each 5 years from 1945 to 1975 would add about 2,700,000 to the number of persons aged 20-64 in 1975 (assuming medium trends of fertility and mortality), if the immigrants have the same age distribution as those of 1925-29.

The major share of the increase during the next 30 years in the number of persons aged 20–64 will occur among those over 45 rather than under. In the absence of immigration the 45–64 portion probably will outgain its younger rivals by almost 2 to 1 or better, the increases with the low mortality, high fertility trend (15,000,000 births, 1945–50) being nearly 7,500,000 and 13,000,000, respectively, and those with the high mortality, low fertility trend being approximately 2,500,000 and 10,500,000. The net arrival of 500,000 foreigners each 5 years would narrow the differential somewhat; with medium fertility and mortality a movement about 5 times as large would be necessary to keep the gains equal.

On a proportional basis the 20-44 age group probably will become somewhat smaller in the future, containing between 34 and 38 percent of the population in 1975 as compared with 38.8 percent in 1945. The 45-64 age group, in contrast, is almost certain to include a larger proportion of the future population—probably 23 to 26 percent in 1975 as compared

with 20.4 percent in 1945. Low fertility, high mortality, and much immigration would help to maintain the relative status quo of the younger adults during the next 30 years; the opposite conditions would help maintain that of the older adults.

The elders - aged 65 and over

The number of persons 65 and older has risen steadily in the past, being approximately 1,150,000 in 1870, slightly over 3 million in 1900, and over 10 million in 1945 (see table 33 and fig. 7). The trend from 1945 to 1975 will depend entirely on the course of mortality and immigration during these years. On the assumptions of medium mortality and no immigration the number of elders may be expected to exceed 17,600,000 in 1975. The low mortality assumptions raise the forecast for 1975 to almost 20 million, and the high mortality assumptions lower it to a scant 17 million.

The proportion of the population aged 65 and over has not risen as rapidly as the number of persons in the group; nevertheless its rate of increase has been high. The 1945 proportion (7.2 percent) is more than twice that of 1880 and 11/3 times that of as recent a year as 1930. A substantial rise in future years is indicated by the forecasts, the 1975 percentage being 10.9 for the medium fertility, medium mortality assumptions and about 11.3 both for the low fertility, high mortality assumptions, and for the high fertility, low mortality assumptions (in each case without immigration). (See fig. 8.) The arrival during the next 30 years of many immigrants of the usual ages would add relatively few persons to the age group 65 and over in 1975, but by increasing the numbers in younger groups would tend to lower the proportion of elders in the population.

FORECASTS OF THE POPULATION OF THE UNITED STATES




FIGURE 8.—ESTIMATED POPULATION, BY COLOR, NATIVITY, AGE, AND SEX, FOR THE UNITED STATES, 1945; FORE-CASTS, 1960 AND 1975

INDICATED POPULATION CHANGES




FIGURE 8.—ESTIMATED POPULATION, BY COLOR, NATIVITY, AGE, AND SEX, FOR THE UNITED STATES, 1945; FORE-CASTS, 1960 AND 1975—Continued

CHAPTER IV

IMPLICATIONS OF THE EXPECTED TRENDS IN POPULATION GROWTH AND COMPOSITION

The changes in the growth and composition of the population which are to be anticipated in the United States before 1975 have been described in some detail in the preceding chapter. The three most important may be summarized briefly as (a) a decrease in the amount and rate of population growth, and perhaps eventually a stationary or diminishing population, (b) a large increase in the number of persons in the older age groups, and (c) either a small increase or a decrease in the number of children and youths, but with erratic fluctuations at certain ages. These changes will have numerous and varied effects on our economic, social, and political life. Whether they will tend to raise or lower the operating efficiency of our Nation is likely to depend in important degree on how well they are understood by leaders in private and public undertakings, and on how carefully they are taken into account in making plans for the future. In the past a continuation of rapid growth was unthinkingly taken for granted. During recent years there has developed an interest in knowing more about the conditions influencing and influenced by population growth and composition—an interest which augurs well for the future.

It is not possible here to list all the important ways in which the expected demographic developments will influence events in the United States, to say nothing of describing their consequences accurately. If several nations had already undergone population changes like those expected here, if at the same time other conditions had resembled those expected here, and if the effects of the population changes in these other countries had been similar in each case, it would be reasonable to suppose that the effects in the United States would follow much the same pattern. Unfortunately for the present discussion, there is no such experience to draw on. since no nation with other characteristics like ours has undergone similar demographic developments in the past. Instead, reliance must be placed on inductive reasoning based on the facts and relationships which are known. Most informed people who attempt such an analysis will come to similar conclusions as to several important effects that certain past population events will have during the next few years. These can be discussed with assurance and will be considered first. Attention will be given afterward to some of the less tangible effects of demographic changes, about which opinions will differ more widely. Because of their broad implications they need careful consideration well in advance of their occurrence by persons responsible for policy-making in industry, government, and other walks of life.

A. THE EFFECT OF PAST CHANGES IN THE NUMBER OF BIRTHS

On school enrollment1

One of the most obvious illustrations of the influence of population trends on other matters is the effect on the educational system of the changes which have taken place in the annual number of births during the last 20 years. The number of children between the ages of 5\% and 6\% years (the year of age during which a large majority of children enter the first grade) was only about 2,100,000 in September, 1939, and was smaller than in any other recent September because the number of births in 1933 was smaller than in any year since 1905 or thereabouts. By September, 1946, the prospective number of new first graders had increased to about 2,400,000 (nearly 15 percent over the 1939 figure) because of the rise in the number of births from 1933 to 1940. Each autumn from 1947 to 1949 will see additional increases in the number of children ready to enter grammar school. In 1949 the group will be 560,000 larger in absolute numbers than it was in 1946 and nearly 25 percent larger on a relative basis. In September, 1950 and 1951, there probably will be somewhat fewer prospective entrants for the first grade than in 1949, but in 1952 the group will reach the record-breaking number of nearly 3,300,000. This number is more than 500,000

¹The numbers of persons referred to in this discussion are estimated from births in the United States, hence they exclude the foreign born. In 1940 foreign-born persons amounted to only 0.5 percent of all persons under 20 years of age and to only 0.6 percent of the persons of these ages who were attending school.

above that for 1951 and nearly 900,000 above that for 1946, and represents a relative increase of over 36 percent in only 6 years (see table 34).

Table 34.—Estimated Number of Native-Born Persons of Specified Ages in the United States, September 1, 1940 to 1946, and Forecasts, 1947 to 1964

[Estimated]	from	hirtha	in	the	Inited	Statoel
i Estimateu	HOIL	DILLIDS	111	ше	United	Statesi

YEAR	5% to 6% years	11% to 12% years	14 % to 15 % years	17% to 18% years
1940	2,194,000 2,181,000 2,171,000 2,231,000 2,335,000	2,382,000 2,306,000 2,351,000 2,246,000 2,203,000	2,529,000 2,478,000 2,458,000 2,375,000 2,298,000	2,476,000 2,507,000 2,571,000 2,517,000 2,467,000
1945	2,312,000 2,407,000 2,551,000 2,843,000 2,963,000	2,095,000 2,182,000 2,169,000 2,160,000 2,220,000	2,343,000 2,239,000 2,196,000 2,089,000 2,175,000	2,447,000 2,364,000 2,288,000 2,333,000 2,230,000
1950 1951 1952 1953 1954	2,817,000 2,755,000 3,291,000	2,323,000 2,300,000 2,395,000 2,538,000 2,829,000	2,163,000 2,153,000 2,213,000 2,317,000 2,294,000	2,187,000 2,080,000 2,166,000 2,154,000 2,145,000
1955		2,949,000 2,804,000 2,743,000 3,276,000	2,389,000 2,532,000 2,822,000 2,942,000 2,797,000	2,205,000 2,308,000 2,285,000 2,381,000 2,523,000
1960			2,736,000 3,268,000	2,813,000 2,932,000 2,788,000 2,728,000 3,259,000

Since a large majority of youngsters spend only one year in a grade and most of those who fail to be promoted do so only once, the above-mentioned changes in the number of children of first-grade age will cause changes in those of the age for higher grades an appropriate number of years later. Thus, the prospective number of new fourth graders will be higher than that of the preceding year in each September from 1949 to 1952, will decline slightly in 1953 and 1954, and will jump to a new high in 1955. There will be about 3,280,000 in this group in 1955 compared with about 2,225,000 in 1946. Youngsters who are eleven and two-thirds to twelve and two-thirds years old (the common age for entering the seventh grade, or the first year of junior high school) will be increasingly numerous in each autumn from 1948 until 1955, and will exceed the previous record in 1958 or thereabouts. For this age group the gain from 1946 to 1958 will be nearly 1,100,000, or over 50 percent!

Variations in school enrollment at higher grades have been affected by changes in the proportion of youths attending school as well as by changes in their numbers; both should have an influence in the future. Because of past fluctuations in births, the number of boys and girls of the common age for

entering the tenth grade, or the first year of senior high school (142/3 to 152/3), decreased from about 2,530,000 in September, 1940, to about 2,240,000 in 1946, and will continue downward to about 2,090,000 in 1948. During the 13 years thereafter, however, the trend will be reversed, so that in September, 1961, there will be nearly 3,270,000 in the group if death rates decline somewhat, as is expected. This represents an increase of almost 1,200,000, or over 55 percent. If in addition to this increase in population there is a continuation of the past upward trend in the proportion of youngsters entering senior high school, faculty and facilities will need to be expanded on a still larger scale.

College enrollment has depended in important degree on changes in the proportion of youths going to college; nevertheless, changes in the number of youths of college age should not be ignored. The present record enrollments of colleges, due to the war and particularly to the veterans' education program, may begin to decrease in 1950 (or thereabouts) as the effect of these factors diminishes. Shortly afterward (in 1951) the number of persons of usual college entrance age (172/3 to 182/3) will commence an increase which (barring another war or other catastrophe) will last until 1964, amount to nearly 1,200,000, and enlarge the group by nearly 57 percent.

It is clear that the demographic changes outlined above will create serious problems for school administrators, particularly for those responsible for the lower primary grades. How can they obtain the additional teachers and classroom space which will be needed? Should temporary quarters be rented, present buildings enlarged, or new buildings erected? For the faculty of teacher training institutions the immediate question is whether to encourage a larger than average proportion of the students who will graduate in 1948 to 1955 (the freshmen beginning a 4-year course up to 1951) to prepare for teaching in the lower elementary grades. Later on, should more than the normal percentage of those graduating be encouraged to prepare for high school teaching? Answers to such questions could be given with more assurance if changes in human fertility after 1946 could be anticipated accurately. If the number of births were to remain at the high level of the 5 years 1942-46, first grade enrollment would remain at the high level of 1948-52, seventh grade enrollment at the high level of 1954-58, etc. For reasons brought out in the discussion of fertility trends (chapter II, section B), however, it seems much more likely that the number of births will begin a

short-time but substantial decline sometime in 1947 or 1948, and during 1950–55 will average 10 to 30 percent below the 1942–46 plateau. Plans for a 25 percent increase from 1946 to 1949 in the number of children of first-grade age must be made rather soon, before there will be much information as to whether this large gain will be temporary or prolonged. Before 1954, when the high fertility of 1942–46 begins to affect junior high school enrollment, boards of education and school superintendents will know the changes in births from 1946 to 1953, and whether they need to plan for a short or long-time expansion.

The foregoing discussion is from a Nation-wide point of view. Because the increase in births from 1933 to 1941 was not distributed evenly over the Nation, the rise in the number of locally born 6-year olds from 1939 to 1947 has been larger in some school districts than in others. Furthermore, internal migration has caused a net movement of children into some areas with a large increase in births but a net movement of children out of some areas with a small increase in births. Changes in the geographic distribution of population within the United States are outside the scope of this report, but obviously they are of more immediate importance to local school administrators than are trends in over-all national growth.

On the number of persons entering the labor market

A recent study by Durand² shows that 18 was the average age at which men joined the labor force during the latter part of the 1930's and that approximately 70 percent did so between their sixteenth and twentieth birthdays. For many youths entrance before attaining age 18 means part-time participation before schooling is completed; hence the corresponding ages for full participation would be somewhat older. Less is known about the age distribution of the women joining the labor force for the first time in any year, but in peacetime it probably does not differ greatly from that of men. From 1942 to 1945 the war obscured the influence of demographic factors on the number of persons entering the civilian labor force and on the age of those entering, for many women (especially among those 25 to 50) took jobs for the first time who would never have done so if no war had occurred, and large numbers of men who would normally have joined the civilian labor force became members of the armed forces.

From 1945 to 1947 demobilization obscured the influence of demographic factors on the propensity of persons to enter the civilian labor market for the first time and on certain of the characteristics of the entrants, for it increased their number greatly and raised their average age by a few years. The veterans' education program will prolong these influences until 1949 or later; nevertheless, the effect of population changes should not be ignored.

Because of past changes in the number of births. the number of youths of the normal age for entering the civilian labor force began to decrease in about 1940 and will reach a low point in about 1951. If the number of veterans who are re-entering after completing their education or training program also decreases after 1949 or 1950, as is possible, the total number of youths and young adults becoming available for employment will decline at a relatively rapid rate for a year or two. From 1951 to 1958, however, the demographic factor will work in the opposite direction. During this time there should be a gradual increase (totaling nearly 300,000, or 14 percent) in the number of youngsters seeking employment for the first time, and between 1958 and 1964 a sharp increase (totaling over 800,000, or nearly 37 percent). What will happen thereafter depends primarily on the number of births in 1947 and later years, and in minor degree on the amount of immigration.

Changes from year to year in the number of youths entering the labor market are not merely of esoteric interest but are of practical importance. These young men and women are the beginners in the occupational field; in general they start at the low paid jobs from which they are commonly promoted as they are trained and gain experience. Some industries depend on such beginners more than others, especially in certain departments. These will need to plan for substitutes during 1951-54 while the supply is short; later on, when the number is rising, they can rely in increasing degree on such workers and can raise their standards for selection. In-service training and apprentice programs will be affected similarly. It is probable that they should be expanded in the near future to protect against the expected small size of the potential supply of new workers during the early 1950's.

On the number of marriages

Another important event in the lives of most people — marriage — also will vary in numbers during future years because of past changes in the number

²Durand, John D., The Labor Force in the United States, 1890 to 1960 (to be published in 1948 under the auspices of the Scripps Foundation for Research in Population Problems).

of births. Men commonly marry for the first time at ages 20-25 and women at ages 18-23. One of the reasons for the record-breaking number of marriages in the United States during 1940-46 is that there were more men aged 20-25 and women aged 18-23 in these years than at any time previously. Unless there is a large amount of immigration during the next 10 years, the number of men and women in these age groups will continue the decline already begun and by 1956 will be about 12 percent below the 1947 figure. The number of first marriages will tend to be depressed in similar degree — a change which will in turn reduce the potential demand for engagement rings, wedding presents, household furniture, and other things related to marriages. After 1956 there should be another increase of population at the ages with high marriage rates, and the group should set a new record in 1966 or thereabouts, more than 30 percent above the expected low of 1956 and more than 14 percent above the 1947 figure. There should be a corresponding increase in the number of marriages during these years, which should tend to expand substantially the volume of business of concerns supplying goods and services used by newly married couples.

Although the number of marriages will vary because of these population changes, it will be influenced by other factors in important degree. It probably will not vary as closely with population changes as will school enrollment, and perhaps not as closely as the number of persons first entering the labor market. Evidence is available to show that variations in business conditions from year to year are an important cause of fluctuations in the marriage rate, prosperity encouraging marriage and depression discouraging it. In addition, an increase in the number of divorces tends to cause an increase in the number of marriages because a high proportion of divorced persons remarry. Nevertheless, changes in the number of persons aged 18 to 25 should continue to be the chief factor determining the trend line around which the number of marriages fluctuates year by year.

The past changes in the number of births may have some effect in the future on the tendency to marry and on the age difference between husband and wife. Because of the decrease in births from 1924 to 1933 and the increase from 1933 to 1946 the ratio of men aged 20–25 to women aged 18–23 will be relatively high from 1947 to 1952 and relatively low from 1957 to 1968 or later. In consequence, from 1947 until 1952 single men 20–25 will have a relatively large number of single women 18–23 from

whom to choose a bride. This may tend to raise the proportion of the former who marry, or lower the proportion of the latter who do so. From 1957 to 1968 these tendencies will be reversed. Such changes in the propensity to marry may be offset by changes in the proportion of bachelors selecting a bride 2 to 4 years younger as compared with one of some other age, but probably will not be completely offset because such a social custom tends to be stable.³

At ages beyond 40 the opportunities for spinsters and widows to marry probably will become less favorable in the future, and those for bachelors and widowers more favorable. For reasons explained in chapter III, section C, the sex ratio at ages 40–49 is expected to decline from 1945 to 1960 and the ratio at ages 50 and over to decline from 1945 to 1970 or 1975. As women come to outnumber men at these ages instead of being outnumbered by them, the likelihood of finding a spouse will be affected accordingly.

On the number of families and the housing situation

The expected changes in the number of persons joining the labor force and in the number of marriages will have an impact on the demand for housing, for it is at the time of these events in particular that the younger generation leaves the parental roof and establishes families in its turn.4 Because buildings are relatively permanent the number of new families is not the demographic factor of primary importance in the housing situation, but rather the net change in the number of families (the new families minus those disbanded). The number of persons of the age to form new families in a given year depends primarily on the annual number of births 18 to 25 years earlier, but the number of persons of the age at which established families come to an end depends primarily on the number of births many years earlier.

Although fertility rates were much lower 18-25 years ago than 58-65 years ago, the number of

³In the married population of the United States the difference between the median age of husbands and wives was 5.1 years in 1890 and declined gradually to 4.1 years in 1940. See Adams, Stuart N., "Trends in Age at Marriage," *The Ohio Valley Sociologist*, vol. XVII, No. 3, February, 1947, p. 3.

⁴The term "family" as used here is defined in the same manner as in the 1940 census. A (private) family comprises a family head and all other persons in the home who are related to the head by blood, marriage, or adoption, and who live together and share common housekeeping arrangements. A person living alone or without other related family members is counted as a one-person family. Institutions, hotels, large rooming houses, and other "quasi-households" are not considered to be families. See Bureau of the Census, "Estimates of Number of Families in the United States: 1940 to 1960," Population—Special Reports, Series P-46, No. 4, June 1, 1946.

births was much larger during the more recent period because the number of women of childbearing age had more than doubled from 1882-89 to 1922-29. The difference between the number of persons aged 18-25 and the number aged 58-65 in 1947 is still larger than the difference in births, because of the higher mortality rates of the older people at each age of life and the longer time for death to take its toll. In consequence, even though demographic developments may reduce the potential number of newly established families during the next few years, this group will outnumber those broken by death or other causes for several decades to come. From 1947 to 1955 population changes are expected to cause a downward trend in the number of new families, but an increase from 39,100,000 to about 42,900,000 in the total number of families (about (10 percent). (See table 35.) From 1955 to 1967 or later, they should cause an upward trend in the number of families formed annually, reversing the situation during the preceding decade. In consequence, the total number of families may rise at a higher rate during that period.

Table 35.—Estimated Number of Families in the United States, July 1, 1940 to 1945, and Forecasts, 1946 to 1960

	MEDIUM	ESTIMATE			
YEAR	Number of families	Increase since preceding year	High estimate	Low estimate	
1940 1941 1942 1943	35,125,000 35,850,000 36,450,000 36,875,000	725,000 600,000 425,000	35,125,000 35,875,000 36,600,000 37,200,000	35,125,000 35,825,000 36,400,000 36,750,000	
1945	37,100,000 37,500,000 38,175,000 39,100,000 40,025,000	225,000 400,000 675,000 925,000 925,000 500,000	37,500,000 37,975,000 38,775,000 39,825,000 40,675,000	36,875,000 37,150,000 37,650,000 38,325,000 39,050,000	
950 955 960	40,525,000 40,900,000 42,925,000 44,775,000	500,000 375,000 1405,000 1370,000	41,225,000 41,750,000 44,625,000 47,425,000	39,575,00 39,825,00 41,475,00 42,775,00	

¹Annual average for the preceding 5-year period.

Source: Bureau of the Census, "Estimates of Number of Families in the United States: 1940 to 1960," Population—Special Reports, Series P-46, No. 4, June 1, 1946.

It is obvious, of course, that the actual demand for housing units in various communities is affected by many factors other than Nation-wide trends in the size and age of the population. Economic conditions, for example, have an important influence not only on the marriage rate (which in turn influences the formation of new families), but also on the propensity of families to share housing units. It is equally obvious, however, that Nation-wide population developments should not be overlooked by

public officials responsible for federal or State housing programs, nor by executives of business concerns which are producing or distributing materials or supplies for residential construction over a large area. And even though in many localities the housing situation has been affected much more by the movement of people into or out of the locality than by the national rate of population growth, the latter should not be ignored by persons primarily concerned with local housing, for the frequency of rapid local growth probably will tend to diminish and that of rapid local loss to rise with the decrease in national growth.⁵

B. IMPLICATIONS OF DIMINISHING POPULATION GROWTH

The foregoing effects of demographic changes on national life are fairly certain and simple; hence they can be discussed with relative assurance. Other effects which may be even more important in the long run are more uncertain and complicated; consequently, there will be wider differences in opinions as to the likelihood of their occurrence and as to the severity of the problems they will create. The more thought and study that are given to them, however, the greater the probability that they will be understood and that steps can be taken to benefit from the favorable changes and minimize those working in the opposite direction.

Prosperity and the level of living

During recent years a beginning has been made in evaluating the probable effect on our national economy of a decreasing rate and amount of population growth, and eventually of a decreasing population. Because large population gains and a relatively high but usually rising level of living have gone hand in hand in the United States during past decades, many people apparently have thought that the former was an important cause of the latter and that if the number of people were to increase slowly, or not at all, the level of living would be quite likely to cease to rise or begin to fall. This opinion, of course, gives too little credit for our present wellbeing to our vast acreage of good farm land, our huge mineral resources, the ability of our people to use this natural wealth intelligently, and the fact that we are not greatly overpopulated as are India and China.

Perhaps because of our relatively recent pioneer background we have greatly esteemed the booster

⁵See below, p. 66.

spirit, one of the aspects of which has been the feeling that the best population is the one which is the largest and has the most rapid rate of growth. For years the attention of the public has been called to the high prices paid for desirable property in booming cities; in contrast, little has been said until relatively recently about the slump in values in residential areas which formerly were desirable but which have been blighted in part because of the shifts caused by population growth, nor about the social and economic problems developing in these blighted areas. It is true that the many new apartment houses, office buildings, and other structures of rapidly growing cities look better and are more efficient than the older ones of cities with a slowly growing or decreasing population. But there is a price to be paid for such advantages, namely, the shortened life of the structures which occupied the land previously. Demolition and reconstruction certainly are desirable eventually from a cost standpoint, but may be forced too far ahead of time by rapid population growth and be done when modernization would otherwise be more efficient.

The economists who have written in recent years about the problems which will be caused by smaller gains (or by decreases) in population have been concerned especially with what will happen to the opportunities for employment and for the investment of savings, because of the close relation between these opportunities and national well-being. When the number of persons is rising rapidly it is necessary to prepare for the increase. Houses and apartments must be built; streets must be paved; power, light, water, and sewer systems must be extended; existing factories, stores, and other business structures must be enlarged or new ones erected; and much machinery must be manufactured. These activities and the many others which are needed to meet the expansion of demand caused by the additional consumers have a twofold and extremely important series of effects on the national economy. First, by requiring large investments of funds, they help to maintain interest rates, encourage savings, and facilitate the accumulation of wealth. Second. by furnishing jobs for a large number of people, they tend to keep unemployment at a minimum and to maintain average per capita earnings and ability to consume. The result is the maintenance of high levels of employment, production, and prosperity.

If the foregoing relationships exist in fact, as economists and business leaders believe, a rapid diminution of population growth would tend to lessen correspondingly the increase that otherwise would occur in all of the activities normally carried on to provide for additional people. There would be a shrinkage in the demand for additional funds to finance these undertakings, which would curtail the expansion of investment opportunities, reduce interest rates, and pile up idle funds. Fewer additional workers would be needed in the industries making things required because of population growth, especially in residential, commercial, and industrial construction, and in machinery manufacturing. The slackening of employment opportunities in such industries would tend to lower wages in others as well; hence both directly and indirectly it would reduce the aggregate income of consumers. This, in turn, would reduce the demand for consumer goods and throw out of work some of the persons producing them. Once such a cycle of events was started it could throw the national economy into a downward spiral and bring on a depression as bad as (or worse than) that of the 1930's. In fact, it is possible that the decrease in average annual population growth from about 1,870,000 during 1920-24 to 1,490,000 during 1925-29 and to 920,000 during 1930-32 was partially responsible for the severity of the last depression, and that the slow rise in average annual growth from 790,000 during 1933 to 920,000 during 1935-39 was partially responsible for the slow recovery from that depression.

To show that a sudden change from recent rates of population growth to a stationary or decreasing population could play an important role in bringing on a serious depression does not imply that the continuation of recent rates of growth would insure the continuation of prosperity. On the contrary, there are at least two important reasons for expecting that business cycles would continue to occur even under such conditions. The first is that they occurred during the nineteenth century when rates of population growth were much higher than they have been in recent decades. The second and more important is that conclusive knowledge is not available as yet regarding the exact causes of these cycles; hence opinions differ widely as to how they may be controlled, and the regulatory mechanisms which have been established may be inadequate. The attempt here is merely to show why the expected population changes may tend to a greater extent than those in the past to shorten future periods of recovery and prosperity, and to lengthen periods of decline and depression.

Better customers versus more customers

Realizing that demographic trends may have harmful tendencies in the economy should not lead to a pessimistic attitude regarding the future, but instead should stimulate the consideration of means of offsetting them. It is possible, of course, that other conditions could change in sufficient degree to more than balance the influence of the population factor. One proposal to this end is based on the principle that if a smaller part of the Nation's productive plant and labor force is to be required to produce the things needed for additional people, more of it ought to be used to produce the things needed for the people already present. In simpler language, a decrease in the number of new customers can be offset if each old customer increases his purchases sufficiently. This is by no means a revolutionary suggestion. On the contrary, it is believed widely that decreases or insufficient increases in the per capita purchasing power of the bulk of the population have led to depressions in the past and that increases in this power are necessary for continued prosperity. Demographic analysis simply gives additional reasons for efforts to secure such increases.

The problem is highly pertinent in 1947 even though the demand for so many things exceeds the supply, for progress from year to year in raising the ability to buy of the average person has been slow in the past, and the productive capacity of our economy is so great that the present postwar shortages can be reduced rapidly. The information available in the spring of 1947 indicates that the construction industry and other industries which provide things needed for additional people are likely to operate at full capacity until after 1948. During this period, before the backlog of orders is wiped out and these industries must curtail their activities to the point required merely for meeting current demands, is the time for considering how to meet the problems which will arise later.

According to traditional laissez-faire economics, the demographic developments will tend to set in operation certain processes that will help to solve the problems which they raise. The theory involved is that the things which are produced jointly by the three factors of production — natural resources, labor, and capital — tend to be divided among these factors in accordance with their relative scarcity. If labor is relatively plentiful compared with natural resources and capital, its share tends to be small; if it is relatively scarce, its share tends to be large. The slowing up of population growth has already re-

tarded the growth of the labor force and will continue to do so in the future. This fact should tend to increase the share of the joint product going to labor and hence to each member of the labor force. Since the percentage of the population in the labor force is expected to remain almost the same from 1950 to 1960 (or later) as it was in 1940, the decreasing growth of the labor force should mean a rise in the per capita income of that large proportion of the population dependent primarily on wages and salaries — in other words, of a large majority of consumers and a still larger proportion of those who need to be made better customers.

Entire dependence need not be placed, however, on the automatic operation of so-called economic laws. Most leaders in business and government realize already that the continuance of national prosperity depends on adequate per capita ability to buy among the masses, not merely among the well-to-do, and would be willing to advocate a course of action which would maintain or raise this capacity to consume. The first difficulty is that (as mentioned above) opinions differ as to the best means of achieving the desired goal and conclusive evidence is lacking to show which of the procedures advocated are more nearly correct. Much thought and effort are being devoted to such questions, however, and should bring results in time.

A second difficulty is that an action which would help to raise per capita consuming power may be expensive and even harmful to the person who decides whether it should be taken. For example, the man in charge of a family-controlled corporation may know that an increase in the proportion of the corporation's income going to its employees instead of to its stockholders, or a decrease in the prices of its products which would reduce dividends (but not wages), would benefit the national economy. He may decide not to make such a change, however, in part because he and his stockholders would shoulder most of the costs, but would receive only an infinitesimal part of the advantages which would accrue from the rise in ability to buy among the masses. Moreover, the contemplated change in wages or prices might start a fight within the industry which would be disastrous to the corporation in question. Although industry-wide bargaining between management and labor has some objectionable features, it should increase the extent to which business leaders who appreciate the need for raising purchasing power by appropriate wage increases and price reductions can work toward that goal without weakening the competitive position of their own companies in the industry. The more industries in which this can be done, the greater the likelihood that the purchasing power of the main body of consumers can be raised sufficiently to offset the decline in the additions to consumer purchasing power which will result from the expected diminution of population increase.

A more fundamental, but less well-known, example of what may be good for the economic position of the individual but bad for that of the Nation relates to that often-praised characteristic, the ability to save. The more a person saves the stronger his financial position becomes; it is difficult to conceive that any other relationship between amount saved and financial security could be possible. Nonetheless, the study of business cycles indicates strongly that the aggregate savings of all of the corporations, partnerships, and individuals in the Nation may become so large as to be responsible in important degree for ending a period of prosperity and bringing on a depression. Perhaps the appropriate analogy is that aggregate oversaving may injure the Nation's economic health, just as oversaving by a miser may weaken his body and distort his mind. Large savings by corporations and individuals have been of great benefit to the Nation during most of the past, especially when population was growing rapidly and spreading into frontier areas and there was need for a large amount of investment funds to develop mines, extend railroads, and build factories, barns, and homes. As with many other things, however, an oversupply may be harmful. Evidence is being accumulated to support the belief that the presence of large amounts of idle funds awaiting investment is detrimental to the economy and that such a situation ought to be prevented. The procedures suggested include reducing savings, increasing the opportunities for private investment by increasing the purchasing power of consumers, and increasing the amount invested by the Government in such things as roads, airports, and slum eradication projects.

Because the reduction of population growth and the control of business cycles may make it desirable for aggregate national savings to be smaller than formerly does not mean that the large majority of people should save less than they have in the past. Hansen has estimated that if high income levels (like those of the 1920's) and the accompanying propensity to save should prevail after World War II, the savings of corporations, unincorporated businesses, and individual entrepreneurs would constitute about 60 percent of the gross amount saved in

the United States, and the savings of other persons the remaining 40 percent.6 Much of the latter would come from the relatively small proportion of persons with incomes of \$5,000 or more; hence the savings of the great mass of the population would constitute a relatively small proportion of the national total. In consequence, if a reduction of aggregate savings in the United States should become desirable to help prevent depressions and counterbalance some of the effects of diminishing population growth, it should involve corporations, partnerships, and individual entrepreneurs primarily, and should be achieved by increasing dividends, raising wages, or reducing prices. Increasing dividends probably would be the least effective of these three procedures because the large proportion of dividend payments are made to that small proportion of the population with incomes above \$5,000 which is responsible for a major portion of individual savings. Raising wages or lowering prices would have the advantage of increasing the ability to buy of the bulk of the population, and thus would stimulate the demand for goods and the need for industrial expansion. The latter would enlarge the opportunity for the investment of capital, and hence would increase the aggregate amount of savings desirable for national prosperity. In short, if for the benefit of the Nation's economy total savings for investment ought to be reduced, success in beginning this reduction should lessen the curtailment of savings needed.

It is possible, of course, that an attempt to reduce business savings by increasing the purchasing power of consumers would lead to increased personal savings by too many individuals. It is also possible that such a tendency would be more than offset by influences working in the opposite direction. For example, one of the most important reasons for saving among the bulk of the population is protection against accidents, sickness, and old age. As medical and hospital insurance plans and the social security program are extended and strengthened, these motives may be less compelling. Moreover, according to economic theory the conditions which would make desirable a reduction of aggregate national savings would also lower interest rates and perhaps reduce the propensity to save. The net effect may be that the ratio of spending to earnings will rise and that thereby each customer will tend to become a better customer.

⁶Hansen, Alvin H., "Postwar Employment Outlook," in Harris, Seymour (Ed.), Economic Reconstruction, McGraw-Hill Book Co., Inc., New York, 1945, p. 19:

Whether corporations and businessmen will be able to bring about without governmental assistance the adjustments that will be called for by the diminution of population growth remains to be seen. Meanwhile, the opinion seems to be general that until they prove their ability to do so the various branches of federal, State, and local government should be prepared to take supplementary action. Specific proposals center on public works which would bolster the construction industry, for such undertakings are particularly appropriate to government and this industry is one of the first to need help as a depression approaches or as population growth dwindles. It may be of great value to prepare plans for public buildings, express highways, airports, slum eradication, flood prevention, and similar projects during coming months and have them ready for action when the postwar building boom and high birth rate come to an end, as they very probably will within a few years.7

Slow improvement adequate

The problem of raising the purchasing power of the masses in sufficient degree to compensate for the deceleration of population growth appears less formidable when it is realized that only a small relative change will be needed. Few people, if any, believe that from 1900 to 1915 the population was growing so slowly as to handicap national economy and exert a depressing influence on the level of living. During those years the rate of growth averaged less than 1.9 percent per year; from 1950 to 1975 it is expected to average approximately 0.5 percent (with medium fertility and mortality trends, and the net immigration of 500,000 persons per 5 years). On this basis an average annual gain of only 1.4 percent in per capita ability to buy should compensate for the effect on the Nation's prosperity of the diminishing rate of population growth. Moreover, during the first part of the 1950-75 period a smaller improvement will suffice. Later, when a more rapid gain may be needed, it may be achieved

with no greater effort because additional experience will be available as to how to do so. There is also the possibility that as time passes and more is learned about economic relationships other ways than making most people better customers will be found for maintaining prosperity without large additions to the number of customers.

Less violent business cycles

Although a reduction in population increase may make certain problems more critical, it may mitigate others. As pointed out above, the construction industries are more important in a population growing rapidly than in one growing slowly; hence they can exert a greater influence on national economy in the former situation. Because these industries are noted for their wide cyclical swings a decrease in their importance should tend to reduce the violence of business cycles. It may be thought that if persons were made better customers in order to offset the relative contraction of the construction industries there would be an expansion of the luxury or semiluxury industries, and that the disadvantages of this expansion would outweigh the advantages gained by reducing the importance of the construction industries. It is true, of course, that the demand for semiluxury and luxury goods fluctuates more than the demand for necessities and that a rise in the relative importance of the former in our economy could tend to unsettle it. What is likely to prevent such a development is the fact that so many of the necessities of today are the semiluxuries of vesterday and the luxuries of an earlier time, and that such a relationship may be expected to continue.

Maintaining population growth through immigration

In the foregoing discussion it was assumed that population growth would slacken as indicated in chapter III; hence attention was focused on how to meet the problems which would arise in consequence. Another approach is to consider how growth could be maintained at the rate of recent decades and what the results would be. It is almost certain that if the present restrictions on immigration were modified in sufficient degree the slowing up of population growth could be postponed for several decades at least. The chief question is whether the difficulties which would be created or intensified by such action would be less serious than those which would be removed or reduced by delaying the approach of a stationary or decreasing population. The problems of social and economic assimilation associated with

⁷For a more detailed analysis of the relation of employment, consumption, and investment to business cycles, and of the effect of diminishing population growth on these matters, see: Clark, Colin, The Conditions of Economic Progress, MacMillan and Company, London, 1940. Habeler, Gottfried, Prosperity and Depression, United Nations, Lake Success, New York, 1946. Hansen, Alvin H., Fiscal Policy and Business Cycles, W. W. Norton, New York, 1941. Hansen, Alvin H., Economic Policy and Full Employment, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1947. Hayes, H. Gordon. Spending, Saving, and Employment, Alfred A. Knopf, New York, 1945. Schumpeter, Joseph A., Business Cycles, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1939. Spengler, Joseph J., "Population and Per Capita Income," The Annals of The American Academy of Political and Social Science, January, 1945, pp. 182-192. Spengler, Joseph J., "Population Movements and Economic Equilibrium in the United States," The Journal of Political Economy, vol. XLVIII, No. 2, April, 1940, pp. 153-182.

large amounts of immigration led to the development of the present immigration program. They have been discussed at length in many articles and books, and need not be considered here. In contrast, little thought has been given to the questions of how the Nation's present population compares in numbers with its optimum population and whether additional growth will take the Nation toward or away from this optimum.

The economic optimum population

The number of people that is most desirable for the Nation can be considered from several standpoints. A religious fanatic might base his judgment entirely on the number of potential worshippers and say that the largest population is the best. A military man might have thought a few centuries ago that a population had the best size if it could furnish a sufficient number of soldiers to overawe its enemies in peacetime and assure victory if war occurred. Now, however, he would undoubtedly consider not only the number of soldiers but also the number of atom bombs and other war matériel that could be produced. In strict biological terms, the optimum population is the largest that can be supported with the existing environment. If a population exceeds the biological optimum, it will be weakened by starvation and other ills until it falls to (or below) that number; if it is below the biological optimum, it will breed up to it (in the absence of reproductive controls). The economic optimum population of a nation in a given year is usually thought of as the number of people which permits the maximum production of economic goods and services per capita with natural resources and human ability to use them as they are in that year. If the actual population were larger or smaller than the optimum population, its level of living would tend in consequence to be depressed in economic terms.

Attention will be focused here on the economic optimum because of its great significance. With our civilization a population that is well off in economic terms is likely to rate high as to health, physical fitness, and longevity. Because of its high per capita productivity a population at the economic optimum is likely to be able to support the arts and to have the leisure to enjoy them. Moreover, a population that rates high from an economic standpoint also rates high from a military standpoint. While no reputable economist or demographer has said exactly how many million persons constitute the economic optimum population of the United States, the con-

sensus of those who have studied the problem is that the number is at least several million less than the present population; it may be as low as 100,000,000.8 The reasons for such a belief can only be summarized here.

As far as agriculture, forestry, and mining are concerned, the acres of land and number of deposits which are needed for our present population exceed those that would be needed if our population were smaller. Some of the acres and deposits now needed are of much lower grade than others, and not only yield a much smaller return per unit of capital and labor under present technology but would do so under the technology foreseeable during the next few decades. In consequence, the return per unit of labor and capital in agriculture, forestry, and mining tends to be substantially lower with a population of the present size than it would be with one of much smaller size.

The optimum size of population for each of the manufacturing industries is one that provides a market for the goods which can be made in a factory of the most efficient size. For scarcely any of the important products manufactured in the United States is the present population too small to utilize the goods of one such factory. On the contrary, during recent decades the number of people has been sufficiently large to consume all of the vast majority of products that could be made in scores or hundreds of factories, each of them large enough for maximum efficiency. In other words, if the population were substantially larger than it is at present, the manufacturing industries would not for that reason be appreciably more efficient; if it were substantially smaller, the manufacturing industries would not for that reason be appreciably less efficient. Within wide limits above and below the present numbers the distribution of the population is more important to manufacturing than its size.

A third type of situation is represented by transportation and communication. The population in some areas is so large that the efficiency of portions of these industries is reduced thereby, for example, that of the trucking industry in New York City, but for the Nation as a whole the opposite is true. Thus, although double-tracked railroads can haul much more traffic per unit of investment than those with a single track, the majority of lines are single-tracked because that is adequate for their present

⁸Spengler, Joseph J., "Population and Per Capita Income." The Annals of The American Academy of Political and Social Science, January, 1945. p. 187; Whelpton, P. K., "Population Policy for the United States," Journal of Heredity, vol. XXX, No. 9. September, 1939, p. 403.

business. If the population were sufficiently larger, many more could be double-tracked and be much more efficient. Similar statements can be made about highways, telephone and telegraph systems, and most of the other transportation and communication industries. Airlines may be one of those least affected because a relatively high proportion of their costs vary directly with the number of planes.

The efficiency of most of the other industriesconstruction, trade, finance, insurance, real estate, and services-depends less on the size of the Nation's population than on how it is distributed, for an important proportion of the activities of these industries are on a local scale. A high proportion of doctors and contractors, banks and stores, are situated in areas with a population sufficiently large for them to function efficiently, but some, of course, are in places which are too small. In trying to draw a line between the two groups, however, it must be remembered that wide differences in size of community may be accompanied by small differences in net unit costs. Thus, although the city's large department store may pay the salesclerks and supervisors less per dollar of goods sold than the town's comparatively small shop, the total cost of doing business may be as high for the former as for the latter because of differences in the opposite direction for other factors, particularly rent. There is little doubt that at present a large majority of our people live in communities with a sufficient population to permit the trade and service industries to operate at, or very close to, maximum efficiency. A larger national population presumably would have a somewhat higher proportion in such communities, and a smaller population a somewhat lower proportion. The resulting differences in the efficiency of the industries in question probably would be between those for manufacturing and those for transportation and communication, but much closer to the former than the latter.

The crucial question, therefore, is whether the substantially lower efficiency of agriculture, forestry, and mining in a population several million larger than the present population would be offset by the slightly higher efficiency of a few of the manufacturing, trade, and service industries, plus the substantially higher efficiency of the transportation and communication industries and some of the trade and service industries. The answer appears to be in the negative. In 1940 nearly 9,500,000 persons were engaged in agriculture, forestry, and mining (where output per worker would tend to be lower with a larger population), and barely 3,100,-

000 were engaged in transportation and communication (where output per worker would tend to be higher with a larger population). The latter group would be increased somewhat by an appropriate allowance for the workers in the branches of the trade and service industries which would be made more efficient by a larger population, but would still be smaller than the former. To use the economists' terms, a substantially larger population would be less advantageous to the industries which are now in the stage of increasing returns with reference to population than it would be disadvantageous to the industries which are now in the stage of decreasing returns. Conversely, a population substantially smaller than the present would be less disadvantageous to the former industries than advantageous to the latter. In short, it is becoming realized that the population of the United States, like that of most western European nations, has exceeded the economic optimum for many years, though opinions still differ widely as to the size of the excess. Further population increase, of course, will make the excess still larger.

To say that a smaller population would have certain economic advantages compared with the present population does not mean that it would be desirable to have a rapid decline to that level. As pointed out earlier, a rapid reduction of growth would bring serious problems; a decrease in population would make them critical. The ideal situation would seem to be for growth to diminish gradually so that the economy could become adjusted to the process, and for the population to reach its maximum size at a level which would not be so far above the economic optimum as to depress per capita output and standards of living too severely.

Granting that the present population exceeds the economic optimum does not mean admitting that the larger population expected in 1975 will not have a level of living superior to that of today. This improvement is expected by almost everyone. If it occurs, however, it will be in spite of the population growth in the interim and because of such things as scientific discoveries, technological advances, and greater knowledge of ways to make our economy work effectively. Nevertheless, the fact that the United States now has a population well in excess of the economic optimum does raise questions that should be considered carefully in connection with any program, such as a large increase in immigration, which may be advocated because it would maintain a relatively high rate of population growth.

Conservation of natural resources

A gradual slackening of population growth should be welcomed by conservationists because it will help to lessen the reduction of soil fertility and the rate of exhaustion of nonreplaceable natural resources. Although the United States is more abundantly supplied with fertile soils and rich mineral deposits than most nations, it probably has been more prodigal in their use. Sheet erosion has lowered the productive capacity of millions of acres of cropland; failure to prevent gullying has had more serious immediate effects on a smaller area. Poor soil management has been the main cause, of course, but the demand of a growing population for more food and fiber has probably been harmful rather than beneficial. It is estimated that a continuation of recent consumption rates would exhaust the known highgrade deposits of iron ore in 25-30 years, of zinc in 20-25 years, of copper in 14-16 years, and of lead in 12-14 years. Medium-grade deposits will last longer, but not indefinitely, and will be more expensive to use. As time passes it is almost certain that additional reserves will be discovered and that science will provide substitutes, but there is no assurance that they will be equally cheap. A slowing up of population growth, therefore, should be helpful to the next generation by allowing it to inherit more natural resources—an inheritance which should partially compensate for the huge national debt that will also be passed on.

Slower growth of cities

The majority of persons, of course, have a much more immediate interest in the future growth of population in one or two communities than in the Nation as a whole. City officials want to know how many more people must be provided with water and sewer facilities, and how soon. Utility executives have the same questions with regard to electricity, gas, telephones, and local transportation. In most other businesses catering to the home area the local population factor is less important and the competitive position of the individual company more important; nevertheless, the former usually deserves some attention. Because this report deals with the demography of the Nation as a whole, only the general effects on localities can be discussed here.

It is obvious that as population growth decreases in the entire Nation it should decrease also in most parts of the Nation. The decline in the rate of natural increase probably will be largest in rural areas, which have had a high rate in the past and which have sent large numbers of migrants to rapidly growing towns and cities. Changes in the location of industry and hence in regional and local employment opportunities will continue to cause shifts in population, but whether they will still be predominantly from areas of relatively high natural increase to those of relatively low natural increase remains to be seen. With a smaller surplus of rural people, the population growth of other communities will depend in increasing degree on their own excess of births or on their attracting migrants from one another. The former will mean a general reduction in rates of growth; the latter will permit some high rates, but will mean that actual decreases are occurring in some of the places furnishing the migrants.

Plans for community expansion will need to be scrutinized more carefully in the future than in the past, for there will no longer be the same assurance of a continued growth. There will be less justification for public officials to construct permanent or semipermanent facilities, such as schools, water supplies, and sewer systems, in excess of the needs of the next few years. The slowing up of national population growth may make additional expansion unnecessary, or may postpone the need so long that the carrying charges during the interim will be excessively heavy. Taxpayers should realize that the per capita burden of long-term indebtedness will not be lightened as rapidly as in the past by an increase in their numbers. Public utility executives, too, will have the prospect of a more nearly constant number of customers, but in the case of electricity, at least, are more likely to see a substantial rise in per capita consumption. Other industries catering to the local market also will become more dependent for expansion on better customers than on additional customers.

Urban and suburban land in most areas will be likely to have a less rapid upward trend in real value in the future than the past because in important degree this value will come to depend more on the number of people currently in the area and less on the expected increase in population. In fact, since a tendency to over-capitalize anticipated future growth has been widespread, declines in land values may occur in many communities when it is realized that local growth will not live up to previous expectations. The next 30 years should see a smaller rise in farm land values with a slowly increasing population than with one rapidly growing, because the ratio of people to acres plays an important role in

setting those values and the rise in this ratio will be retarded.

Improving population quality

The slowing up of population growth may have a tendency to improve the quality of the population in certain respects, or at least to retard further retrogression. Much concern has been aroused in recent years over the relatively small families of persons with high school or college education, or with medium or higher incomes, as compared with the relatively large families of persons with only grade school education, or with low incomes. Although the supporting evidence is rather scanty and not completely convincing, the general opinion is that the children of the former are more intelligent and able than those of the latter, and hence that the differences in fertility tend to cause the stock to deteriorate biologically. That the fertility differentials are undesirable from an environmental standpoint is seldom questioned. Plenty of evidence is available to show that as a rule the children of parents with little education and low incomes grow up in surroundings which are not favorable to health, education, moral training, and other desirable characteristics, and in consequence that they grow up to be less useful citizens than the children of parents with medium or higher education and income.

As pointed out in section B, "Fertility Trends," of chapter II, the long-time downward trend in family size during past decades occurred primarily because of attempts to space children and avoid having more than were wanted. In general, these began and were most successful in the relatively prosperous and well-educated groups, and spread slowly from them to others. Recent studies show that a large majority of the present couples try to plan their families, and that it is in the under-educated and low-income groups that such efforts are least frequent and successful. Fortunately for society, the infiltration process does not appear to have stopped. There are reasons for believing that attempts to space children will become more common and effective in the underprivileged groups, and that in consequence the future will see a lessening of fertility differentials between socio-economic groups and of their unfavorable results. The situation will be still further improved if families that live in superior surroundings, and that are of superior biological stock, decide to have more children than other families.

In summary, except to persons who overvalue size and would like the population of the United States

to be as large as that of India or China, a gradual slowing up of our rate of growth should appear desirable. It should postpone the time when natural resources will be exhausted, contribute to economic stability, and lessen the likelihood of deterioration of the quality of the population. Unless our economy has lost its power of adaptability, this demographic change should help to raise our level of living, for in the long run the less that must be produced each year to provide for the expected increase in population, the more it should be possible to produce each year for current consumption by the people already present.

C. IMPLICATIONS OF THE AGING OF THE POPULATION

The future changes in the age distribution of our people, like the diminution of population growth, will have highly significant repercussions, some of which are simple and others extremely difficult to evaluate. The 45 to 55 percent increase expected between 1945 and 1975 in the proportion of persons 65 or older in the population, and the 15 to 40 percent decrease expected in the proportion of children under five, should bring important changes in the demand for certain kinds of consumer goods and services. Having 7,000,000 to 10,000,000 more elders may not affect greatly the consumption of many basic types of articles, for relatively few are used primarily by these people. The change in quantity demand should be large, however, for the things which are bought chiefly for oldsters—canes, toupees, hearing aids, etc. The list of things used primarily by children is much longer, including nursing equipment, diapers, toys, skates, and bicycles; hence the expected decrease or small increase in the number of children will be felt much more widely.

Although the 50 percent rise in the proportion of elders may not have much effect on the over-all demand for most types of goods consumed by adults, it may affect the styling of these items. The tastes of most people are believed to become more conservative with age; hence clothing manufacturers may find a relative decrease in the demand for their more extreme styles. The proportion of "stouts" and "large sizes" among suits and other garments should rise slowly since waist lines and hips tend to thicken with age. Other physiological changes which accompany age may tend to support a movement for lower hem lines and to expand the demand for the rejuvenating aids of the cosmetic industry.

Housing

The effect of age changes on housing and institutional facilities may be more important than their effect on the potential demand for consumer goods. The decrease in the proportion of children in the population and the larger drop in the proportion of families having several children have reduced the demand for detached houses, especially for large houses, and increased the demand for apartments.9 The increase in the proportion of persons past 50 also has tended to stimulate the demand for apartments. Both of these influences undoubtedly will continue to operate in the future. Couples who are middle-aged are less likely than younger couples to have small children living with them, and hence are less likely to need the yard that usually goes with a detached house but not with an apartment. As they grow older they are less able to do the additional work usually entailed by the extra rooms and the independent heating system of a house. For couples no longer tied down by children, anxious to avoid part of the cold weather and with the money to take a winter vacation, the ease with which an apartment can be left at that season may be of great significance. A small apartment in a building with restaurant and cleaning services may have special appeal to such people.

Estimates are not available as yet of probable future changes in the number of families composed entirely of persons middle-aged or older, but the trends may be judged roughly from the population by age. Between 1945 and 1975 the number of persons aged 20-44 is expected to increase between 5 and 20 percent, the number of persons aged 45-64 between 35 and 50 percent, and the number 65 and over between 70 and 100 percent. It seems reasonable, therefore, to expect that during this period the rate of increase of families composed solely of persons 45 or older will be at least twice as large as that for families with younger persons. At present a large majority of the families with no child under 18 and either (1) a male head 55 or older with wife present, (2) a male head 45 or older of other marital status, or (3) a female head 45 or older, include no person under 45 years of age. In 1940 there was only about one such family for every two others; by 1975 there are likely to be more than two such families for every three others.

Hospitals and homes for the aged

Attention was called earlier to some of the probable effects on the school system of the changes to be expected in the number of children. The rapid increase in the number and proportion of elderly people will bring corresponding problems for the institutions and organizations dealing with this portion of the population. In the past elderly people have customarily been cared for by their relatives -usually their grown-up children. With the decrease in size of family there have been fewer children to do the work involved in such care and share the financial burden. Furthermore, the reduction in the number of children has restricted the range of choice as to which ones the elders should live with. Because doubling involves problems of adjustment this has lessened the probability of establishing satisfactory relationships and increased the likelihood of personality disturbances among parents and offspring. The demographic factor has reenforced other trends (such as the improvement in health and in economic conditions) which have tended to prolong the independent life of persons past middle age. A continuation of this latter trend should be helpful to both generations.

The increase in the proportion of elderly people has already been sufficiently large to make persons engaged in medical and public health activities realize that the diseases and infirmities of later life present some of our most serious health problems. As time passes they will merit a greater share of the effort of practicing physicians and medical research institutions; the need for geriatricians will increase much more rapidly than that for pediatricians. At younger ages acute illnesses predominate but recovery is usually speedy, whereas at older ages chronic and organic diseases are much more common and recovery is slow. In consequence, the aging of the population means that hospitals will need to plan for changes in the demand for their services-not much increase or decrease in maternity and children's wards, but a large rise in the potential number of elderly patients.

Rates charged by group insurance plans which provide medical and hospital services may need to be raised slowly as the average age of the covered population goes up, for older people are sick more often than young adults and need a longer period for convalescence, both of which will increase costs. Fortunately, however, further improvements in medicine and public health may counterbalance the effect of rising age in this connection.

⁹The cause and effect relationship in this connection probably is not entirely one way, for the low proportion of dwelling units with three or more bedrooms among those constructed in recent years probably has had a tendency to restrict family size.

Since many elderly patients come to a point in their recovery where they need a long period of rest and care with a minimum of medical treatment, institutions providing such services should probably be expanded substantially. Unlike the large hospitals, which usually are located relatively close to city centers, these rest homes might well be developed in suburbs or in resort areas.

The restrictions on immigration plus the less favorable mortality of men are expected to bring a substantial decrease in the ratio of men to women in the older ages during coming decades. In 1945 there were about 86 men 75 or older per 100 women of that age; by 1975 there are likely to be less than 72 per 100. This tendency for the sex ratio to decrease needs to be taken into account in planning institutions for the aged.

Social security

Even though advances in medicine and public health improve the physical condition of elderly people, the great increase in their numbers seems sure to mean a substantial increase in those physically unable to care for themselves throughout the latter part of their lifetime. Whether this means a corresponding increase in publicly supported "homes for the aged" depends in important degree on the development of the social security program. Since the trend seems to be in the direction of greater coverage and larger benefits, a decreasing proportion of the permanently incapacitated older people may need to be cared for in public institutions. If so, there will need to be a large expansion in private facilities for those who can afford to pay for them.

The increase in the number of persons 65 or older from about 10,100,000 in 1945 to between 17,000,-000 and 20,000,000 in 1975 will increase correspondingly the load on the social security program. From 1940 to 1945 approximately 440,000 men were reaching "retirement" age (65) each year; from 1970 to 1975 there will be between 660,000 and 760,000, an increase of 50 to 75 percent. The change in the ratio of prospective pensioners to producers may be even more significant. In 1945 there were 12.2 men of "retirement" age (65 or older) per 100 men of "working" age (20 to 64); by 1975 the ratio will rise to between 15 and 18. Since the coverage of the "old age and survivors insurance" program probably will be broadened in the future, the responsibilities of this part of the social security system will expand even more rapidly.

Changes during the next few decades in the ratio

of women 65 or older to women 20-64 will be larger than those for men, for reasons mentioned above. They probably will have a different impact on the social security program, however, because a smaller proportion of women than of men are covered directly by the retirement program. Instead, a large number of women begin to receive benefits because of the death of the husband. Progress in lowering death rates at middle and older ages will postpone widowhood, lengthen the period during which retired men receive benefits, and shorten the period during which widows receive them.

Although the above-mentioned developments will pose serious problems, they should be viewed in their proper perspective rather than exaggerated. The increase in the ratio of "elders" (65 or older) to producers (20-64) will be almost or more than counterbalanced by a decrease in the ratio of children and youths (under 20) to producers, for persons aged 20-64 should constitute between 58 and 63 percent of the population in 1975 as compared with 58.8 percent in 1940 and 59.2 percent in 1945. In other words, the ratio of all dependents to producers is more likely to decline slightly than to rise. Since consumer goods on the whole are produced a relatively short time before they are utilized, the task of providing for other persons should not be more onerous for persons of working age in 1975 than before World War II, as far as these age changes in the population are concerned.

With regard to the fiscal problems of social security, it should be realized more generally that if the "old age and survivors insurance" program is to continue to be supported by pay-roll deductions and employers' contributions, the rising ratio of elders to producers will necessitate the accumulation of large reserves, or an increase in the withholding rate. The former means forced savings by "covered" workers and employers, deposited in the United States Treasury. As brought out earlier, the slowing up of population growth should reduce the need for investment funds, and so should make such savings less desirable than formerly and make the "pay as you go" plan more advantageous.

The productivity of the labor force

Although the proportion of persons in the working ages (20-64) is more likely to increase slightly than to decrease, the resulting tendency to raise the productive power of the Nation may be offset by changes in age distribution within this group. In 1945 there were about 53 persons aged 45-64 per

100 aged 20-44; by 1975 the ratio probably will be between 65 and 69 per 100. How much this rise in the proportion of older workers will lower the average efficiency of the labor force remains to be seen. There is no doubt that older workers in general lack the endurance, dexterity, and adaptability of younger workers, and that the latter lack the reliability and experience of the former. Although the net advantage at present may lie with youth in the majority of occupations, an increase in labor-saving devices and in automatic controls may reduce or eliminate it in the future. Whether this happens may depend in important degree on whether the problem is recognized and adequate steps are taken to meet it in connection with personnel policies, adult training programs, and other activities.

In the future as in the past, discovery and invention should lead to the establishment of new industries and to the need for new skills in others. Although exact figures are not available there are indications that an important proportion of the workers required under such conditions have been obtained from the young people currently joining the labor force. As the latter group becomes relatively smaller in the future, more persons will have to be taken from other industries. On the whole they will be older persons who may have acquired certain skills, but who may have to break established habits as well as form new ones, and hence may require a longer and more carefully planned training period.

As the slowing up of population growth diminishes the growth of the labor force and raises the ratio of workers past 45 to those younger, it will become increasingly difficult to keep promotion channels open. In the past large increases in the number of new workers year after year were accompanied by correspondingly large relative increases in supervisory and management jobs all the way to the top. With a low ratio of older to younger workers, seniority could be given much weight in filling these better jobs; nevertheless, an important proportion of them could still be filled by younger workers. In the future the labor force will be expanding less rapidly (which should reduce the rate of increase in the number of better jobs), and the ratio of older to younger workers will rise. Giving the same weight as formerly to seniority in filling these jobs will then leave few of them for younger workers. Unless more consideration is given to ability and less to seniority in connection with promotions, the capable younger men may feel thwarted and may develop a defeatist attitude which will have serious repercussions on the rank and file. An important part of the support for the American way of life stems from the belief that an able man can rise to the top. Serious consequences could arise if youth should come to feel that the channel was severely clogged by age.

The decline in size of family which has occurred and is expected to continue in the future should tend to increase the proportion of women in the labor force at most ages, for it lessens the years during which they are needed at home to care for their children. For some it will mean that they can continue to work longer, as the starting of the family is postponed. For a larger number, however, it means that childbearing and rearing will be completed at a younger age than formerly. The women in this group who worked previously can return to the labor force earlier, and those without previous work experience need not wait as long as formerly to join the labor force for the first time. These trends probably will have a tendency to raise the efficiency of the feminine portion of the labor force. but the change probably will not be large. They are certain to tend to raise the proportion of the population in the labor force, but this influence may be offset in varying degree by changes in the desire for employment and in other nondemographic factors.

"Progress"

The increasing proportion of middle-aged and older persons in the population as a whole is likely to be accompanied by corresponding changes in the age composition of various occupational and functional groups. In the future as in the past it is probable that the rise in the average age of voters will mean a rise in the average age of public officials. Less is known about changes in the age of stockholders, directors, and officials of corporations, but presumably the same principle holds here also. Whether this will mean a slowing up of progress remains to be seen. A majority of younger people probably think that those who are older are too conservative and too slow to learn and change, and hence that they tend to delay progress. According to this line of reasoning the rapidity of technological advance in the past is due in important degree to the relative youth of our business leaders, which made them willing to scrap expensive machines and plant layouts if new developments offered a chance to cut production costs. Had our leaders been older, as in France, they say, our progress would have been slower, as it has been there. Older people, in

contrast, probably think that younger ones are too unstable and inexperienced, change too rapidly, and make progress cost more than it should. Few if any international comparisons can be cited to support their point of view, but there are many illustrations within the United States.

Conclusive evidence concerning the relation between the age distribution of the population and its leaders on the one hand, and political, social, and economic progress on the other, is not available now, and may never be. However, psychological research has proved fairly definitely that learning ability in general reaches its peak at about age 20, declines extremely slowly to about age 50, and then begins an accelerating downward trend. After age 70 the ability of the average person to learn things not related to his past experience is very low. From this standpoint, therefore, the changes in age distribution will be detrimental. But while general learning ability varies as mentioned, "ability to learn certain tasks of a highly complex nature resting upon [previously acquired] basic skills or knowledge grows until a point well beyond the age of 20 years. Ability to exercise sound business judgment continues to grow into middle age and is, in fact, one of the last abilities to be lost in old age."10 These latter relationships will help to reduce the unfavorable effect of the expected age changes on the general ability to learn.

Another mitigating factor is that the amount learned does not depend entirely on ability to learn; habits and the desire to learn are important too. Although exact information is lacking as to how these qualities vary with age, there is little doubt that the habits and desires of most people are more favorable to learning when they are young adults than when they are middle-aged or older. The hopeful element in the situation is that these qualities are subject to human control in much greater degree than ability to learn. During the next 30 years it should be possible to change the attitudes of many people toward continuing to learn, and to expand and broaden adult educational programs in sufficient degree to more than offset the adverse effect of the rising average age of the population on its general learning ability.

Unless something is accomplished along the foregoing lines, an increase in the average age of political and business leaders, and especially in the proportion of them past 65, probably will mean a less efficient world. Scientific discoveries and other

developments which constantly occur call currently for new learning on the part of public and private policy-makers if their decisions are not to deteriorate in quality. This learning becomes progressively harder after 50; hence older persons tend increasingly to react to current problems on the basis of knowledge and experience acquired in the more distant past rather than on what should have been acquired more recently. In order to prevent such unfavorable results even though the period of learning is prolonged through some sort of adult educational program, it may be advisable for middle-aged and older people, as they become more numerous in the population, to refrain from increasing the representation of their group in positions of power.

The increase in the proportion of persons middle-aged or older may mean greater support for the cultural aspects of life. Young adults tend to be busy establishing themselves in a business or profession, raising a family, and having a good time. As they grow older they tend to place more value on leisure and become more reflective, perhaps because the inner driving force is weaker or their ideas as to the goal of life have changed. Some of those who have made a secure place for themselves become generous patrons and supporters of the arts and sciences.

The foregoing list of probable effects of slower growth and increasing age is obviously far from complete. It will have served its major purpose, however, if it calls attention to the types of changes to be expected and their influences, and stimulates thinking about them and also about what can be done to meet them. If our business, political, and cultural leaders perform their function in this field and the general public is adequately advised as to the trend of events, the demographic future may be faced with confidence.

D. A PRONATAL PROGRAM?

In Canada and most of the Western European nations, the long-time decline of the birth rate and the slowing up of population growth have led to the adoption of governmental programs designed to reverse, or at least to check, these trends. In one of these nations (France) the population had decreased in various years before the program was adopted. In several of them (e.g., Sweden) there had been no such decrease, but birth rates by age of mother were so low that their continuation would mean the beginning of a decrease within a few years and an increasingly rapid decline thereafter.

¹⁰Ruch, Floyd L., Psychology and Life, Scott, Foresman & Company, 1941, p. 329.

In others (e.g., Italy) it was a continuation of the downward trend of fertility that was feared. The programs adopted have been of two general types: (1) The provision of services paid by public funds (e.g., school lunches, dental and medical care for mothers and children, lower rents for large families) and (2) the payment of money to families in accordance with the number of their children.

From 1941 to 1946 birth rates by age of mother in the United States were well above the limits necessary to maintain a stationary population. In fact, if the birth and death rates at each age were to continue indefinitely as they were during these six years, the rate of population increase would stabilize at about 6.7 percent per decade, somewhat less than the actual rate of 7.2 during the 1930's. Partly for this reason there has been little talk during recent years of the need for a pronatal program in the United States. But when the birth rate slumps from the postwar peak, as it is expected to do after 1947, there is quite likely to be a great increase in popular interest in the plans developed by Canada, Sweden,

and other nations, and a demand by more and more people that something be done in the United States.

In such a situation it will be especially desirable to have an informed public opinion with regard to probable demographic trends and their implications. Careful thought should be given to the advantages and disadvantages of various rates of growth. If fertility rates become so low and families so small that population growth will dwindle rapidly and a decrease begin in a relatively few years, the consequences will be serious to the Nation and its people. Under such conditions support should be rallied to a program designed to raise fertility rates and enlarge families. On the other hand, if fertility rates and children per family continue to be adequate to prevent population growth from slowing up too rapidly, the strongest pronatal program needed from an economic standpoint would be one designed to check further declines in fertility and family size in the immediate future, and later to bring them up to the replacement level if they are below it.

TABLE I.—FORECASTS OF THE POPULATION, BY COLOR, AGE, AND SEX, ACCORDING TO ASSUMPTIONS OF MEDIUM FERTILITY AND MORTALITY AND NO IMMIGRATION AFTER JULY 1, 1945, FOR THE UNITED STATES: JULY 1 OF EACH YEAR, 1946 TO 1950

			PÓPULATIO	ON (IN THO	USANDS)1				PF	RCENT I	OISTRIBUT	TION		
COLOR, AGE, AND SEX	1940 ² (Census)	19458	1946³	1947	1948	1949	1950	1940	1945	1946	1947	1948	1949	1950
ALL CLASSES				_										
Total, all ages	131,669	139,621	140,840	4142,186	143,329	144,457	145,460	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Inder 5 yearsto 9 years	10,542 10,685	13,147 11,347	13,268 11,627	13,346 12,095	12,856 12,864	12,538 13,401	12,141 13,894	$\frac{8.0}{8.1}$	9.4 8.1	$\frac{9.4}{8.3}$	9.4 8.5	9.0 9.0	$\frac{8.7}{9.3}$	8.3 9.6
0 to 14 years	11,746	10,649 11,652	10,615 11,456	10,654 11,212	10,857 10,937	11,126 10,694	11,301 $10,592$	$\frac{8.9}{9.4}$	7.6 8.3	$\substack{7.5\\8.1}$	7.5	7.6 7.6	7.4	7.8 7.3
0 to 24 years	11,588	12,158 11,470	12,125 $11,598$	12,040 11,755	11,909 11,904	11,742 $12,001$	11,555 12,030	8.8 8.4	8.7 8.2	$\frac{8.6}{8.2}$	8.5 8.3 7.8	8.3 8.3	8.1 8.3	7.9 8.3
30 to 34 years	10,242	11,007 10,151	$11,079 \\ 10,288$	11,123 10,439	11,163 10,591	$11,227 \\ 10,724$	11,329 10,829	$7.8 \\ 7.2$	7.9 7.3	$\begin{array}{c} 7.9 \\ 7.3 \end{array}$	7.8	$\frac{7.8}{7.4}$	$\frac{7.8}{7.4}$	$\frac{7.8}{7.4}$
0 to 44 years	8,788	9,401	9,501 8,639	9,597 8,749	9,694 8,869	9,803 8,989	9,928 9,103	6.7 6.3	6.7	$\frac{6.7}{6.1}$	$\frac{6.7}{6.2}$	$\frac{6.8}{6.2}$	$\frac{6.8}{6.2}$	6.8 6.
5 to 49 years	7,257	8,551 7,884 6,789	7,941	7,982 7,049	8,020 7,157	8,071 7,250	8,145 7,329	5.5 4.5	5.6 4.9	$\frac{5.6}{4.9}$	5.6 5.0	5.6 5.0	5.6 5.0	5.0 5.0
55 to 59 years	4,760	5,306 4,071	6,926 5,457	5,621 4,216	5,787 4,296	5,946 4,395	6,089 4,511	3.6 2.8	3.8 2.9	$\frac{3.9}{2.9}$	4.0 3.0	4.0 3.0	4.1 3.0	4.
65 to 69 years 70 to 74 years 75 years and over	3,748 2,561 2,655	2,962 3,077	4,142 3,012	3,055 3,253	3,093 3,333	3,135 3,416	3,184 3,498	1.9 2.0	$\frac{2.1}{2.2}$	$\frac{2.1}{2.2}$	$\frac{2.1}{2.3}$	$\frac{2.2}{2.3}$	$\frac{2.2}{2.4}$	2.5
14 years and over	101,103	106,698	3,165 107,477	108,165	108,802	109,535	110,308	76.8	76.4 64.8	76.3 65.0	76.1 65.1	$75.9 \\ 65.2$	75.8	2.4 75.8 65.3
21 years and over Median age (years)	i	90,411	91,480 29.9	92,508	93,485	94,425 30.3	95,304 30.5	63.8	04.0		00,1		65.4	
Adjusted for census under- enumeration of children	25.0		20.0											
All ages		140,484 14,009	141,710 14,138	143,062 14,222	144,176 13,702	145,280 13,360	146,259 12,941							
Male, all ages	1	69,695	70,260	70,890	71,416	71,935	72,396	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 5 years	5,355	6,702 5,777	6,764	6,804 6,167	6,553 6,563	6,389 6,839	6,190 7,095	8.1 8.2	9.6 8.3	9.6 8.4	9.6 8.7	$\frac{9.2}{9.2}$	8.9 9.5	8.6 9.
5 to 9 years	5,952	5,399 5,895	5,920 5,387 5,805	5,407 5,683	5,516 5,542	5,659 5,416	5,751 5,365	9.0	8.3 7.7 8.5	8.4 7.7 8.3	7.6	7.7 7.8	7.9 7.5	7.9
15 to 19 years	5.692	6,035 5,590	6,042 5,665	6,025 5,762	5,983 5,857	5,919 5,927	5,842 5,963	8.6 8.3	8.7 8.0	8.6 8.1	8.5 8.1	8.4 8.2	8.2 8.2	8. 8.
25 to 29 years	. 5,070	5,385 5,014	5,408 5,071	5,418 5,133	5,428 5,195	5,457 5,248	5,512 5,287	$7.7 \\ 7.2$	$7.7 \\ 7.2$	$7.7 \\ 7.2$	$7.6 \\ 7.2$	7.6 7.3	7.6 7.3	7.
35 to 39 years	4,419	4,661	4.704	4,746	4,788	4.835	4,888 4,488	6.7 6.4	6.7	$\frac{6.7}{6.1}$	6.7 6.1	6.7 6.1	6.7 6.2	6.
45 to 49 years	3,753	4,275 3,977	4,304 3,990	4,344 3,996	4,392 4,000 3,590	4,440	4,034 3,644	5.7 4.6	5.7 5.0	5.7 5.0	5.6 5.0	5.6	5.6 5.0	5. 5.
55 to 59 years	2,413	3,455 2,677	3,509 2,749	3,554 2,827 2,075	2,904 2,112	3,620 2,974 2,159	3,033 2,214	3.7	3.8	3.9	4.0	4.1 3.0	4.1 3.0	4. 3.
65 to 69 years	1,265	$ \begin{array}{c c} 2,006 \\ 1,423 \\ 1,422 \end{array} $	2,040 1,444	1,461 1,490	1,475 1,518	1,493 1,548	1,514 1,577	1.9	2.0	$2.1 \\ 2.1$	2.1	$2.1 \\ 2.1$	$\frac{2.1}{2.2}$	2. 2.
75 years and over	50,554	52,943	1,457 53,277	53,563	53,822	54,135	54,474	76.5 63.6	76.0 64.2	75.8 64.3	75.6 64.4	75.4 64.5	75.3 64.6	75. 64.
21 years and over	l .	44,714	45,180 29.6	45,631	46,062	46,479	46,867	05.0			01.1		04.0	
Adjusted for census under- enumeration of children		1												,
All ages	66,518 5,811	70,152 7,158	70,721 7,225	71,354 7,268	71,834 7,001	72,372 6,826	72,819 6,613							
Under 5 years Female, all ages			70,580	71,296	71,914	72,522	73,061	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.
Under 5 years	. 5,187	6,445	6,503	6,542 5,929	6,303 6,300	6,148 6,561	5,951 6,799	7.9 8.0	9.2 8.0	9.2 8.1	9.2 8.3	8.8 8.8	8.5 9.0	8. 9.
5 to 9 years 10 to 14 years	5,794	5,250	5,707 5,228	5,247	5,341 5,396	5,467 5,278	5,551	8.8 9.4	7.5 8.2	7.4 8.0	7.4	8.8 7.4 7.5	7.5 7.3	7.
15 to 19 years 20 to 24 years	. 6,153 5,895	6,122	5,650 6,083 5,933	6,016	5,927 6,046	5,822 6,074	5,228 5,713 6,067	9.0	8.8 8.4	8.6 8.4	8.4 8.4	8.2 8.4	8.0 8.4	7. 8.
25 to 29 years	5,172	5,621	5,671 5,217	5,705 5,306	5,735	5,770 5,476	5,817 5,542	7.9	8.0 7.3	8.0 7.4	8.0 7.4	8.0 7.5	8.0 7.6	8.
40 to 44 years	4,369	4,739	4,797	4,851	4,906	4.968	5,040 4,615	6.7	6.8 6.1	6.8 6.1	6.8 6.2	6.8	6.9	6. 6.
45 to 49 years	3,504	3,907	4,335 3,951 3,417	3,987	4,477 4,020 3,567	4,549 4,060 3,630	4,111 3,685	6.2 5.3 4.3	5.6 4.8	5.6 4.8	5.6 4.9	5.6 5.0	5.6 5.0	5. 5.
55 to 59 years	. 2.347	2,629	2,707	3,495 2,794 2,141	2,884 2,184	2,972 2,236	3,056 2,298	3.6 2.9	3.8	3.8	3.9 3.0	4.0 3.0	4.1	4.
65 to 69 years	1,296	1,539	2,102 1,569 1,709	1,594	1,618 1,815	1,643 1,868	1,670	2.0	2.2	2.2 2.4	2.2 2.5	2.2 2.5	2.3 2.6	2.
75 years and over	50,549	53,755	54,200	54,602	54,980	55,401	55,835	77.0	76.9	76.8 65.6	76.6 65.7	76.5 65.9	76.4 66.1	76. 66.
21 years and over	41,992	1	46,300	i	47,424 30.6	47,946 30.8		i	05.4					
Adjusted for census under-	1	20.0					,							
enumeration of children		70,332	70,990	71,708	72,312	72,908	73,440			.				
All ages Under 5 years		6,851	6,913	6,954	6,701			<u> </u>		.1		<u>l</u>		

¹Published totals were obtained by rounding computed totals and hence are not always exactly equal to the sum of the rounded figures shown by color, age, and sex.

"Estimated Population of the United States, by Age, Color, and Sex: 1940 to 1946," Population—Special Reports, Series P-47, No. 3, April 3, 1947. The revised figures for 1945 differ only slightly from those shown above. The estimates of total population for 1945 and 1946 published in the release are, respectively, 139,586,000 and 141.229,000.

4An estimate made in March, 1947, indicates a population of about 143,900,000 on July 1, 1947.

²The figures for the population 55 years old and over have been adjusted for age biases in the nonwhite population as enumerated.

³Revised estimates of the population by color, age, and sex, for July 1, 1945, and current estimates for July 1, 1946, prepared after these forecasts had been completed, have been published by the Bureau of the Census in

FORECASTS OF THE POPULATION OF THE UNITED STATES

Table I.—FORECASTS OF THE POPULATION, BY COLOR, AGE, AND SEX, ACCORDING TO ASSUMPTIONS OF MEDIUM FERTILITY AND MORTALITY AND NO IMMIGRATION AFTER JULY 1, 1945, FOR THE UNITED STATES: JULY 1 OF EACH YEAR, 1946 TO 1950—Continued

COLOB 102 122		T	POPULATI	ON (IN THO	USANDS)1					PERCEN	T DISTRI	BUTION		
COLOR, AGE, AND SEX	1940 ³ (Census)	19458	19468	1947	1948	1949	1950	1940	1945	1946	1947	1948	1949	1950
WHITE				ļ					\ <u>`</u> -		-	<u> </u>	-	-
Total, all ages		125,053	126,095	127,246	128,220	129,176	130,017	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 5 years	1 9.329	11,533 9,815	11,642 10,055	11,711 10,461	11,251	10,966	10,620	7.8	9.2	9.2	9.2	- 00	8.5	8.2
15 to 19 years	10,353	9,295 10,275	9,238 10,089	9,257 9,854	11,163 9,417 9,594	11,639 9,638	12,069 9,778 9,252	7.9 8.8	7.8 7.4	$\frac{8.0}{7.3}$	8.2 7.3 7.7	8.7 7.3	9.0	9.8
25 to 29 years	10,340	10,814 10,248	10,773	10,684 10,499	10,552 10,626	9,362 10,387	10,206	9.3 8.7	8.2 8.6	8.0	8.4	7.5 8.2	7.2 8.0	7.1
30 to 34 years		9,846 9,154	9,909 9,275	9,953 9,400	9,994 9,521	10,706 10,055 9,628	10,722 10,147 9,715	$\begin{array}{ c c c c }\hline 8.4 \\ 7.8 \\ 7.2 \\ \end{array}$	8.2	8.2	8.3 7.8	8.3 7.8	8.3 7.8	8.2
40 to 44 years	7 599	8,426 7,757	8,529 7,822	8,636 7,902	1	8,864	`8,983	6.7	7.3 6.7	7.4 6.8	6.8	6.8	7.5 6.9	7.5 6.6
55 to 59 years	5 497	7,234 6,288	7,280	7,311	8,748 7,995 7,339	8,094 7,377	8,197 7,432	6.4	6.2 5.8	6.2	$\frac{6.2}{5.7}$	6.2 5.7	6.3	6.3 5.7
60 to 64 years		4,935	6,414 5,078	6,524 5,233	6,620 5,391	6,701 5,540	6,768 5,672	$\frac{4.6}{3.7}$	5.0 3.9	5.1 4.0	5.1 4.1	5.2 4.2	5.2	5.2
70 to 74 years	1 2.461	3,797 2,778	3,864 2,824	3,936 2,863	4,014 2,899	4,109 2,939	$\frac{4,219}{2,985}$	3.0	3.0	3.1	3.1	3.1	4.3 3.2	3.2
14 years and over	01 490	2,859 96,360	2,941 97,035	3,022 97,628	3,097 98,173	3,174 98,796	3,250 99,443	2,1	2.3	2.3	2.4	2.3 2.4	$\frac{2.3}{2.5}$	2.3 2.5
21 years and over	76,244	81,993	82,951	83,868	84,738	85,567	86,337	77.3 64.5	77.1 65.6	77.0 65.8	76.7 65.9	76.6 66.1	76.5 66.2	76.5 66.4
Adjusted for census under-	29.5	30.3	30.4	30.6	30.8	30.9	31.2							
All ages		125,680	126,728	127,882	128,831	129,769	130,594							
Under 5 years	· '	12,160 62,540	12,275 63.021	12,347	11,862	11,558	11,197							
Under 5 years	4 701	5,895	5,952	5,987	5,752	5,605	64,826 5,430	100.0	109.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
10 to 14 years	4,745	5,008 4,725	5,132 4,699	5,346 4,709	5,708 4,795	5,953 4,912	6,177 4,987	7.9 8.0	9.4 8.0	9.4 8.1	$9.4 \\ 8.4$	9.0	$\frac{8.7}{9.2}$	8.4 9.5
20 to 24 years	5,516	5,210 5,382	5,124 5,381	5,006 5,357	4,873	4,754	4,698	8.8 9.3	7.6 8.3	7.5 8.1	8.4 7.4 7.9	7.5 7.6	7.6 7.4	7.7
30 to 34 years	1 000	5,022 4,842	5,087	5,169	5,311 5,248	5,246 5,304	5,170 5,329	$\begin{array}{c} 8.6 \\ 8.2 \\ 7.7 \end{array}$	$\frac{8.6}{8.0}$	8.5 8.1	8.4 8.1	8.3 8.2	8.1 8.2	7.7 8.0 8.2
55 to 59 years	4,254	4,536	4,863 4,587	4,875 4,640	4,888 4,690	4,915 4,734	$\frac{4,964}{4,767}$	$7.7 \\ 7.2$	$\frac{7.7}{7.3}$	7.7 7.3	7.7 7.3	7.6 7.3	7.6 7.3	$ \begin{array}{c} 8.2 \\ 7.7 \\ 7.4 \end{array} $
40 to 44 years		4,196 3,882	$\frac{4,241}{3,903}$	4,288 3,934	4,336 3,972	4,386 4,015	4,436	6.7	6.7	6.7	6.7	6.8	6.8	6.8
55 to 59 years	3,452	3,651 3,196	3,660 3,247	3,661 3,287	3,662	3,668	4,059 3,684	$\frac{6.5}{5.8}$	$\frac{6.2}{5.8}$	$\frac{6.2}{5.8}$	$\frac{6.2}{5.8}$	6.2 5.7	$\frac{6.2}{5.7}$	$\frac{6.3}{5.7}$
65 to 69 years	2,232 1,737	2,481 1,864	2,550	2,624 1,929	3,320 2,698	$3,345 \\ 2,764$	3,365 2,820	$\frac{4.7}{3.8}$	5,1 4.0	$\frac{5.2}{4.0}$	$\frac{5.2}{4.1}$	5.2 4.2	5.2 4.3	5.2 4.4
70 to 74 years	1,183 1,162	1,329 1,322	1,896 1,347 1,353	1,363	1,965 1,377	2,009 1,393	2,061 1,413	$\frac{2.9}{2.0}$	$\frac{3.0}{2.1}$	$\frac{3.0}{2.1}$	$\frac{3.0}{2.1}$	$\frac{3.1}{2.2}$	$\frac{3.1}{2.2}$	$\frac{3.2}{2.2}$
14 years and over	45,823	47,903	48, 191	1,384 48,436	1,410 48,656	1,437 48,918	1,464 49,198	2.0 77.1	2.1 76.6	$\frac{2.1}{76.5}$	$\frac{2.2}{76.2}$	2,2 76.0	75.9	$\frac{2.3}{75.9}$
Median age (years)	38,198 29.5	40,628 30.0	41,047 30.1	$41,449 \\ 30,2$	41,833 30.3	42,200 30.5	42,539 30.6	64.3	65.0	65.1	65.2	65.4	65.5	65.6
Adjusted for census under- enumeration of children						00.0								
All ages Under 5 years	59,781 5,034	$62,872 \\ 6,227$	63,357 6,287	63,896 6,324	64,328 6,076	64,758	65,132							
Female, all ages	58,766	62,513	63,074	63,688	64,216	5,922 64,734	5,737 65,19 1	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 5 years	4,528 4,584	5,639 4,806	5,691	5,724	5,499	5,360	5,189	7.7	9.0	9.0	9.0	8.6	8.3	8.0
10 to 14 years	5,094	4,570	4,923 4,539	5,115 4,548	5,455 4,622	5,686 4,726	5,892 4,792	7.8 8.7	7.7	$\frac{7.8}{7.2}$	8.0 7.1	$\frac{8.5}{7.2}$	8.8 7.3	9.0 7.3
20 to 24 years	5,227	5,065 5,431	4,965 5,392	$\frac{4,848}{5,327}$	$\frac{4,722}{5,241}$	4,608 5,140	4,555 5,036	9.3 8.9	8.1	$\frac{7.9}{8.5}$	7.6 8.4	7.4 8.2	7.1	7.0
30 to 34 years	5,012 4,633	$\frac{5,226}{5,004}$	$\frac{5,275}{5,046}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 5,331 \\ 5,077 \end{bmatrix}$	5,378 5,106	5,402 5,139	5,393 5,183	8.5 7.9	8.4	8.4	8.4 8.0	8.4	7.9 8.3 7.9 7.6	7.7 8.3
35 to 39 years	4,262 3,941	4,618 4,229	4,688	4,760	4,830	4,894	4,948	7.3	7.4	7.4	7.5	8.0 7.5	7.6	$\frac{8.0}{7.6}$
45 to 49 years	3,690 3,229	3,875	4,288 3,918	4,349 3,968	$\begin{array}{c c} 4,412 \\ 4.022 \end{array}$	$\frac{4,478}{4,079}$	$4,547 \mid 4,138 \mid$	$\frac{6.7}{6.3}$	6.8	6.8	6.8	6.9	6.9	7.0
55 to 59 years	2,637	$\frac{3,583}{3,092}$	3,620 3,167	$3,650 \\ 3,237$	3,677 3,300	3,708 3,355	$\frac{3,747}{3,402}$	5.5 4.5	5.7 4.9	5.7 5.0	5.7 5.1	6.3 5.7 5.1	6.3 5.7 5.2	$\frac{6.3}{5.7}$ $\frac{5.7}{5.2}$
60 to 64 years	$\frac{2,184}{1,762}$	$\frac{2,454}{1,933}$	$\frac{2,528}{1,969}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 2,609 \\ 2,007 \end{bmatrix}$	2,693 2,050	$2,775 \\ 2,100$	2,852 2,158	3.7	$\frac{3.9}{3.1}$	4.0	$\frac{4.1}{3.2}$	4.2	4.3	4.4
70 to 74 years	$\begin{bmatrix} 1,217 \\ 1,318 \end{bmatrix}$	1,449 1,537	1,477 1,587	1,500 1,638	1,522 1,687	1,545 1,737	1,571 1,786	$\frac{2.1}{2.2}$	2.3	$\frac{2.1}{2.5}$	2.4	$\begin{bmatrix} 3.2 \\ 2.4 \\ 2.6 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 3.2 \\ 2.4 \\ 2.7 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{3.3}{2.4}$
14 years and over 21 years and over	45,605 38,046	48,457	48,844	49,192	49,518	49,878	50,245	77.6	77.5	77.4	77.2	77.1	77.0	$\frac{2.7}{77.1}$
Median age (years)	29.5	30.5	41,904 30.7	42,419 30.9	42,906 31.2	43,368	43,799 31.7	64.7	66.2	66.4	66.6	66.8	67.0	67.2
Adjusted for census under- enumeration of children														**
All ages	59,060 4,822	62,808	63,371	63,987	64,503	65,011	65,462							
1Dublish 3 + + 1	4,022 1	5,933	5,988	6,023	5,786	5,637	5,460							

¹Published totals were obtained by rounding computed totals and hence are not always exactly equal to the sum of the rounded figures shown by color, age, and sex.

had been completed, have been published by the Bureau of the Census in "Estimated Population of the United States, by Age, Color, and Sex: 1940 to 1946," Population—Special Reports, Series P-47, No. 3, April 3, 1947. The revised figures for 1945 differ only slightly from those shown above. The estimates of total population for 1945 and 1946 published in the release are, respectively, 139,586,000 and 141,229,000.

²The figures for the population 55 years old and over have been adjusted for age biases in the nonwhite population as enumerated.

³Revised estimates of the population by color, age, and sex, for July 1, 1945, and current estimates for July 1, 1946, prepared after these forecasts

TABLE I.—FORECASTS OF THE POPULATION, BY COLOR, AGE, AND SEX, ACCORDING TO ASSUMPTIONS OF MEDIUM FERTILITY AND MORTALITY AND NO IMMIGRATION AFTER JULY 1, 1945, FOR THE UNITED STATES: JULY 1 OF EACH YEAR, 1946 TO 1950—Continued

			POPULATI	on (in tho	usands)1	•				PERCEN'	r distrii	BUTION		•
COLOR, AGE, AND SEX	1940 ² (Census)	19458	1946²	1947	1948	1949	1950	1940	1945	1946	1947	1948	1949	1950
NONWHITE							:							
Total, all ages	13,454	14,568	14,745	14,941	15,110	15,281	15,443	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 5 years	1,356 1,393 1,369 1,248 1,192 1,036	1,613 1,533 1,354 1,377 1,344 1,221 1,161 998	1,625 1,572 1,377 1,367 1,351 1,236 1,170 1,013	1,636 1,634 1,398 1,358 1,356 1,256 1,171 1,039	1,605 1,701 1,440 1,343 1,357 1,277 1,170 1,070	1,572 1,761 1,488 1,332 1,355 1,295 1,172 1,096	1,521 1,825 1,523 1,340 1,349 1,308 1,182 1,114	9.8 10.1 10.4 10.2 9.3 8.9 7.7 7.6	11.1 10.5 9.3 9.4 9.2 8.4 8.0 6.8	11.0 10.7 •9.3 9.3 9.2 8.4 7.9 6.9	10.9 10.9 9.4 9.1 9.1 8.4 7.8 7.0	10.6 11.3 9.5 8.9 9.0 8.5 7.7 7.1	10.3 11.5 9.7 8.7 8.9 8.5 7.7 7.2	9.9 11.8 9.9 8.7 8.7 8.5 7.7
40 to 44 years. 45 to 49 years. 50 to 54 years. 55 to 59 years. 60 to 64 years. 65 to 69 years. 70 to 74 years.	852 722 577 441 343 249 160 175	975 794 650 501 371 274 184 218	973 818 661 512 379 278 188 225	960 846 671 525 387 281 192 231	946 874 681 537 396 282 194 236	939 895 695 550 406 286 197 242	944 906 713 562 417 292 199 248	6.3 5.4 4.3 3.3 2.5 1.8 1.2	6.7 5.5 4.5 3.4 2.5 1.9 1.3	6.6 5.5 4.5 3.5 2.6 1.9 1.3	6.4 5.7 4.5 3.5 2.6 1.9 1.3	6.3 5.8 4.5 3.6 2.6 1.9 1.3 1.6	6.1 5.9 4.5 3.6 2.7 1.9 1.3	6.1 5.9 4.6 3.6 2.7 1.9 1.3
14 years and over	9,675 7,753 25.2	10,338 8,418 25.3	10,442 8,529 25.3	10,537 8,640 25.4	10,629 8,747 25.4	10,739 8,857 25.5	10,866 8,967 25.6	71.9 57.6	71.0 57.8	70.8 57.8	70.5 57.8	70.3 57.9	70.3 58.0	70.4 58.1
Adjusted for census under- enumeration of children														
All agesUnder 5 years	13,691 1,548	14,804 1,850	14,983 1,863	15,180 1,875	15,345 1,839	15,511 1,802	15,665 1,744							
Male, all ages	6,613	7,155	7,238	7,332	7,412	7,493	7,570	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 5 years. 5 to 9 years. 10 to 14 years. 15 to 19 years. 20 to 24 years. 25 to 29 years. 30 to 34 years. 35 to 39 years.	653 674 693 664 579 559 497	807 769 674 686 653 568 544 479	813 788 687 682 661 578 545 484	817 821 698 677 667 593 543 494	801 855 721 669 671 609 540 505	784 886 747 663 673 623 541 514	760 918 764 667 672 634 548 520	9.9 10.2 10.5 10.0 8.8 8.4 7.5 7.4	11.3 10.7 9.4 9.6 9.1 7.9 7.6 6.7	11.2 10.9 9.5 9.4 9.1 8.0 7.5 6.7	11.1 11.2 9.5 9.2 9.1 8.1 7.4 6.7	10.8 11.5 9.7 9.0 9.1 8.2 7.3 6.8	10.5 11.8 10.0 8.8 9.0 8.3 7.2 6.9	10.0 12.1 10.1 8.8 8.9 8.4 7.2 6.9
40 to 44 years 45 to 49 years 50 to 54 years 55 to 59 years 60 to 64 years 65 to 69 years 70 to 74 years 75 years and over	424 367 301 235 180 132 81 82	465 393 326 259 197 142 95 100	463 401 330 262 200 145 97 103	458 410 334 267 203 146 98 106	452 419 338 271 206 147 98 108	450 426 343 275 209 150 99 111	451 429 349 279 213 152 100	6.4 5.5 4.6 3.6 2.7 2.0 1.2	6.5 5.5 4.6 3.6 2.7 2.0 1.3	6.4 5.5 4.6 3.6 2.8 2.0 1.3	6.2 5.6 4.6 3.6 2.8 2.0 1.3	6.1 5.7 4.6 3.7 2.8 2.0 1.3	6.0 5.7 4.6 3.7 2.8 2.0 1.3 1.5	6.0 5.7 4.6 3.7 2.8 2.0 1.3
14 years and over	4,731 3,807 25.4	5,040 4,085 24.9	5,086 4,133 24.9	5,127 4,182 24.9	5,166 4,229 24.9	5,216 4,279 25.0	5,276 4,329 25.0	71.5 57.6	70.4 57.1	70.3 57.1	69.9 57.0	69.7 57.1	69.6 57.1	69.7 57.2
Adjusted for census under- enumeration of children														•
All ages	6,737 778	7,280 931	7,364 938	7,458 943	7,535 925	7,614 905	7,687 877							
Female, all ages	6,841	7,413	7,506	7,608	7,698	7,788	7,873	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 5 years 5 to 9 years 10 to 14 years 15 to 19 years 20 to 24 years 25 to 29 years 30 to 34 years 35 to 39 years	659 681 700 705 669 634 539 537	807 763 680 691 691 654 617	813 784 689 685 690 658 625 529	818 813 700 681 689 663 628 546	804 846 719 674 686 668 629 565	788 876 741 669 682 672 631 582	762 907 759 673 677 674 634 594	9.6 10.0 10.2 10.3 9.8 9.3 7.9 7.9	10.9 10.3 9.2 9.3 9.3 8.8 8.3 7.0	10.8 10.4 9.2 9.1 9.2 8.8 8.3 7.0	10.8 10.7 9.2 8.9 9.1 8.7 8.3 7.2	10.4 11.0 9.3 8.8 8.9 8.7 8.2 7.3	10.1 11.2 9.5 8.6 8.8 8.6 8.1 7.5	9.7 11.5 9.6 8.5 8.6 8.6 8.1 7.5
40 to 44 years 45 to 49 years 50 to 54 years 55 to 59 years 60 to 64 years 60 to 69 years 70 to 74 years	428 356 276 206 162 117 79 93	510 401 324 242 175 131 89 118	510 417 331 250 179 133 92 121	502 436 337 258 185 134 94 125	494 455 343 267 190 135 96	490 469 352 275 197 136 97	493 477 364 282 203 140 98 135	6.3 5.2 4.0 3.0 2.4 1.7 1.2 1.4	6.9 5.4 4.4 3.3 2.4 1.8 1.6	6.8 5.6 4.4 3.3 2.4 1.8 1.2	6.6 5.7 4.4 3.4 2.4 1.8 1.2	6.4 5.9 4.5 3.5 2.5 1.7 1.2	6.3 6.0 4.5 3.5 2.5 1.8 1.2	6.3 6.1 4.6 3.6 2.6 1.8 1.3
14 years and over	4,944 3,946 25.1	5,299 4,333 25.6	5,356 4,396 25.7	5,410 4,458 25.8	5,462 4,518 25.9	5,523 4,578 26.0	5,589 4,638 26.2	72.3 57.7	71.5 58.4	71.4 58.6	71.1 58.6	71.0 58.7	70.9 58.8	71.0 58.9
Adjusted for consus under- enumeration of children All ages	6,953 770	7,525 918	7,619 925	7,722 932	7,809 915	7,897 897	7,9 7 8 867							

¹Published totals were obtained by rounding computed totals and hence are not always exactly equal to the sum of the rounded figures shown by color, age, and sex.

had been completed, have been published by the Bureau of the Census in "Estimated Population of the United States, by Age, Color, and Sex: 1940 to 1946," Population—Special Reports, Series P-47, No. 3, April 3, 1947. The revised figures for 1945 differ only slightly from those shown above. The estimates of total population for 1945 and 1946 published in the release are, respectively, 189,586,000 and 141,229,000,

²The figures for the population 55 years old and over have been adjusted for age biases in the nonwhite population as enumerated.

³Revised estimates of the population by color, age, and sex, for July 1, 1945, and current estimates for July 1, 1946, prepared after these forecasts

TABLE II.—FORECASTS OF THE POPULATION, BY COLOR, NATIVITY, AGE, AND SEX, ACCORDING TO ASSUMPTIONS OF MEDIUM FERTILITY AND MORTALITY AND NO IMMIGRATION AFTER JULY 1, 1945, FOR THE UNITED STATES: 1950 TO 1975

COLOR, NATIVITY,			POPU	LATION (12	THOUSAN	$(DS)^1$		·			PERC	ENT DIS	TRIBUTI	ON		
AGE, AND SEX	1940² (Census)	1945	1950	1955	1960	1965	1970	1975	1940	1945	1950	1955	1960	1965	1970	1975
ALL CLASSES	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,								•							
Total, all ages		139,621	145,460	149,840	153,375	156,692	159,847	162,337	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 5 years	11,746 12,334 11,588 11,097 10,242	13,147 11,347 10,649 11,652 12,158 11,470 11,007 10,151	12,141 13,894 11,301 10,592 11,555 12,030 11,329 10,829	11,112 12,844 13,846 11,247 10,514 11,448 11,898 11,167	10,717 11,766 12,804 13,788 11,173 10,426 11,334 11,744	10,948 11,361 11,735 12,756 13,705 11,087 10,330 11,197	11,235 11,614 11,333 11,693 12,686 13,607 10,992 10,214	11,039 11,919 11,589 11,296 11,632 12,602 13,500 10,875	8.0 8.1 8.9 9.4 8.8 7.8 7.2	9.4 8.1 7.6 8.3 8.7 8.2 7.9 7.3	8.3 9.6 7.8 7.3 7.9 8.3 7.4	7.4 8.6 9.2 7.5 7.0 7.6 7.9	7.0 7.7 8.3 9.0 7.3 6.8 7.4 7.7	7.0 7.3 7.5 8.1 8.7 7.1 6.6 7.1	7.0 7.3 7.1 7.3 7.9 8.5 6.9 6.4	6.8 7.3 7.1 7.0 7.2 7.8 8.3 6.7
40 to 44 years. 45 to 49 years. 50 to 54 years. 55 to 59 years. 60 to 64 years. 65 to 69 years. 70 to 74 years. 75 years and over.		9,401 8,551 7,884 6,789 5,306 4,071 2,962 3,077	9,928 9,103 8,145 7,329 6,089 4,511 3,184 3,498	10,615 9,658 8,708 7,605 6,594 5,194 3,532 3,857	10,968 10,359 9,282 8,168 6,867 5,645 4,072 4,261	11,548 10,728 9,988 8,750 7,403 5,897 4,435 4,825	11,022 11,309 10,366 9,440 7,965 6,371 4,640 5,359	10,062 10,802 10,941 9,817 8,618 6,871 5,019 5,756	6.7 6.3 5.5 4.5 3.6 2.8 1.9 2.0	6.7 6.1 5.6 4.9 3.8 2.9 2.1 2.2	6.8 6.3 5.6 5.0 4.2 3.1 2.2 2.4	7.1 6.4 5.8 5.1 4.4 3.5 2.4 2.6	7.2 6.8 6.1 5.3 4.5 3.7 2.7 2.8	7.4 6.8 6.4 5.6 4.7 3.8 2.8 3.1	6.9 7.1 6.5 5.9 5.0 4.0 2.9 3.4	6.2 6.7 6.7 6.0 5.3 4.2 3.1 3.5
14 years and over Median age (years)	101,103 29.0	106,698 29.7	110,308 30.5	114,650 31.6	120,776 32.7	125,065 33.3	127,939 33.5	130,075 34.1	76.8	76.4	75.8	76.5	78.7	79.8	80.0	80.1
Adjusted for census under- enumeration of children	1										·					-
All ages	132,532 11,404	140,484 14,009	146,259 12,941	150,576 11,848	154,093 11,435	157,430 11,687	160,604 11,992	163,082 11,783								
Male, all ages	66,062	69,695	72,396	74,409	76,047	77,631	79,189	80,464	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 5 years	5,419	6,702 5,777 5,399 5,895 6,035 5,590 5,385 5,014	6,190 7,095 5,751 5,365 5,842 5,963 5,512 5,287	5,668 6,560 7,067 5,718 5,322 5,780 5,889 5,422	5,469 6,013 6,537 7,032 5,677 5,270 5,715 5,802	5,588 5,809 5,996 6,507 6,985 5,627 5,216 5,637	5,736 5,940 5,793 5,971 6,468 6,929 5,573 5,150	5,637 6,098 5,926 5,770 5,936 6,421 6,868 5,506	8.1 8.2 9.0 9.4 8.6 8.3 7.7 7.2	9.6 8.3 7.7 8.5 8.7 8.0 7.7 7.2	8.6 9.8 7.9 7.4 8.1 8.2 7.6 7.3	7.6 8.8 9.5 7.7 7.2 7.8 7.9 7.3	7.2 7.9 8.6 9.2 7.5 6.9 7.5 7.6	7.2 7.5 7.7 8.4 9.0 7.2 6.7 7.3	7.2 7.5 7.3 7.5 8.2 8.8 7.0 6.5	7.0 7.6 7.4 7.2 7.4 8.0 8.5 6.8
40 to 44 years. 45 to 49 years. 50 to 54 years. 55 to 59 years. 60 to 64 years. 60 to 69 years. 70 to 74 years. 75 years and over.	3,025 2,413 1,869	4,661 4,275 3,977 3,455 2,677 2,006 1,423 1,422	4,888 4,488 4,034 3,644 3,033 2,214 1,514 1,577	5,167 4,732 4,258 3,716 3,211 2,519 1,672 1,708	5,312 5,021 4,513 3,945 3,288 2,677 1,906 1,868	5,692 5,175 4,808 4,206 3,507 2,752 2,030 2,095	5,537 5,554 4,969 4,495 3,757 2,944 2,089 2,284	5,062 5,408 5,341 4,657 4,030 3,162 2,237 2,404	6.7 6.4 5.7 4.6 3.7 2.8 1.9	6.7 6.1 5.7 5.0 3.8 2.9 2.0 2.0	6.8 6.2 5.6 5.0 4.2 3.1 2.1 2.2	6.9 6.4 5.7 5.0 4.3 3.4 2.2 2.3	7.0 6.6 5.9 5.2 4.3 3.5 2.5 2.5	7.3 6.7 6.2 5.4 4.5 3.5 2.6 2.7	7.0 7.0 6.3 5.7 4.7 2.6 2.9	6.3 6.7 6.6 5.8 5.0 3.9 2.8 3.0
14 years and over Median age (years)	1	52,943 29.5	54,474 30.0	56,445 30.9	59,399 31.8	61,473 32,2	62,882 32.5	63,971 33.2	76.5	76.0	75.2	75.9	78.1	79.2	79.4	79.5
Adjusted for census under- enumeration of children			1					!			<u> </u>					
All agesUnder 5 years	66,518 5,811	70,152 7,158	72,819 6,613	74,799 6,058	76,427 5,850	78,022 5,980	79,591 6,138	80,859 6,032				ļ				
Female, all ages	65,608	69,926	73,064	75,431	77,328	79,061	80,657	81,873	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 5 years. 5 to 9 years. 10 to 14 years. 15 to 19 years. 20 to 24 years. 25 to 29 years. 30 to 34 years. 35 to 39 years.	5,266 5,794 6,153 5,895 5,646	6,445 5,570 5,250 5,756 6,122 5,880 5,621 5,137	5,951 6,799 5,551 5,228 5,713 6,067 5,817 5,542	5,443 6,284 6,779 5,529 5,193 5,668 6,009 5,745	5,248 5,752 6,267 6,756 5,496 5,155 5,619 5,942	5,360 5,552 5,739 6,248 6,719 5,460 5,114 5,560	5,499 5,674 5,540 5,723 6,217 6,678 5,419 5,064	5,402 5,821 5,663 5,526 5,695 6,182 6,632 5,369	7.9 8.0 8.8 9.4 9.0 8.6 7.9 7.3	9.2 8.0 7.5 8.2 8.8 8.4 8.0 7.3	8.1 9.3 7.6 7.2 7.8 8.3 8.0 7.6	7.2 8.3 9.0 7.3 6.9 7.5 8.0 7.6	6.8 7.4 8.1 8.7 7.1 6.7 7.3 7.7	6.8 7.0 7.3 7.9 8.5 6.9 6.5 7.0	6.8 7.0 6.9 7.1 7.7 8.3 6.7 6.3	6.6 7.1 6.9 6.7 7.0 7.6 8.1 6.6
40 to 44 years	4,369 4,046 3,504 2,843 2,347	4,739 4,277 3,907 3,334 2,629 2,065 1,539 1,655	5,040 4,615 4,111 3,685 3,056 2,298 1,670 1,921	5,448 4,927 4,450 3,889 3,383 2,676 1,860 2,150	5,656 5,338 4,769 4,223 3,579 2,968 2,167 2,393	5,857 5,552 5,180 4,544 3,896 3,145 2,405 2,730	5,485 5,755 5,397 4,945 4,207 3,427 2,551 3,075	4,999 5,394 5,601 5,160 4,588 3,709 2,782 3,352	6.7 6.2 5.3 4.3 3.6 2.9 2.0 2.2	6.8 6.1 5.6 4.8 3.8 3.0 2.2 2.4	6.9 6.3 5.6 5.0 4.2 3.1 2.3 2.6	7.2 6.5 5.9 5.2 4.5 2.5 2.8	7.3 6.9 6.2 5.5 4.6 3.8 2.8 3.1	7.4 7.0 6.6 5.7 4.9 4.0 3.0 3.5	6.8 7.1 6.7 6.1 5.2 4.2 3.2 3.8	6.1 6.6 6.8 6.3 5.6 4.5 3.4 4.1
14 years and over	50,549 29.0	53,755 29.9	55,835 31.1	58,205 32.3	61,376 33.5	63,592 34.4	65,056 34.6	66,103 35.0	77.0	76.9	76.4	77.2	79.4	80.4	80.7	80.7
Adjusted for census under- enumeration of children	33.0]		32.3	35.5		3,1,0	30.0								
All ages Under 5 years	66,014 5,593	70,332 6,851	73,440 6,327	75,777 5,789	77,665 5,586	79,408 5,707	81,013 5,854	82,222 5,751								

¹Published totals were obtained by rounding computed totals and hence are not always exactly equal to the sum of the rounded figures shown by color, nativity, age, and sex.

MEDIUM FERTILITY AND MORTALITY, NO IMMIGRATION

TABLE II.—FORECASTS OF THE POPULATION, BY COLOR, NATIVITY, AGE, AND SEX, ACCORDING TO ASSUMPTIONS OF MEDIUM FERTILITY AND MORTALITY AND NO IMMIGRATION AFTER JULY 1, 1945, FOR THE UNITED STATES: 1950 TO 1975—Continued

(Percent not shown where less than 0.1) PERCENT DISTRIBUTION POPULATION (IN THOUSANDS) COLOR, NATIVITY, AGE, AND SEX 1965 1970 1975 1955 1960 1950 1970 1975 1940 1945 19402 1945 1950 1955 1960 TOTAL WHITE 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 141,145 142,841 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 138.846 125,053 130,017 133,623 136,375 118,215 Total, all ages... 6.6 9,392 9,690 10,111 11,533 9,815 9,295 9,674 11,121 9.468 9,230 9,329 9.245 Under 5 years. 9,230 9,329 10,353 10,964 10,340 9,904 9,206 8,517 9.3 7.5 7.1 7.9 8.2 7.5 to 14 years...
10 to 14 years...
15 to 19 years...
20 to 24 years...
25 to 29 years...
30 to 34 years...
35 to 39 years... 12,069 9,778 9,252 11,121 12,030 9,738 9,198 10,130 10,627 9,295 10,275 10,814 10,248 8.0 8.6 6.9 6.5 7.2 11,052 11,928 9,627 9,068 10.0809,641 10,036 11,003 11,859 9,561 8,983 . 6 9,687 9,135 10,048 10,512 8.4 6.8 6.4 10,206 10,722 9,846 9,154 10,147 9,715 9,947 10,027 $7.0 \\ 7.2 \\ 6.7$ 6.2 8,426 7,757 7,234 6,288 4,935 3,797 2,778 8,869 9,643 8,983 8,197 7,432 6,768 5,672 4,219 2,985 9,553 8,771 7,884 10,363 9,685 9,054 6.7 6.2 5.8 5.0 3.9 3.0 2.2 40 to 44 years... 45 to 49 years... 50 to 54 years... 55 to 59 years... 60 to 64 years... 70 to 74 years... 75 years and o... 7,936 9,874 9,3536.6 5.9 5.2 4.6 3.6 2.7 9,643 9,878 8,935 7,889 6,361 9,874 9,353 8,467 7,440 6,340 5,269 ,533 ,680 ,427 7,884 6,981 6,123 4,864 3,319 3,583 $\frac{6.1}{5.2}$ 8,020 6,781 5,473 4,159 4.6 3.9 2.8 2.9 7,337 5,868 4,329 4,987 4.4 3.2 2.3 2.5 4,417 3,499 2,401 3.2 4.2 3.1 3.5 4,159 4,496 $\tilde{2}.\tilde{3}$ 2.1 2,859 75 years and over 80.5 80.7 80.8 13,935 34.4 $115,376 \\ 34.8$ 77.3 76.5 77.1 79.4 77.1 $11,742 \\ 34.2$ $91,428 \\ 29.5$ 96,360 30.3 99,443 31.2 $103,065 \\ 32.3$ 108.238 14 years and over Median age (years)... Adjusted for census under-enumeration of children 141,669 10,168 143,356 9,983 125,680 12,160 134,149 10,200 $118,841 \\ 9.856$ 130,594 11,197 136,878 9,747 100.0 100.0 100.0 100. Q 100.0 70.878 100.0 100.0 100.0 68.884 70.010 59.449 62,54064.826 66,467 67,722 Male, all ages. 6.8 7.3 7.1 7.0 7.2 7.9 7.1 7.2 7.1 7.4 8.0 8.6 6.9 6.5 4,950 5,694 6,154 ,809 ,967 ,179 4,939 4.850 5,895 5,008 4,701 4,745 5,259 Under 5 years.. 5,049 4,954 5,159 5,895 5,008 4,725 5,210 5,382 5,022 4,950 5,694 6,154 4,961 4,666 5,125 5,274 4,895 8.0 8.8 9.3 8.6 8.2 7.7 7.2 , 193 , 675 8.4 9.0 7.3 5,675 6,126 4,931 4,629 5,077 5,208 5,652 6,092 4,896 4,590 5,018 4,937 5,134 5,588 516 4,698 5,623 6,051 4,857 4,540 5,170 5,329 4,964 4,767 6,006 4,808 35 to 39 years 6.8 6.3 5.7 7.4 6.8 6.4 5.6 4.7 3.7 2.8 2.8 6.3 6.7 6.2 5.8 5.1 4.0 3.0 7.0 6.5 5.1 4.5 2.4 2.4 3,995 3,843 3,452 2,790 2,232 1,737 1,183 1,162 5,121 4,697 4,379 3,864 3,220 4,196 3,882 3,651 3,196 2,481 1,864 1,329 4,808 4,555 4.940 4,436 4,059 4,673 4,310 5,010 4,525 4,111 3,466 2,715 40 to 44 years. 45 to 49 years. 50 to 54 years. 55 to 59 years. 60 to 64 years. 65 to 69 years. 70 to 74 years. 75 years and over. 6.5 5.9 5.0 3.9 2.8 3.0 3,871 3,413 2,979 2,352 1,564 1,585 4,555 4,129 3,606 3,034 2,495 1,787 1,735 3,684 3,365 2,820 2,061 1,413 $\frac{5.2}{4.1}$ 551 899 1,945 2,125 2.0 2.0 $\frac{2.1}{2.1}$ $\frac{2.2}{2.3}$ 3.1 1,950 1,322 79.8 80.1 80.1 78.7 54,998 33.1 56,065 33.3 56,798 33.9 77.1 75.9 76.5 76.6 45,823 29.5 50,827 31.614 years and over... Median age (years) Adjusted for census under enumeration of children 71,151 5,124 70,289 5,218 $66,746 \\ 5,229$ 59,781 5,034 65,132 5,737 67,989 4,999 69,156 5,080 All ages_____ Under 5 years.__ 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 71,135 71,964 100.0 100.0 100.0 69,962 58,766 62,513 65,191 67,157 68.654 Female, all ages.. 4,583 4,723 4,932 5,400 5,836 4,731 4,725 5,426 5,877 4,777 4,531 5,005 5,353 5,131 5,189 5,892 4,792 4,555 5,036 5,393 5,183 4,948 4,704 5,639 4,806 4,570 5,065 $\frac{4,528}{4,584}$ Under 5 years $4,942 \\ 5,413$ 4,714 4,921 5,379 5,808 4,703 4,443 10 to 14 years. 15 to 19 years. 20 to 24 years. 25 to 29 years. 094 ,448 ,227 ,012 5,861 4,755 4,506 4,971 5,304 ,431 ,226 ,004 5,355 5,776 4,668 8.4 8.0 7.4 5,226 5,004 4,618 $\begin{array}{c} 8.0 \\ 6.5 \end{array}$ 4,478 4,929 6.7 6.3 5.5 4.5 3.7 4,396 4,805 5,044 7.3 6.9 7.3 6.8 6.3 5.4 4.4 3.4 4,547 4,138 3,747 3,402 2,852 2,158 1,571 1,786 4,880 4,461 4,013 3,568 3,144 2,512 1,755 1,999 7.495,241 3,941 3,690 3,229 4,229 3,875 3,583 3,092 40 to 44 years. 45 to 49 years. 50 to 54 years. 55 to 59 years. 60 to 64 years. 65 to 69 years. 5,066 5,066 4,798 4,338 3,834 3,305 2,774 2,044 2,228 4,988 4,675 4,156 3,561 2,922 2,260 2,545 5,165 4,868 4,487 3,871 3,153 2,384 6.0 5.3 4.7 3.7 2.6 3.0 6.5 5.8 4.8 3.6 637 2,454 1,933 1,449 1,537 3.0 3.1 2.3 2.5 $2,576 \\ 3,105$ $\frac{2.1}{2.2}$ 70 to 74 years 4.3 2.862 1,318 75 years and over .. 77.8 80.0 81.1 81.4 $56,743 \\ 35.3$ 57,870 35.6 $58,578 \\ 36.0$ 77.1 52,238 33.0 54,924 34.4 77.6 77.5 $45,605 \\ 29.5$ 48,457 30.5 $50,245 \\ 31.7$ 14 years and over..... Median age (years)... Adjusted for census under-70,201 4,822

68,889 4,748

62,808 5,933

 $65,462 \\ 5,460$

67,403

59,060 4,822

2The figures for the population 55 years old and over have been adjusted for age biases in the nonwhite population as enumerated.

منافيكة فيأكرون كمرواش الالبكان أأواركون

¹Published totals were obtained by rounding computed totals and hence are not always exactly equal to the sum of the rounded figures shown by color, nativity, age, and sex.

TABLE II.—FORECASTS OF THE POPULATION, BY COLOR, NATIVITY, AGE, AND SEX, ACCORDING TO ASSUMPTIONS OF MEDIUM FERTILITY AND MORTALITY AND NO IMMIGRATION AFTER JULY 1, 1945, FOR THE UNITED STATES: 1950 TO 1975—Continued

	1		, popi	LATION (I		wn where							=			,
COLOR, NATIVITY, AGE, AND SEX	19402	1945	1950	1955	1960	1965	1970	1077	70.0	<u> </u>	Τ		TRIBUT	ī	ı .	т—
	(Census)	1749	1930	1933	1900	1965	1970	1975	1940	1945	1950	1955	1960	1965	1970	1975
NATIVE WHITE		,														
Total, all ages	l	114,805	121,148	<u> </u>	130,220	133,969	137,441	-	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100,0
Under 5 years	9,221 9,307 10,299	11,529 9,799 9,265	10,620 12,064 9,763	9,674 11,121 12,026	9,245 10,136 11,089	9,392 9,690 10,111	9,644 9,847 9,668	9,468 10,111 9,827	8.6 8.7 9.6	10.0 8.5 8.1	8.8 10.0	7.7 8.8	7.1	7.0	7.0 7.2	6.8 7.2 7.0
15 to 19 years	10,799	10,205 10,623 10,008	9,223 10,137 10,534	9,723 9,168 10,061	11,983 9,671	11,052 11,923	10,080	9,641	10.1 9.5	8.9 9.3	8.1 7.6 8.4	9.5 7.7 7.3	8.5 9.2 7.4	7.5 8.3 8.9	7.0 7.3 8.0	6.9 7.2
5 to 9 years	8,497 7,468	9,404 8,444	9,909 9,280	10,001 10,440 9,792	9,106 9,980 10,327	9,612 9,039 9,879	11,854 9,546 8,955	10,944 11,778 9,461	8.9 8.0 7.0	8.7 8.2 7.4	8.7 8.2 7.7	8.0 8.3 7.8	7.0 7.7 7.9	7.2 6.7 7.4	8.6 6.9 6.5	7.8 8.4 6.8
40 to 44 years. 45 to 49 years. 50 to 54 years. 55 to 59 years. 60 to 64 years. 65 to 69 years. 70 to 74 years. 75 years and over.	6,673	7,392 6,532	8,288 7,195	9,125 8,094	9,643 8,935	10,180 9,459	9,747 9,996	8,841 9,577	6.2	6.4 5.7	6.8 5.9	7.2 6.4	7.4 6.9	7.6 7.1	7.1	6.3
50 to 54 years	5,115 4,108 3,348	5,809 4,836 3,752	6,266 5,448 4,383	6,926 5,897 4,947	7,817 6,543	8,651 7,409	9,175 8,219	9,705 8,729	4.8 3.8	5.1 4.2	5.2 4.5	5.5 4.7	6.0	6.5	7.3 6.7 6.0	6.9
65 to 69 years	2,687 1,798	2,897 2,155	3,231 2,299	3,782 2,566	5,368 4,278 3,006	5,975 4,651 3,401	6,785 5,183 3,699	7,544 5,891 4,123	$\begin{bmatrix} 3.1 \\ 2.5 \\ 1.7 \end{bmatrix}$	3.3 2.5 1.9	3.6 2.7 1.9	3.9 3.0 2.0	4.1 3.3 2.3	4.5 3.5	4.9 3.8	5.4 4.2
		2,156 86,153	2,509 90,590	2,786 95,572	3,093 102,083	3,543 106,864	4,041 110,231	4,484 112,694	1.7 75.0	75.0	2.1	2.2	2.4	2.5	2.7 2.9	2.9 3.2
14 years and over	26.9	28.0	29.2	30.6	31.9	32.9	33.5	34.3		13.0	74.8	75.8	78.4	79.8	80.2	80.4
enumeration of children	107 422	115,431	121,725	196 652	120 700	194 470	127 065	140 674	3							
All agesUnder 5 years	9,847	12,155	11,197	126,653 10,200	130,722 9,747	134,479 9,903	137,965 10,168	140,674 9,983		ļ						
Male, all ages		57,206	60,273	62,678	64,667	66,513	68,250	69,633	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 5 years 5 to 9 years 10 to 14 years 15 to 19 years 20 to 24 years 25 to 29 years	4,697 4,734 5,232	5,893 5,000 4,710	5,430 6,174 4,978	4,950 5,694 6,151	4,732 5,193 5,675	4,809 4,967 5,179	4,939 5,049 4,954	4,850 5,186 5,038	8.8 8.9 9.8	10.3 8.7	9.0	7.9	7.3 8.0 8.8	7.2 7.5	7.2 7.4	7.0 7.4
15 to 19 years	5,434 5,015	5,172 5,285	4,682 5,133	4,953	6,124 4,923	5,652 6,089	5,159 5,623	4,937 5,134	10.2	8.2 9.0 9.2	8.3 7.8 8.5	9.8 7.9 7.4	8.8 9.5 7.6	7.8 8.5 9.2	7.3 7.6 8.2	7.4 7.2 7.1 7.4
30 to 34 years	4,698 4,230 3,724	4,904 4,637 4,193	5,232 4,847 4,565	5,088 5,178 4,780	4,614 5,041 5,114	4,888 4,575 4,983	6,049 4,849 4,526	5,588 6,004 4,800	8.8 7.9 7.0	8.6 8.1 7.3	8.7 8.0 7.6	8.1 8.3 7.6	7.1 7.8 7.9	7.3 6.9 7.5	8.9 7.1 6.6	8.0 8.6 6.9
40 to 44 years	3,338 3,026	3,675 3,250	4,101 3,557	4,475 3,986	4,695 4,363	5,028 4,587	4,905 4,919	4,459 4,803	6.2	6.4 5.7	6.8	7.1	7.3	7.6	7,2	6.4
50 to 54 years	2,568 2,054 1,659	2,886 2,390 1,832	3,089 2,667	3,395 2,866	3,820 3,166	4,195 3,577	4,420 3,940	4,746 4,159	4.8 3.8	5.0 4.2	5.1 4.4	6.4 5.4 4.6	6.7 5.9 4.9	6.9 6.3 5.4	7.2 6.5 5.8	6.9 6.8 6.0
60 to 64 years	1,314 873	1,392 1,013	2,116 1,531 1,063	2,367 1,774 1,170	2,553 1,990 1,356	2,831 2,152 1,522	3,211 2,392 1,646	3,547 2,716 1,830	3.1 2.5 1.6	3.2 2.4 1.8	3.5 2.5	3.8 2.8 1.9	3.9 3.1	4.3 3.2	4.7 3.5	5.1 3.9
14 years and over	39,846	975 42,591	1,105 44,654	1,198 47,040	1,307 50,259	1,478 52,627	1,668 54,305	1,836 55,553	74.6	1.7 74.5	1.8 1.8 74.1	1.9	2.1 2.0	2.3	2.4	2.6 2.6
Median age (years)Adjusted for census under-	26.7	27.6	28.6	29.9	31.1	31.8	32.4	33.4			74.1	75.1	77.7	79.1	79.6	79.8
enumeration of children	53,770	57,538	60,579	62,958	64,934	66 704	68,528	69,906								
All ages Under 5 years	5,029	6,225	5,737	5,229	4,999	66,784 5,080	5,218	5,124								
Female, all ages		57,599	60,875	63,449	65,553	67,456	69,191	70,527	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 5 years	4,524 4,574 5.067	5,636 4,799 4,555	5,189 5,890 4,784	4,725 5,426 5,874	4,513 4,942 5,413	4,583 4,723 4,932	4,704 4,797 4,714	4,618 4,925 4,789	8.5 8.6 9.5	9.8 8.3	8.5 9.7	7.4 8.6	6.9 7.5	6.8 7.0	6.8 6.9	6.5 7.0
5 to 9 years	5,366 5,116	5,032 5,339	4,540 5,004	4,770 4,517	5,859 4,748	5,400 5,834	4,921 5,379	4,704 4,902	10.1 9.6	7.9 8.7 9.3	7.9 7.5 8.2	9.3 7.5 7.1	8.3 8.9 7.2	7.3 8.0 8.6	6.8 7.1 7.8	6.8 6.7 7.0
25 to 29 years	4,782 4,267 3,744	5,105 4,768 4,251	5,301 5,062 4,715	4,973 5,261 5,012	4,492 4,939 5,214	4,724 4,464 4,897	5,806 4,696 4,429	5,355 5,774 4,661	9.0 8.0 7.0	8.9 8.3 7.4	8.7 8.3 7.7	7.8 8.3 7.9	6.9 7.5 8.0	7.0 6.6 7.3	8.4 6.8 6.4	7.6 8.2 6.6
40 to 44 years	3,335 3,003	3,716 3,282	4,187 3,638	4,650 4,108	4,948 4,572	5,152 4,872	4,842 5,077	4,382 4,774	6.2 5.6	6.5 5.7	6.9	7.3 6.5	7.5 7.0	7.6 7.2	7.0 7.3	6.2 6.8
50 to 54 years	2,546 2,054 1,689	2,922 2,447 1,919	3,177 2,781 2,267	3,530 3,030 2,580	3,997 3,377	4,456 3,832	4,755 4,279	4,959 4,570	4.8 3.8	$\frac{5.1}{4.2}$	5.2 4.6	5.6 4.8	$\frac{6.1}{5.2}$	6.6 5.7	6.9 6.2	7.0 6.5
65 to 69 years	1,372 925 995	1,505 1,141	1,699 1,236	2,009 1,396	2,815 2,288 1,650	3,143 2,499 1,879	3,574 2,792 2,053	3,997 3,175 2,293	$\frac{3.2}{2.6}$ $\frac{1.7}{1.7}$	3.3 2.6 2.0	3.7 2.8 2.0	4.1 3.2 2.2	4.3 3.5 2.5	4.7 3.7 2.8	5.2 4.0 3.0	5.7 4.5 3.3
14 years and over	40,231 27.1	1,181 43,562	1,404 45,937	1,587 48,531	1,786 51,824	2,065 54,238	2,373 55,926	2,648 57,141	1.9 75.4	2.0 75.6	2.3 75.5	2.5 76.5	2.7 79.1	3.1 80.4	3.4	3.8 81.0
Adjusted for census under- enumeration of children	24.1	28.4	29.7	31.4	32.8	34.0	34.6	35.2	·							
All ages	53,652 4,818	57,893 5 931	61,146 5,460	63,695	65,789	67,695	69,436	70,768								
¹ Published totals were obta			•	4,971	4,748	4.822	4,950	4,859								

¹Published totals were obtained by rounding computed totals and hence are not always exactly equal to the sum of the rounded figures shown by color, nativity, age, and sex.

TABLE II.—FORECASTS OF THE POPULATION, BY COLOR, NATIVITY, AGE, AND SEX, ACCORDING TO ASSUMPTIONS OF MEDIUM FERTILITY AND MORTALITY AND NO IMMIGRATION AFTER JULY 1, 1945, FOR THE UNITED STATES: 1950 TO 1975—Continued

			POPUI	LATION (IN	THOUSAN	ns)i					PERC	ENT DIS	TRIBUTI	ON		
COLOR, NATIVITY, AGE, AND SEX	1940 ² (Census)	1945	1950	1955	1960	1965	1970	1975	1940	1945	1950	1955	1960	1965	1970	1975
FOREIGN-BORN WHITE																
Total, all ages	11,419	10,248	8,869	7,497	6,155	4,877	3,705	2,682	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 5 years	8 22	4 16	5						0.1 0.2	0.2	0.1					
10 to 14 years	165	30 70 191	15 30 70	5 15 29	5 15	5			0.5	0.3 0.7 1.9	0.2 0.3 0.8	0.1 0.2 0.4	0.1 0.2	0.1		
20 to 24 years 25 to 29 years 30 to 34 years	424 709	240 441	189 237	69 187	29 68	15 <u>å</u> 29	5 15	5	1.8 3.7 6.2	$\frac{2.3}{4.3}$	2.1 2.7	0.9 2.5	0.5	0.3	0.1 0.4	0.2
35 to 39 years	1,048	709	436	235 428	185 231	68 182	29 67	15 28	9.2	6.9 10.1	4.9 7.8	3.1 5.7	3.0	1.4 3.7	0.8 1.8	0.6
40 to 44 years	1,504	1,034 1,225 1,425	696 1,002 1,166	677 958	418 650	226 403	178 218	66 173	11.1 13.2 13.7	$12.0 \\ 13.9$	11.3 13.1	9.0 12.8	6.8	4.6 8.3	4.8 5.9	2.4 6.4
55 to 59 years	1,319 1,069	1,452 1,183	1,319 1,289	1,085 1,176	896 971	611 806	380 552	206 345	11.5	14.2 11.5	14.9 14.5	14.5 15.7	14.6 15.8	12.5 16.5	10.3 14.9	7.7 12.8
65 to 69 years	813 602 645	901 624 703	989 686 741	1,081 753 798	991 825 870	822 758 952	685 630 946	470 525 850	7.1 5.3	8.8 6.1 6.9	11.1 7.7 8.4	14.4 10.0 10.6	16.1 13.4 14.1	16.9 15.5 19.5	18.5 17.0 25.5	17.5 19.6 31.7
14 years and over	1	10,206	8,853 57.2	7,494 60.3	6,155 63.0	4,877 65.6	3,705 68.0	2,682 70.3	5.6 99.4	99.6	99.8	100.0	100.0	1	100.0	100.0
Adjusted for census under-	51.0	54.1	57.2	00.5	05.0	00.0	00.0	10.0								*********
enumeration of children	11,420	10,248	8,869	7,497	6,155	4,877	3,705	2,682								
All ages Under 5 years	11,420	5	0,008	1,201												
Male, all ages		5,334	4,553	3,789	3,055	2,371	1,761	1,245	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 5 years. 5 to 9 years. 10 to 14 years.	11 27	8	2 8						0.1 0.2	0.2 0.3	0.1 0.2	0.1				
15 to 19 years	. 82	15 37 98	15 37 97	8 15	2 8	2 8			0.5 1.4 1.6	0.7	0.2	0.2	0.1 0.3	0.1		
25 to 29 years 30 to 34 years	194 343	118 205	117	36 96	15 36	15	8	2	3.2 5.7	3.8	2.1 2.6	1.0	0.5 1.2	0.3 0.6	0.1	0.2
35 to 39 years	657	343 521	202 335	115 198	94	36 93	15 35	8 15	8.8	9.8	7.4	3.0 5.2	3.1	3.9	0.8	0.6
45 to 49 years	817 883	632 764	502 595	324 476	192 309 440	110 184 287	91 105 171	34 87	13.6	11.8 14.3	11.0 13.1	8.6 12.6	6.3 10.1	4.6 7.7	5.1 6.0	2.8 7.0
55 to 59 years	. 573	807 648 472	698 704 530	547 612 578	481 505	389 399	255 323	99 153 212	12.2 9.5	15.1 12.2 8.9	15.3	14.4 16.1 15.3	14.4 15.8 16.5	12.1 16.4 16.8	9.7 14.5 18.3	7.9 12.3 17.1
65 to 69 years	310 322	316 347	350 359	394 386	431 428	377 472	298 457	242 393	7.0 5.2 5.3	5.9 6.5	11.6 7.7 7.9	10.4 10.2	14.1 14.0	15.9 19.9	16.9 25.9	19.4 31.6
14 years and overMedian age (years)	1	5,312 54.5	4,544 57.6	3,787 60.6	3,055 63.3	2,371 65.8	1,761 68.1	1,245 70.3	99.4	99.6	99.8	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Adjusted for census under- enumeration of children					ļ	:										
All ages Under 5 years	6,011	5,334	4,553	3,789	3,055	2,371	1,761	1,245								
Female, all ages		4,914	4,316	3,708	3,100	2,506	1.944	1 497		100.0	700.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 5 years	4	2 7		3,.00		2,000	1,944	1,437	0,1	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	- 100.0
5 to 9 years	. 27	14	7	2 7	2				0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1				
15 to 19 years	111 231	33 93 122	14 33 92	14 33	7 14	2 7	2		1.5 2.0 4.3	0.7 1.9 2.5	0.3 0.8 2.1	0.2 0.4 0.9	0.1 0.2 0.5	0.1 0.3	0,1	
30 to 34 years	. 366	236 366	121 234	91 120	. 32 91	14 32	7 14	7	6.8	4.8 7.5	2.8 5.4	3.2	1.0	0.6 1.3	0.4	0.2
40 to 44 years 45 to 49 years	606 687	513 593	360 500	230 353	118 226	89 116	32 88	14 31	11.2 12.7	10.4 12.1	8.4 11.6	6.2 9.5	3.8 7.3	3.6 4.6	1.6 4.5	1.0 2.2
55 to 59 years	. 682 583	661 645	570 621	483 538	341 457	219 324	113 209	86 107	12.6 10.8	13.4· 13.1	$13.2 \\ 14.4$	13.0 14.5	11.0 14.7	8.7 12.9	5.8 10.7	6.0 7.5
60 to 64 years	390	535 428 308	585 459 335	564 503 359	490 487 394	418 424 381	297 362	192 258	9.2	10.9 8.7 6.3	13.6 10.6	15.2 13.6	15.8 15.7	16.7 16.9 15.2	15.3 18.6 17.0	13.3 17.9 19.7
75 years and over	. 323	357	382	411	442	480	331 490	283 457	5.4 6.0	7.3	7.8 8.8	9.7	12.7 14.2	19.2	25.2	31.8
14 years and over	5,375 50.5	4,895 53.6	4,308 56.8	3,707 59.8	3,100 62.7	2,506 65.4	1,944 67.9	1,437 70.4	99.4	99.6	99.8	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Adjusted for census under- enumeration of children								-								
All ages	5,408	4,915 2	4,316	3,708	3,100	2,506	1,944	1,437								
	J .	I	i	l	1 -	1	1	1	1	1	4	ı	1	1	F	1

¹Published totals were obtained by rounding computed totals and hence are not always exactly equal to the sum of the rounded figures shown by color, nativity, age, and sex.

Table II.—FORECASTS OF THE POPULATION, BY COLOR, NATIVITY, AGE, AND SEX, ACCORDING TO ASSUMPTIONS OF MEDIUM FERTILITY AND MORTALITY AND NO IMMIGRATION AFTER JULY 1, 1945, FOR THE UNITED STATES: 1950 TO 1975—Continued

COLOR, NATIVITY,			POPU	LATION (I	N THOUSAL	NDS)1					PERC	ENT DI	STRIBUT	ION		
AGE, AND SEX	1940 ² (Census)	1945	1950	1955	1960	1965	1970	1975	1940	1945	1950	1955	1960	1965	1970	1975
NONWHITE Total, all ages	13,454	14,568	15,443	16,216	17,000	17,845	18,702	19,496	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 5 years	I 1356	1,613 1,533 1,354 1,377 1,344 1,221 1,161 998	1,521 1,825 1,523 1,340 1,349 1,308 1,182 1,114	1,437 1,723 1,816 1,509 1,317 1,318 1,271 1,140	1,472 1,630 1,715 1,801 1,487 1,290 1,286 1,232	1,556 1,671 1,624 1,703 1,777 1,460 1,262 1,250	1,591 1,767 1,665 1,613 1,683 1,748 1,432 1,230	1,571 1,808 1,762 1,656 1,596 1,659 1,718 1,399	9.8 10.1 10.4 10.2 9.3 8.9 7.7 7.6	11,1 10.5 9.3 9.4 9.2 8.4 8.0 6.8	9.9 11.8 9.9 8.7 8.7 8.5 7.7	8.9 10.6 11.2 9.3 8.1 8.1 7.8 7.0	8.7 9.6 10.1 10.6 8.7 7.6 7.6 7.2	8.7 9.4 9.1 9.5 10.0 8.2 7.1 7.0	8.5 9.5 8.9 8.6 9.0 9.3 7.7 6.6	8.1 9.3 9.0 8.5 8.2 8.5 8.8 7.2
40 to 44 years	722 577 441 343 249 160	975 794 650 501 371 274 184 218	944 906 713 562 417 292 199 248	1,062 887 824 624 471 330 213 274	1,094 1,006 815 729 527 376 241 298	1,186 1,043 933 729 622 424 276 330	1,208 1,135 973 841 627 503 312 372	1,192 1,159 1,064 882 729 510 371 422	6.3 5.4 4.3 3.3 2.5 1.8 1.2	6.7 5.5 4.5 3.4 2.5 1.9 1.3	6.1 5.9 4.6 3.6 2.7 1.9 1.3 1.6	6.6 5.5 5.1 3.8 2.9 2.0 1.3 1.7	6.4 5.9 4.8 4.3 3.1 2.2 1.4 1.8	6.6 5.8 5.2 4.1 3.5 2.4 1.5	6.5 6.1 5.2 4.5 3.4 2.7 1.7 2.0	6.1 5.9 5.5 4.5 3.7 2.6 1.9 2.2
14 years and over Median age (years)	9,675 25.2	10,338 25.3	10,866 25.6	14,585 26.2	12,537 26.5	13,323 27.0	14,004 27.9	14,699 29.1	71.9	71.0	70.4	71.4	73.7	74.7	74.9	75.4
Adjusted for census under- enumeration of children														-		
All ages	13,691 1,548	14,804 1,850	15,665 1,744	16,426 1,648	17,215 1,688	18,073 1,784	18,935 1,824	19,726 1,801								
Male, all ages	6,613	7,155	7,570	7,942	8,325	8,746	9,179	9,587	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 5 years. 10 to 14 years. 15 to 19 years. 16 to 19 years. 20 to 24 years. 25 to 29 years. 30 to 34 years. 35 to 39 years.	653 674 693 664 579 559 497 491	807 769 674 686 653 568 544 479	760 918 764 667 672 634 548 520	719 866 913 757 655 655 615 527	737 820 861 906 746 641 638 594	779 841 817 855 894 731 626 619	797 891 838 812 845 878 716 609	787 . 912 888 833 802 832 862 698	9.9 10.2 10.5 10.0 8.8 8.4 7.5 7.4	11.3 10.7 9.4 9.6 9.1 7.9 7.6 6.7	10.0 12.1 10.1 8.8 8.9 8.4 7.2 6.9	9.0 10.9 11.5 9.5 8.3 8.3 7.7 6.6	8.9 9.9 10.3 10.9 9.0 7.7 7.7	8.9 9.6 9.3 9.8 10.2 8.4 7.2 7.1	8.7 9.7 9.1 8.8 9.2 9.6 7.8 6.6	8.2 9.5 9.3 8.7 8.4 8.7 9.0 7.3
40 to 44 years. 45 to 49 years. 50 to 54 years. 55 to 59 years. 60 to 64 years. 65 to 69 years. 70 to 74 years. 75 years and over.	301 235	465 393 326 259 197 142 95	451 429 349 279 213 152 100 113	494 422 387 303 232 167 108 123	504 466 385 339 254 183 119	571 478 429 341 287 201 131 145	597 544 444 384 291 229 145	589 571 507 399 330 234 165 175	6.4 5.5 4.6 3.6 2.7 2.0 1.2	6.5 5.5 4.6 3.6 2.7 2.0 1.3	6.0 5.7 4.6 3.7 2.8 2.0 1.3	6.2 5.3 4.9 3.8 2.9 2.1 1.4	6.1 5.6 4.6 4.1 3.0 2.2 1.4 1.6	6.5 5.5 4.9 3.9 3.3 2.3 1.5	6.5 5.9 4.8 4.2 3.2 1.6 1.7	6.1 6.0 5.3 4.2 3.4 2.4 1.7
14 years and over Median age (years)	4,731 25.4	$5,040 \\ 24.9$	5,276 25.0	5,618 25.5	6,085 25.7	6,474 26.3	6,817 27.3	7,174 28.4	71.5	70.4	69.7	70.7	73.1	74.0	74.3	74.8
Adjusted for census under- enumeration of children													,			
All ages Under 5 years	6,737 778	7,280 931	7,687 877	8, 0 53 830	8,439 850	8,867 899	9,302 920	9, 70 8 909								
Female, all ages	6,841	7,413	7,873	8,274	8,674	9,099	9,522	9,909	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100,0	100.0
Under 5 years 5 to 9 years 10 to 14 years 15 to 19 years 20 to 24 years 25 to 29 years 30 to 34 years 35 to 39 years	659 681 700 705 669 634 539 537	807 763 680 691 691 654 617 519	762 907 759 673 677 674 634 594	719 858 903 752 661 663 656 613	736 810 854 895 741 649 648 637	777 830 807 848 883 729 636 631	794 877 827 802 838 870 716 621	784 897 874 822 793 827 856 700	9.6 10.0 10.2 10.3 9.8 9.3 7.9 7.9	10.9 10.3 9.2 9.3 9.3 8.8 8.3 7.0	9.7 11.5 9.6 8.5 8.6 8.6 8.1 7.5	8.7 10.4 10.9 9.1 8.0 7.9 7.4	8.5 9.3 9.8 10.3 8.5 7.5 7.5 7.3	8.5 9.1 8.9 9.3 9.7 8.0 7.0 6.9	8.3 9.2 8.7 8.4 8.8 9.1 7.5 6.5	7.9 9.0 8.8 8.3 8.0 8.3 8.6 7.1
40 to 44 years	428 356 276 206 162 117 79 93	510 401 324 242 175 131 89 118	493 477 364 282 203 140 98 135	568 465 437 321 239 164 105 151	590 540 431 390 274 193 123 164	615 564 504 388 335 223 145 185	611 591 529 457 336 274 167 213	603 588 556 482 399 276 205 246	6.3 5.2 4.0 3.0 2.4 1.7 1.2	6.9 5.4 4.4 3.3 2.4 1.8 1.2	6.3 6.1 4.6 3.6 2.6 1.8 1.3	6.9 5.6 5.3 3.9 2.9 2.0 1.3	6.8 6.2 5.0 4.5 3.2 2.2 1.4	6.8 6.2 5.5 4.3 3.7 2.4 1.6 2.0	6.4 6.2 5.6 4.8 3.5 2.9 1.8 2.2	6.1 5.9 5.6 4.9 4.0 2.8 2.1 2.5
14 years and over	4,944 25.1	5,299 25.6	5,589 26.2	5,967 26.8	6,452 27.3	6,849 27.8	7,187 28.6	7,526 29.7	72.3	71.5	71.0	72.1	7.44	75.3	75.5	75.9
Adjusted for census under- enumeration of children		.					•								,	
All ages	6,953 770	7,525 918	7,978 867	8,374 818	8,776 837	9,207 885	9,632 904	10,018 892								

¹Published totals were obtained by rounding computed totals and hence are not always exactly equal to the sum of the rounded figures shown by color, nativity, age, and sex.

TABLE III.—FORECASTS OF THE POPULATION, BY COLOR, NATIVITY, AGE, AND SEX, ACCORDING TO ASSUMPTIONS OF MEDIUM FERTILITY AND MORTALITY AND NET IMMIGRATION OF 500,000 PERSONS DURING EACH 5-YEAR PERIOD AFTER JULY 1, 1945, FOR THE UNITED STATES: 1950 TO 1975

COLOR, NATIVITY,			POPULA	TION (IN	THOUSAND	s)1					PERC	ENT DIST	RIBUTIO	N	`	
AGE, AND SEX	1940 ² (Census)	1945	1950	1955	1960	1965	1970	1975	1940	1945	1950	1955	1960	1965	1970	1975
ALL CLASSES																
Total, all ages	131,669	139,621	145,959	150,911	155,075	159,055	162,888	166,069	100.0	100.0	100,0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 5 years	10,685 11,746 12,334,	13,147 11,347 10,649 11,652 12,158 11,470 11,007 10,151	12,150 13,917 11,337 10,656 11,704 12,149 11,385 10,847	11,199 12,876 13,904 11,347 10,727 11,715 12,072 11,239	10,866 11,880 12,872 13,910 11,421 10,756 11,654 11,933	11,137 11,540 11,884 12,887 13,975 11,452 10,713 11,531	11,447 11,834 11,547 11,907 12,965 13,995 11,410 10,610	11,278 12,164 11,844 11,574 11,993 13,000 13,940 11,306	8.0 8.1 8.9 9.4 8.8 7.2	9.4 8.1 7.6 8.3 8.7 8.2 7.9	8.3 9.5 7.8 7.8 8.3 7.4	7.4 8.5 9.2 7.5 7.1 7.8 8.0 7.4	7.0 7.7 8.3 9.0 7.4 6.9 7.5	7.0 7.3 7.5 8.1 8.8 7.2 6.7 7.2	7.0 7.3 7.1 7.3 8.0 8.6 7.0 6.5	6.8 7.3 7.1 7.0 7.2 7.8 8.4 6.8
40 to 44 years	8,788 8,255 7,257 5,868 4,760 3,748 2,561 2,655	9,401 8,551 7,884 6,789 5,306 4,071 2,962 3,077	9,933 9,108 8,151 7,334 6,092 4,513 3,185 3,499	10,637 9,668 8,719 7,615 6,601 5,198 3,534 3,860	11,044 10,386 9,298 8,183 6,879 5,653 4,076 4,264	11,740 10,808 10,019 8,769 7,419 5,909 4,441 4,831	11,356 11,502 10,449 9,475 7,985 6,387 4,650 5,367	10,458 11,135 11,133 9,900 8,653 6,890 5,032 5,768	6.7 6.3 5.5 4.5 3.6 2.8 1.9 2.0	6.7 .6.1 5.6 4.9 3.8 2.9 2.1 2.2	6.8 6.2 5.6 5.0 4.2 3.1 2.2 2.4	7.0 6.4 5.8 5.0 4.4 2.3 2.6	7.1 6.7 6.0 5.3 4.4 3.6 2.6 2.7	7.4 6.8 6.3 5.5 4.7 3.7 2.8 3.0	7.0 7.1 6.4 5.8 4.9 3.9 2.9 3.3	6.3 6.7 6.7 6.0 5.2 4.1 3.0 3.5
14 years and over Median age (years)	101,103 29.0	106,698 29.7	110,749 30.5	115,557 31.5	122,160 32.5	126,938 33.1	130,375 33.4	133,118 34.0	76.8	76.4	75.9	76.6	78.8	79.8	80.0	80.2
Adjusted for census underenumeration of children														,		-
All ages Under 5 years	132,532 11,404	140,484 14,009	146,759 12,950	151,651 11,940	155,801 11,592	159,804 11,885	163,656 12,215	166,826 12,036				************				
Male, all ages	66,062	69,695	72,671	74,996	76,977	78,923	80,852	82,505	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 5 years	5,419 5,952 6,180 5,692 5,451 5,070	6,702 5,777 5,399 5,895 6,035 5,590 5,385 5,014	6,195 7,109 5,772 5,403 5,936 6,036 5,543 5,290	5,714 6,579 7,102 5,778 5,454 5,947 5,992 5,456	5,546 6,075 6,578 7,105 5,830 5,475 5,911 5,907	5,685 5,903 6,078 6,586 7,152 5,852 5,449 5,833	5,845 6,056 5,908 6,091 6,641 7,168 5,827 5,383	5,761 6,226 6,063 5,924 6,150 6,665 7,136 5,760	8.1 8.2 9.0 9.4 8.6 8.3 7.7 7.2	9.6 8.3 7.7 8.5 8.7 8.0 7.7 7.2	8.5 9.8 7.9 7.4 8.2 8.3 7.6 7.3	7.6 8.8 9.5 7.7 7.3 7.9 8.0 7.3	7.2 7.9 8.5 9.2 7.6 7.1 7.7	7.2 7.5 7.7 8.3 9.1 7.4 6.9 7.4	7.2 7.5 7.3 7.5 8.2 8.9 7.2 6.7	7.0 7.5 7.3 7.2 7.5 8.1 8.6 7.0
40 to 44 years	$\frac{3,025}{2.413}$	4,661 4,275 3,977 3,455 2,677 2,006 1,423 1,422	4,883 4,487 4,034 3,645 3,034 2,214 1,514 1,577	5,165 4,725 4,257 3,717 3,212 2,519 1,672 1,708	5,340 5,018 4,508 3,945 3,289 2,678 1,906 1,868	5,790 5,201 4,805 4,201 3,507 2,753 2,030 2,095	5,725 5,648 4,994 4,493 3,754 2,944 2,090 2,284	5,287 5,591 5,432 4,681 4,029 3,159 2,237 2,405	6.7 6.4 5.7 4.6 3.7 2.8 1.9	6.7 6.1 5.7 5.0 3.8 2.9 2.0 2.0	6.7 6.2 5.6 5.0 4.2 3.0 2.1 2.2	6.9 6.3 5.7 5.0 4.3 3.4 2.2 2.3	6.9 6.5 5.9 5.1 4.3 3.5 2.5 2.4	7.3 6.6 6.1 5.3 4.4 3.5 2.6 2.7	7.1 7.0 6.2 5.6 4.6 3.6 2.6 2.8	6.4 6.8 6.6 5.7 4.9 3.8 2.7 2.9
14 years and over Median age (years)	50,554 29.1	52,943 29.5	54,713 29.9	56,941 30.8	60,160	62,505	64,227	65,652 33.1	76.5	76.0	75.3	75.9	78.2	79.2	79.4	79.6
Adjusted for census underenumeration of children		20.0		00.0												
All agesUnder 5 years	66,518 5,811	70,152 7,158	73,094 6,619	75,388 6,106	77,362 5,931	79,320 6,082	81,260 6,253	82,907 6,163								
Female, all ages	65,608	69,926	73,289	75,915	78,098	80,132	82,036	83,563	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 5 years	5,187 5,266 5,794 6,153 5,895 5,646 5,172 4,800	6,445 5,570 5,250 5,756 6,122 5,880 5,621 5,137	5,955 6,808 5,565 5,254 5,768 6,113 -5,842 5,557	5,485 6,297 6,802 5,569 5,273 5,768 6,080 5,784	5,320 5,805 6,294 6,805 5,591 5,281 5,743 6,026	5,451 5,636 5,806 6,301 6,823 5,600 5,264 5,698	5,601 5,779 5,639 5,816 6,324 6,827 5,583 5,227	5,518 5,938 5,782 5,650 5,842 6,335 6,805 5,546	7.9 8.0 8.8 9.4 9.0 8.6 7.9 7.3	9.2 8.0 7.5 8.2 8.8 8.4 8.0 7.3	8.1 9.3 7.6 7.2 7.9 8.3 8.0 7.6	7.2 8.3 9.0 7.3 6.9 7.6 8.0 7.6	6.8 7.4 8.1 8.7 7.2 6.8 7.4	6.8 7.0 7.2 7.9 8.5 7.0 6.6 7.1	6.8 7.0 6.9 7.1 7.7 8.3 6.8 6.4	6.6 7.1 6.9 6.8 7.0 7.6 8.1 6.6
40 to 44 years	4,369 4,046 3,504 2,843 2,347 1,879 1,296 1,411	4,739 4,277 3,907 3,334 2,629 2,065 1,539 1,655	5,050 4,622 4,116 3,689 3,058 2,299 1,671 1,922	5,472 4,943 4,462 3,898 3,389 2,679 1,862 2,152	5,704 5,369 4,790 4,238 3,590 2,975 2,170 2,396	5,950 5,607 5,214 4,568 3,912 3,156 2,411 2,736	5,631 5,854 5,455 4,982 4,232 3,443 2,560 3,083	5,171 5,544 5,701 5,219 4,624 3,731 2,795 3,363	6.7 6.2 5.3 4.3 3.6 2.9 2.0 2.2	6.8 6.1 5.6 4.8 3.8 3.0 2.2 2.4	6.9 6.3 5.6 5.0 4.2 3.1 2.3 2.6	7.2 6.5 5.9 5.1 4.5 2.5 2.8	7.3 6.9 6.1 5.4 4.6 3.8 2.8 3.1	7.4 7.0 6.5 5.7 4.9 3.9 3.0 3.4	6.9 7.1 6.6 6.1 5.2 4.2 3.1 3.8	6.2 6.6 6.8 6.2 5.5 4.5 3.3 4.0
14 years and over Median age (years)	50,549 29.0	53,755 29.9	56,036 31.0	58,617 32.3	62,000 33.4	64,433 34.2	66,148 34.5	67,467 34.9	77.0	76.9	76.5	77.2	79.4	80.4	80.6	80.7
Adjusted for census underenumeration of children	25.0	25.5	31.0	92.3	30.4	54.2	34.0	31.0								
All agesUnder 5 years	66,014 5,593	70,332 6,851	73,665 6,3 3 1	76,263 5,833	78,439 5,661	80,484 5,803	82,397 5,962	83,919 5,873								

¹Published totals were obtained by rounding computed totals and hence are not always exactly equal to the sum of the rounded figures shown by color, nativity, age, and sex.

TABLE III.—FORECASTS OF THE POPULATION, BY COLOR, NATIVITY, AGE, AND SEX, ACCORDING TO ASSUMPTIONS OF MEDIUM FERTILITY AND MORTALITY AND NET IMMIGRATION OF 500,000 PERSONS DURING EACH 5-YEAR PERIOD AFTER JULY 1, 1945, FOR THE UNITED STATES: 1950 TO 1975—Continued

			POPULA	TION (IN	THOUSANI	os)1					PER	CENT DI	STRIBUTIO	ON		
COLOR, NATIVITY, AGE, AND SEX	1940 ² (Census)	1945	1950	1955	1960	1965	1970	1975	1940	1945 ,	1950	1955	1960	1965	1970	1975
TOTAL WHITE Total, all ages	110 915	195 059	130,516	124 604	120 076	141 010	144 106	146 550		100.0	700.0	700.0	700.0			
Under 5 years	9,230 9,329 10,353 10,964 10,340 9,904	11,533 9,815 9,295 10,275 10,814 10,248 9,846 9,154	10,629 12,092 9,814 9,317 10,355 10,842 10,203 9,733	9,762 11,153 12,088 9,838 9,410 10,397 10,801 10,099	9,394 10,250 11,156 12,109 9,934 9,466 10,368 10,701	9,581 9,869 10,261 11,184 12,198 9,992 9,451 10,281	9,855 10,067 9,882 10,293 11,282 12,246 9,979 9,380	9,707 10,356 10,082 9,918 10,397 11,341 12,223 9,907	7.8 7.9 8.8 9.3 8.7 8.4 7.8 7.2	9.2 7.8 7.4 8.2 8.6 8.2 7.9 7.3	8.1 9.3 7.5 7.1 7.9 8.3 7.8 7.5	7.2 8.3 9.0 7.3 7.0 7.7 8.0 7.5	6.8 7.4 8.1 8.8 7.2 6.9 7.5 7.8	6.8 7.0 7.3 7.9 8.6 7.1 6.7 7.3	6.8 7.0 6.9 7.1 7.8 8.5 6.9 6.5	6.6 7.1 6.9 6.8 7.1 7.7 8.3 6.8
40 to 44 years	7,533 6,680 5,427 4,417	8,426 7,757 7,234 6,288 4,935 3,797 2,778 2,859	8,988 8,203 7,437 6,772 5,675 4,221 2,986 3,251	9,575 8,781 7,895 6,991 6,130 4,868 3,321 3,586	9,950 9,380 8,483 7,454 6,351 5,276 3,835 3,967	10,554 9,765 9,086 8,040 6,797 5,485 4,165 4,501	10,148 10,367 9,476 8,634 7,358 5,884 4,339 4,996	9,266 9,975 10,070 9,018 7,924 6,380 4,661 5,347	6.7 6.4 5.7 4.6 3.7 3.0 2.0 2.1	6.7 6.2 5.8 5.0 3.9 3.0 2.2 2.3	6.9 6.3 5.7 5.2 4.3 3.2 2.3 2.5	7.1 6.5 5.9 5.2 4.6 3.6 2.5 2.7	7.2 6.8 6.1 5.4 4.6 3.8 2.8 2.9	7.5 6.9 6.4 5.7 4.8 3.9 2.9 3.2	7.0 7.2 6.6 6.0 5.1 4.1 3.0 3.5	6.3 6.8 6.9 6.2 5.4 4.4 3.2 3.6
14 years and over Median age (years)	91,428 29.5	96,360 30.3	99,883	103,972 32.2	109,623 33.2	113,615 34.0	116,372 34.2	118,419 34 .7	77.3	77.1	76.5	77.2	79.4	80.5	80.7	80.8
Adjusted for census underenumeration of children																
All ages Under 5 years	118,841 9,856	125,680 12,160	131,094 11,206	135,225 10,292	138,586 9,904	141,731 10,101	144,722 10,391	147,101 10,235								
Male, all ages		62,540	65,100	67,054	68,652	70,177	71,673	72,919	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 5 years	5,516 5,114 4,892	5,895 5,008 4,725 5,210 5,382 5,022 4,842 4,536	5,436 6,191 5,008 4,736 5,264 5,402 4,995 4,770	4,995 5,714 6,189 5,021 4,798 5,291 5,377 4,929	4,809 5,255 5,716 6,199 5,084 4,833 5,273 5,313	4,906 5,062 5,262 5,731 6,258 5,121 4,823 5,214	5,048 5,165 5,070 5,280 5,796 6,290 5,111 4,774	4,973 5,315 5,175 5,090 5,348 5,833 6,274 5,062	7.9 8.0 8.8 9.3 8.6 8.2 7.7 7.2	9.4 8.0 7.6 8.3 8.6 8.0 7.7 7.3	8.3 9.5 7.7 7.3 8.1 8.3 7.7	7.4 8.5 9.2 7.5 7.9 8.0 7.4	7.0 7.7 8.3 9.0 7.4 7.7 7.7	7.0 7.5 7.5 8.9 7.3 6.9 7.4	7.0 7.2 7.1 7.4 8.1 8.8 7.1 6.7	6.8 7.3 7.1 7.0 7.3 8.0 8.6 6.9
40 to 44 years	3,995 3,843 3,452 2,790 2,232 1,737 1,183 1,162	4,196 3,882 3,651 3,196 2,481 1,864 1,329 1,322	4,432 4,058 3,685 3,366 2,820 2,061 1,413 1,464	4,671 4,304 3,870 3,414 2,980 2,352 1,564 1,585	4,836 4,552 4,123 3,606 3,035 2,495 1,787 1,735	5,219 4,722 4,376 3,860 3,220 2,552 1,899 1,950	5,128 5,104 4,551 4,109 3,462 2,714 1,945 2,125	4,698 5,020 4,925 4,281 3,698 2,925 2,072 2,230	6.7 6.5 5.8 4.7 3.8 2.9 2.0 2.0	6.7 6.2 5.8 5.1 4.0 3.0 2.1 2.1	6.8 6.2 5.7 5.2 4.3 3.2 2.2 2.2	7.0 6.4 5.8 5.1 4.4 3.5 2.3 2.4	7.0 6.6 6.0 5.3 4.4 3.6 2.6 2.5	7.4 6.7 6.2 5.6 3.6 2.7 2.8	7.2 7.1 6.3 5.7 4.8 2.7 3.0	6.4 6.9 6.8 5.9 5.1 4.0 2.8 3.1
14 years and over Median age (years)	45,823 29.5	47,903 30.0	49,437 30.5	51,323 31,4	54,074 32.3	56,031 32.8	57,410 33.1	58,478 33.8	77.1	76.6	75.9	76.5	78.8	79.8	80.1	80.2
Adjusted for census underenumeration of children														,		
All ages Under 5 years	59,781 5,034	62,872 6,227	65,407 5,742	67,336 5,277	68,923 5,080	70,453 5,183	71,958 5,333	73,199 5,254								
Female, all ages	58,766	62,513	65,416	67,640	69,424	71,033	72,513	73,654	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 5 years	4,528 4,584 5,094 5,448 5,227 5,012 4,633 4,262	5,639 4,806 4,570 5,065 5,431 5,226 5,004 4,618	5,193 5,901 4,806 4,581 5,091 5,439 5,208 4,963	4,767 5,439 5,899 4,817 4,612 5,105 5,423 5,170	4,585 4,995 5,440 5,910 4,850 4,632 5,095 5,388	4,674 4,807 4,999 5,453 5,939 4,871 4,628 5,067	4,807 4,902 4,812 5,014 5,487 5,957 4,867 4,606	4,734 5,041 4,907 4,828 5,049 5,508 5,949 4,845	7.7 7.8 8.7 9.3 8.9 8.5 7.9	9.0 7.7 7.3 8.1 8.7 8.4 8.0 7.4	7.9 9.0 7.3 7.0 7.8 8.3 8.0 7.6	7.0 8.0 8.7 7.1 6.8 7.5 8.0 7.6	6.6 7.2 7.8 8.5 7.0 6.7 7.3 7.8	6.6 6.8 7.0 7.7 8.4 6.9 6.5 7.1	6.6 6.8 6.6 6.9 7.6 8.2 6.7 6.4	6.4 6.8 6.7 6.6 6.9 7.5 8.1 6.6
40 to 44 years	3,941 3,690 3,229 2,637 2,184 1,762 1,217 1,318	4,229 3,875 3,583 3,092 2,454 1,933 1,449 1,537	4,557 4,145 3,752 3,406 2,855 2,160 1,572 1,787	4,904 4,477 4,025 3,577 3,150 2,516 1,757 2,001	5,115 4,828 4,359 3,849 3,316 2,781 2,048 2,232	5,334 5,042 4,710 4,180 3,578 2,933 2,266 2,551	5,020 5,263 4,926 4,524 3,896 3,169 2,393 2,870	4,567 4,956 5,145 4,737 4,226 3,455 2,590 3,117	6.7 6.3 5.5 4.5 3.7 3.0 2.1 2.2	6.8 6.2 5.7 4.9 3.9 3.1 2.3 2.5	7.0 6.3 5.7 5.2 4.4 3.3 2.4 2.7	7.2 6.6 6.0 5.3 4.7 3.7 2.6 3.0	7.4 7.0 6.3 5.5 4.8 4.0 2.9 3.2	7.5 7.1 6.6 5.9 5.0 4.1 3.2 3.6	6.9 7.3 6.8 6.2 5.4 4.4 3.3 4.0	6.2 6.7 7.0 6.4 5.7 4.7 3.5
14 years and over Median age (years)	45,605 29.5	48,457 30.5	50,446 31.6	52,649 32.9	55,548 34.2	57,584 35.1	58,962 35,4	59,941 35.8	77.6	77.5	77.1	77.8	80.0	81.1	81.3	81.4
Adjusted for census underenumeration of children																
All agesUnder 5 years	59,060 4,822	62,808 5,933	65,687 5,464	67,889 5,015	69,663 4,824	71,277 4,918	72,764 5,058	73,901 4,981								

¹Published totals were obtained by rounding computed totals and hence are not always exactly equal to the sum of the rounded figures shown by color, nativity, age, and sex.

TABLE III.—FORECASTS OF THE POPULATION, BY COLOR, NATIVITY, AGE, AND SEX, ACCORDING TO ASSUMPTIONS OF MEDIUM FERTILITY AND MORTALITY AND NET IMMIGRATION OF 500,000 PERSONS DURING EACH 5-YEAR PERIOD AFTER JULY 1, 1945, FOR THE UNITED STATES: 1950 TO 1975—Continued

			POPULA	rion (in	THOUSAND	s)1	***************************************				PEF	CENT DI	STRIBUTI	on		
COLOR, NATIVITY, AGE, AND SEX	1940 ² (Census)	1945	1950	1955	1960	1965	1970	1975	1940	1945	1950	1955	1960	1965	1970	1975
NATIVE WHITE Total, all ages	106,796	114,805	121,148	126,205	130,442	134,377	138,059	141,017	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 5 years	9,480 8,497	11,529 9,799 9,265 10,205 10,623 10,008 9,404 8,444	10,620 12,064 9,763 9,223 10,137 10,534 9,909 9,280	9,753 11,121 12,026 9,723 9,168 10,061 10,440 9,792	9,385 10,218 11,089 11,983 9,671 9,106 9,980 10,327	9,571 9,836 10,193 11,052 11,923 9,612 9,039 9,879	9,846 10,035 9,814 10,162 11,003 11,854 9,546 8,955	9,698 10,324 10,014 9,786 10,117 10,944 11,778 9,461	8.6 8.7 9.6 10.1 9.5 8.9 8.0 7.0	10.0 8.5 8.1 8.9 9.3 8.7 8.2 7.4	8.8 10.0 8.1 7.6 8.4 8.7 8.2 7.7	7.7 8.8 9.5 7.7 7.3 8.0 8.3 7.8	7.2 7.8 8.5 9.2 7.4 7.0 7.7	7.1 7.3 7.6 8.2 8.9 7.2 6.7 7.4	7.1 7.3 7.1 7.4 8.0 8.6 6.9 6.5	6.9 7.3 7.1 6.9 7.2 7.8 8.4 6.7
40 to 44 years 45 to 49 years 50 to 54 years 55 to 59 years 60 to 64 years 65 to 69 years 70 to 74 years 75 years and over	6,029 5,115 4,108 3,348	7,392 6,532 5,809 4,836 3,752 2,897 2,155 2,156	8,288 7,195 6,266 5,448 4,383 3,231 2,299 2,509	9,125 8,094 6,926 5,897 4,947 3,782 2,566 2,786	9,643 8,935 7,817 6,543 5,368 4,278 3,006 3,093	10,180 9,459 8,651 7,409 5,975 4,651 3,401 3,543	9,747 9,996 9,175 8,219 6,785 5,183 3,699 4,041	8,841 9,577 9,705 8,729 7,544 5,891 4,123 4,484	6.2 5.6 4.8 3.8 3.1 2.5 1.7	6.4 5.7 5.1 4.2 3.3 2.5 1.9	6.8 5.9 5.2 4.5 3.6 2.7 1.9 2.1	7.2 6.4 5.5 4.7 3.9 3.0 2.0 2.2	7.4 6.8 6.0 5.0 4.1 3.3 2.3 2.4	7.6 7.0 6.4 5.5 4.4 3.5 2.5 2.6	7.1 7.2 6.6 6.0 4.9 3.8 2.7 2.9	6.3 6.8 6.9 6.2 5.4 4.2 2.9 3.2
14 years and over Median age (years)	80,077 26.9	86,153 28.0	90,590 29.2	95,572 30.6	102,081 31.9	106,874 32,8	110,337 33.3	112,956 34.1	75.0	75.0	74.8	75.7	₹ 78.3	79.5	79.9	80.1
Adjusted for census underenumeration of children			i.													
All ages Under 5 years	107,422 9,847	115,431 12,155	121,725 11,197	126,735 10,283	130,952 9,895	134,897 10,092	138,594 10,381	141,544 10,225								
Male, all ages	53,438	57,206	60,273	62,718	64,780	66,722	68,567	70,072	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 5 years	4,734 5,232 5,434 5,015 4,698 4,230	5,893 5,000 4,710 5,172 5,285 4,904 4,637 4,193	5,430 6,174 4,978 4,682 5,133 5,232 4,847 4,565	4,990 5,694 6,151 4,953 4,651 5,088 5,178 4,780	4,804 5,235 5,675 6,124 4,923 4,614 5,041 5,114	4,901 5,042 5,221 5,652 6,089 4,888 4,575 4,983	5,043 5,146 5,029 5,201 5,623 6,049 4,849 4,526	4,968 5,295 5,134 5,012 5,175 5,588 6,004 4,800	8.8 8.9 9.8 10.2 9.4 8.8 7.9 7.0	10.3 8.7 8.2 9.0 9.2 8.6 8.1 7.3	9.0 10.2 8.3 7.8 8.5 8.7 8.0 7.6	8.0 9.1 9.8 7.9 7.4 8.1 8.3 7.6	7.4 8.1 8.8 9.5 7.6 7.1 7.8 7.9	7.3 7.6 7.8 8.5 9.1 7.3 6.9 7.5	7.4 7.5 7.3 7.6 8.2 8.8 7.1 6.6	7.1 7.6 7.3 7.2 7.4 8.0 8.6 6.8
40 to 44 years	2,568 2,054 1,659 1,314 873	3,675 3,250 2,886 2,390 1,832 1,392 1,013 975	4,101 3,557 3,089 2,667 2,116 1,531 1,063 1,105	4,475 3,986 3,395 2,866 2,367 1,774 1,170 1,198	4,695 4,363 3,820 3,166 2,553 1,990 1,356 1,307	5,028 4,587 4,195 3,577 2,831 2,152 1,522 1,478	4,905 4,919 4,420 3,940 3,211 2,392 1,646 1,668	4,459 4,803 4,746 4,159 3,547 2,716 1,830 1,836	6.2 5.7 4.8 3.8 3.1 2.5 1.6 1.6	6.4 5.7 5.0 4.2 3.2 2.4 1.8 1.7	6.8 5.9 5.1 4.4 3.5 2.5 1.8	7.1 6.4 5.4 4.6 3.8 2.8 1.9 1.9	7.2 6.7 5.9 4.9 3.9 3.1 2.1	7.5 6.9 6.3 5.4 4.2 3.2 2.3 2.2	7.2 7.2 6.4 5.7 4.7 3.5 2.4 2.4	6.4 6.9 6.8 5.9 5.1 3.9 2.6 2.6
14 years and over Median age (years)	39,846 26.7	42,591 27.6	44,654 28.6	47,041 29.8	50,258 31.0	52,632 31.7	54,359 32.3	55,687 33.2	74.6	74.5	74.1	75.0	77.6	78.9	79.3	79.5
Adjusted for census underenumeration of children																
All ages Under 5 years	53,770 5,029	57,538 6,225	60,579 5,737	63,000 5,271	65,051 5,075	66,998 5,177	68,851 5,327	70,352 5,248								
Female, all ages.	53,358	57,599	60,875	63,487	65,662	67,655	69,493	70,945	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 5 years	4,524 4,574 5,067 5,366 5,116 4,782 4,267 3,744	5,636 4,799 4,555 5,032 5,339 5,105 4,768 4,251	5,189 5,890 4,784 4,540 5,004 5,301 5,062 4,715	4,763 5,426 5,874 4,770 4,517 4,973 5,261 5,012	4,581 4,982 5,413 5,859 4,748 4,492 4,939 5,214	4,671 4,794 4,972 5,400 5,834 4,724 4,464 4,897	4,803 4,889 4,785 4,961 5,379 5,806 4,696 4,429	4,730 5,028 4,880 4,775 4,942 5,355 5,774 4,661	8.5 8.6 9.5 10.1 9.6 9.0 8.0 7.0	9.8 8.3 7.9 8.7 9.3 8.9 8.3 7.4	8.5 9.7 7.9 7.5 8.2 8.7 8.3 7.7	7.5 8.5 9.3 7.5 7.1 7.8 8.3 7.9	7.0 7.6 8.2 8.9 7.2 6.8 7.5 7.9	6.9 7.1 7.3 8.0 8.6 7.0 6.6 7.2	6.9 7.0 6.9 7.1 7.7 8.4 6.8 6.4	6.7 7.1 6.9 6.7 7.0 7.5 8.1 6.6
40 to 44 years	3,003 2,546 2,054 1,689 1,372 925	3,716 3,282 2,922 2,447 1,919 1,505 1,141 1,181	4,187 3,638 3,177 2,781 2,267 1,699 1,236 1,404	4,650 4,108 3,530 3,030 2,580 2,009 1,396 1,587	4,948 4,572 3,997 3,377 2,815 2,288 1,650 1,786	5,152 4,872 4,456 3,832 3,143 2,499 1,879 2,065	4,842 5,077 4,755 4,279 3,574 2,792 2,053 2,373	4,382 4,774 4,959 4,570 3,997 3,175 2,293 2,648	6.2 5.6 4.8 3.8 3.2 2.6 1.7	6.5 5.7 5.1 4.2 3.3 2.6 2.0 2.0	6.9 6.0 5.2 4.6 3.7 2.8 2.0 2.3	7.3 6.5 5.6 4.8 4.1 3.2 2.2 2.5	7.5 7.0 6.1 5.1 4.3 3.5 2.5 2.7	7.6 7.2 6.6 5.7 4.6 3.7 2.8 3.1	7.0 7.3 6.8 6.2 5.1 4.0 3.0 3.4	6.2 6.7 7.0 6.4 5.6 4.5 3.2 3.7
14 years and over Median age (years)	40,231 27.1	43,562 28.4	45,937 29.7	48,531 31.3	51,823 32.8	54,242 33.8	55,978 34.4	57,269 35.0	75.4	75.6	75.5	76.4	78.9	80,2	80.6	80.7
Adjusted for census underenumeration of children																
All agesUnder 5 years	53,652 4,818	57,893 5,931	61,146 5,460	63,735 5,011	65,901 4,820	67,899 4,914	69,743 5,054	71,192 4,977								

¹Published totals were obtained by rounding computed totals and hence are not always exactly equal to the sum of the rounded figures shown by color, nativity, age, and sex.

 $^{^2{\}rm The}$ figures for the population 55 years old and over have been adjusted for age biases in the nonwhite population as enumerated.

Table III.—FORECASTS OF THE POPULATION, BY COLOR, NATIVITY, AGE, AND SEX, ACCORDING TO ASSUMPTIONS OF MEDIUM FERTILITY AND MORTALITY AND NET IMMIGRATION OF 500,000 PERSONS DURING EACH 5-YEAR PERIOD AFTER JULY 1, 1945, FOR THE UNITED STATES: 1950 TO 1975—Continued

COLOR, NATIVITY,			POPULA	TION (IN	THOUSANE	s)¹					· PE	RCENT I	DISTRIBUT	TION		
AGE, AND SEX	1940 ² (Census)	1945	1950	1955	1960	1965	1970	1975	1940	1945	1950	1955	1960	1965	1970	1975
FOREIGN-BORN WHITE																
Total, all ages		10,248	9,368	8,489	7,634	6,833	6,127	5,555	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 5 years 5 to 9 years 10 to 14 years 15 to 19 years 20 to 24 years 25 to 29 years 30 to 34 years 35 to 39 years	8 22 54 165 210 424 709 1,048	4 16 30 70 191 240 441 709	9 27 51 94 218 308 293 453	9 32 63 115 242 336 361 307	9 32 68 127 263 359 388 374	9 32 68 132 274 380 412 402	9 32 68 132 280 392 433 425	9 32 68 132 280 397 445 446	0.1 0.2 0.5 1.4 1.8 3.7 6.2 9.2	0.2 0.3 0.7 1.9 2.3 4.3 6.9	0.1 0.3 0.5 1.0 2.3 3.3 3.1 4.8	0.1 0.4 0.7 1.4 2.8 4.0 4.3 3.6	0.1 0.4 0.9 1.7 3.4 4.7 5.1 4.9	0.1 0.5 1.0 1.9 4.0 5.6 6.0 5.9	0.1 0.5 1.1 2.1 4.6 6.4 7.1 6.9	0.2 0.6 1.2 2.4 5.0 7.2 8.0 8.0
40 to 44 years. 45 to 49 years. 50 to 54 years. 55 to 59 years. 60 to 64 years. 65 to 69 years. 70 to 74 years. 75 years and over.	1,263 1,504 1,566 1,319 1,069 813 602 645	1,034 1,225 1,425 1,452 1,183 901 624 703	701 1,008 1,171 1,324 1,292 990 687 742	450 687 969 1,094 1,183 1,085 755 800	307 445 666 911 983 999 829 874	373 306 435 631 823 834 764 958	401 371 301 415 573 700 640 955	425 398 365 289 379 490 538 862	11.1 13.2 13.7 11.5 9.4 7.1 5.3 5.6	10.1 12.0 13.9 14.2 11.5 8.8 6.1 6.9	7.5 10.8 12.5 14.1 13.8 10.6 7.3 7.9	5.3 8.1 11.4 12.9 13.9 12.8 8.9 9.4	4.0 5.8 8.7 11.9 12.9 13.1 10.9 11.4	5.5 4.5 6.4 9.2 12.0 12.2 11.2 14.0	6.5 6.1 4.9 6.8 9.4 11.4 10.4 15.6	7.6 7.2 6.6 5.2 6.8 8.8 9.7 15.5
14 years and over Median age (years)	11,351 51.0	10,206 54,1	9,293 56.3	8,400 58.1	7,541 59.3	6,741 59,7	6,035 57.6	5,464 52,0	99.4	99.6	99.2	99.0	98.8	98.7	98.5	98.3
Adjusted for census underenumeration of children	02.0	01,1	50.0		00.0		07.0		^				,			
All agesUnder 5 years	11,420 9	$\begin{smallmatrix}10,248\\5\end{smallmatrix}$	9,369 10	8,490 10	7,634 10	6,834 10	6,128 10	5,556 10								
Male, all ages	6,011	5,334	4,827	4,336	3,872	3,455	3,106	2,847	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 5 years. 5 to 9 years. 10 to 14 years. 15 to 19 years. 20 to 24 years. 25 to 29 years. 30 to 34 years. 35 to 39 years.	4 11 27 82 99 194 343 530	2 8 15 37 98 118 205 343	5 16 30 53 131 170 147 204	5 20 38 68 147 203 199 148	5 20 41 76 161 219 232 199	5 20 41 79 169 233 248 232	5 20 41 79 172 241 262 248	5 20 41 79 172 245 270 262	0.1 0.2 0.5 1.4 1.6 3.2 5.7 8.8	0.2 0.3 0.7 1.8 2.2 3.8 6.4	0.1 0.3 0.6 1.1 2.7 3.5 3.1 4.2	0.1 0.4 0.9 1.6 3.4 4.7 4.6 3.4	0.1 0.5 1.1 2.0 4.2 5.7 6.0 5.1	0.2 0.6 1.2 2.3 4.9 6.8 7.2 6.7	0.2 0.6 1.3 2.5 5.5 7.8 8.4 8.0	0.2 0.7 1.4 2.8 6.1 8.6 9.5 9.2
40 to 44 years. 45 to 49 years. 50 to 54 years. 55 to 59 years. 60 to 64 years. 65 to 69 years. 70 to 74 years. 75 years and over.	657 817 883 736 573 423 310 322	521 632 764 807 648 472 316 347	330 500 596 699 704 530 350 359	196 318 475 548 612 579 394 386	141 188 304 439 482 505 431 428	191 136 181 283 389 400 377 473	223 185 131 169 251 323 299 457	240 217 178 123 151 209 242 394	10.9 13.6 14.7 12.2 9.5 7.0 5.2 5.3	9.8 11.8 14.3 15.1 12.2 8.9 5.9 6.5	6.8 10.4 12.3 14.5 14.6 11.0 7.3 7.4	4.5 7.3 11.0 12.6 14.1 13.3 9.1 8.9	3.6 4.9 7.8 11.3 12.5 13.0 11.1	5.5 3.9 5.2 8.2 11.2 11.6 10.9 13.7	7.2 6.0 4.2 5.4 8.1 10.4 9.6 14.7	8.4 7.6 6.3 4.3 5.3 7.4 8.5 13.8
14 years and over Median age (years)	5,977 51,4	5,312 54.5	4,783 56,6	$\frac{4,282}{58,2}$	3,816 59,0	3,399 58.4	3,051 52,9	$\frac{2,791}{47,1}$	99.4	99.6	99.1	98.8	98.6	98.4	98.2	98.0
Adjusted for census underenumeration of children										ĺ						
All ages Under 5 years	6,011 4	$\begin{smallmatrix}5,334\\2\end{smallmatrix}$	4,828 6	4,336	$\substack{3,872\\6}$	3,455 6	3,107 6	2,847 6								······································
Female, all ages	5,408	4,914	4,541	4,154	3,762	3,378	3,021	2,709	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 5 years. 5 to 9 years. 10 to 14 years. 15 to 19 years. 20 to 24 years. 25 to 29 years. 30 to 34 years. 35 to 39 years.	4 11 27 82 111 231 366 518	2 7 14 33 93 122 236 366	4 11 21 40 87 138 146 248	4 13 25 47 95 133 162 159	13 27 51 102 140 157 175	13 27 53 105 147 164 170	13 27 53 107 151 171 177	4 13 27 53 107 153 175 184	0.1 0.2 0.5 1.5 2.0 4.3 6.8 9.6	0.1 0.3 0.7 1.9 2.5 4.8 7.5	0.1 0.2 0.5 0.9 1.9 3.0 3.2 5.5	0.1 0.3 0.6 1.1 2.3 3.2 3.9 3.8	0.1 0.3 0.7 1.4 2.7 3.7 4.2 4.6	0.1 0.4 0.8 1.6 3.1 4.4 4.9 5.0	0.1 0.4 0.9 1.8 3.6 5.0 5.7 5.9	0.1 0.5 1.0 2.0 4.0 5.6 6.5 6.8
40 to 44 years	606 687 682 583 496 390 292 323	513 593 661 645 535 428 308 357	370 507 575 625 588 460 336 383	254 369 494 546 571 507 361 414	166 256 362 472 501 493 398 446	182 170 254 348 434 434 437 486	178 186 171 246 322 378 341 498	185 182 186 167 228 280 296 469	11.2 12.7 12.6 10.8 9.2 7.2 5.4 6.0	10.4 12.1 13.4 13.1 10.9 8.7 6.3 7.3	8.2 11.2 12.7 13.8 12.9 10.1 7.4 8.4	6.1 8.9 11.9 13.2 13.7 12.2 8.7 10.0	4.4 6.8 9.6 12.5 13.3 13.1 10.6 11.8	5.4 5.0 7.5 10.3 12.9 12.9 11.5 14.4	5.9 6.2 5.6 8.1 10.7 12.5 11.3 16.5	6.8 6.7 6.9 6.2 8.4 10.4 10.9 17.3
14 years and over	5,375 50,5	4,895 53.6	4,510 56.0	4,118 57.9	3,725 59,5	3,342 60.6	2,984 60.4	2,672 57,6	99.4	99.6	99.3	99.1	99.0	98.9	98.8	98.6
Adjusted for census underenumeration of children							-7.2									
All ages	5,408	4,915	4,541 4	4,154 4	3,762	3,378	3,021	2,709								***********

¹Published totals were obtained by rounding computed totals and hence are not always exactly equal to the sum of the rounded figures shown by color, nativity, age, and sex.

Table IV.—FORECASTS OF THE POPULATION, BY COLOR, NATIVITY, AGE, AND SEX, ACCORDING TO ASSUMPTIONS OF LOW FERTILITY, HIGH MORTALITY, AND NO IMMIGRATION AFTER JULY 1, 1945, FOR THE UNITED STATES: 1950 TO 1975

COLOR, NATIVITY,			POPULA	rion (in :	THOUSAND	s) ¹					PER	CENT DIS	STRIBUTIO	ON		
AGE, AND SEX	1940 ² (Census)	1945	1950	1955	1960	1965	1970	1975	1940	1945	1950	1955	1960	1965	1970	1975
ALL CLASSES Total, all ages	131,669	139,621	144,922	147,990	149,827	151,047	151,627	151,090	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 5 years 5 to 9 years 10 to 14 years 15 to 19 years 20 to 24 years 30 to 34 years 35 to 39 years	10,685 11,746 12,334 11,588 11,097 10,242	13,147 11,347 10,649 11,652 12,158 11,470 11,007 10,151	11,691 13,888 11,301 10,590 11,554 12,028 11,328 10,827	10,029 12,358 13,838 11,245 10,508 11,442 11,892 11,159	9,414 10,608 12,318 13,773 11,163 10,413 11,319 11,726	9,364 9,965 10,575 12,263 13,678 11,064 10,302 11,165	9,255 9,917 9,937 10,530 12,179 13,563 10,950 10,164	8,648 9,800 9,890 9,895 10,458 12,078 13,427 10,804	8.0 8.1 8.9 9.4 8.8 8.4 7.8 7.2	9.4 8.1 7.6 8.3 8.7 8.2 7.9 7.3	8.1 9.6 7.8 7.3 8.0 8.3 7.8 7.5	6.8 8.4 9.4 7.6 7.1 7.7 8.0 7.5	6.3 7.1 8.2 9.2 7.5 6.9 7.6 7.8	6.2 6.6 7.0 8.1 9.1 7.3 6.8 7.4	6.1 6.5 6.6 6.9 8.0 8.9 7.2 6.7	5.7 6.5 6.5 6.9 8.0 8.9 7.2
40 to 44 years	8,255 7,257 5,868 4,760 3,748 2,561	9,401 8,551 7,884 6,789 5,306 4,071 2,962 3,077	9,923 9,086 8,127 7,316 6,081 4,502 3,182 3,498	10,601 9,616 8,649 7,552 6,561 5,163 3,521 3,855	10,941 10,285 9,172 8,044 6,779 5,578 4,042 4,251	11,506 10,628 9,820 8,547 7,225 5,770 4,375 4,799	10,959 11,184 10,155 9,155 7,689 6,154 4,533 5,304	9,979 10,653 10,689 9,473 8,240 6,561 4,841 5,653	6.7 6.3 5.5 4.5 3.6 2.8 1.9 2.0	6.7 6.1 5.6 4.9 3.8 2.9 2.1 2.2	6.8 6.3 5.6 5.0 4.2 3.1 2.2 2.4	7.2 6.5 5.8 5.1 4.4 3.5 2.4 2.6	7.3 6.9 6.1 5.4 4.5 3.7 2.7 2.8	7.6 7.0 6.5 5.7 4.8 3.8 2.9 3.2	7.2 7.4 6.7 6.0 5.1 4.1 3.0 3.5	6.6 7.1 7.1 6.3 5.5 4.3 3.2 3.7
14 years and over Median age (years)		106,698 29.7	110,178 30.6	114,386 31.9	120,126 33.2	123,375 34.2	124,528 34.8	124,724 35.6	76.8	76.4	76.0	77.3	80.2	81.7	82.1	82.5
Adjusted for census underenumeration of children																
All ages Under 5 years	132,532 11,404	140,484 14,009	145,692 12,461	148,654 10,693	150,456 10,044	151,677 9,994	152,247 9,875	151,669 9,227								
Male, all ages	66,062	69,695	72,111	73,430	74,166	74,638	74,837	74,518	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 5 years	5,419 5,952 6,180 5,692 5,451 5,070	6,702 5,777 5,399 5,895 6,035 5,590 5,385 5,014	5,961 7,091 5,751 5,363 5,841 5,962 5,511 5,285	5,116 6,313 7,062 5,717 5,318 5,777 5,885 5,418	4,803 5,421 6,289 7,023 5,671 5,263 5,706 5,791	4,779 5,094 5,401 6,256 6,970 5,614 5,200 5,618	4,724 5,070 5,077 5,374 6,209 6,903 5,548 5,121	4,415 5,011 5,054 5,052 5,334 6,150 6,824 5,465	8.1 8.2 9.0 9.4 8.6 8.3 7.7 7.2	9.6 8.3 7.7 8.5 8.7 8.0 7.7 7.2	8.3 9.8 8.0 7.4 8.1 8.3 7.6 7.3	7.0 8.6 9.6 7.8 7.2 7.9 8.0 7.4	6.5 7.3 8.5 9.5 7.6 7.1 7.7	6.4 6.8 7.2 8.4 9.3 7.5 7.0 7.5	6.3 6.8 6.8 7.2 8.3 9.2 7.4 6.8	5.9 6.8 6.8 7.2 8.3 9.2 7.3
40 to 44 years	4,209 3,753 3,025 2,413 1,869 1,265	4,661 4,275 3,977 3,455 2,677 2,006 1,423 1,422	4,885 4,478 4,023 3,636 3,028 2,207 1,512 1,577	5,159 4,706 4,223 3,683 3,189 2,497 1,663 1,706	5,296 4,978 4,448 3,870 3,233 2,633 1,883 1,860	5,666 5,117 4,709 4,083 3,399 2,670 1,988 2,075	5,499 5,479 4,845 4,325 3,591 2,809 2,018 2,245	5,014 5,319 5,190 4,453 3,805 2,972 2,125 2,337	6.7 6.4 5.7 4.6 3.7 2.8 1.9	6.7 6.1 5.7 5.0 3.8 2.9 2.0 2.0	6.8 6.2 5.6 5.0 4.2 3.1 2.1 2.2	7.0 6.4 5.8 5.0 4.3 3.4 2.3 2.3	7.1 6.7 6.0 5.2 4.4 3.5 2.5 2.5	7.6 6.9 6.3 5.5 4.6 3.6 2.7 2.8	7.3 7.3 6.5 5.8 4.8 3.8 2.7 3.0	6.7 7.1 7.0 6.0 5.1 4.0 2.9 3.1
14 years and over Median age (years)	50,554	52,943 29,5	54,393 30.1	56,276 31,2	59,000 32.3	60,504 33.1	60,992 33,7	61,045 34.6	76.5	76.0	75.4	76,6	79.6	81.1	81.5	81.9
Adjusted for census underenumeration of children	1	20.0		01.2												
All ages Under 5 years	66,518 5,811	70,152 7,158	72,519 6,369	73,782 5,467	74,500 5,137	74,972 5,112	75,165 5,052	74,825 4,722								
Female, all ages.	65,608	69,926	72,811	74,560	75,661	76,409	76,790	76,572	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 5 years	5,266 5,794 6,153 5,895 5,646 5,172	6,445 5,570 5,250 5,756 6,122 5,880 5,621 5,137	5,729 6,797 5,551 5,227 5,713 6,067 5,817 5,541	4,914 6,046 6,776 5,529 5,190 5,666 6,007 5,741	4,611 5,187 6,029 6,750 5,492 5,150 5,613 5,935	4,586 4,872 5,174 6,007 6,709 5,450 5,103 5,547	4,531 4,847 4,860 5,156 5,970 6,660 5,402 5,043	4,234 4,789 4,836 4,843 5,124 5,927 6,603 5,340	7.9 8.0 8.8 9.4 9.0 8.6 7.9 7.3	9.2 8.0 7.5 8.2 8.8 8.4 8.0 7.3	7.9 9.3 7.6 7.2 7.8 8.3 8.0 7.6	6.6 8.1 9.1 7.4 7.0 7.6 8.1 7.7	6.1 6.9 8.0 8.9 7.3 6.8 7.4 7.8	6.0 6.4 6.8 7.9 8.8 7.1 6.7 7.3	5.9 6.3 6.3 6.7 7.8 8.7 7.0 6.6	5.5 6.3 6.3 6.7 7.7 8.6 7.0
40 to 44 years	4,369 4,046 3,504 2,843 2,347 1,879 1,296	4,739 4,277 3,907 3,334 2,629 2,065 1,539 1,655	5,039 4,608 4,104 3,681 3,053 2,295 1,670 1,921	5,442 4,909 4,426 3,870 3,372 2,666 1,857 2,150	5,645 5,307 4,725 4,174 3,547 2,946 2,159 2,391	5,840 5,511 5,111 4,464 3,827 3,100 2,388 2,724	5,460 5,705 5,310 4,829 4,098 3,345 2,515 3,059	4,965 5,334 5,499 5,020 4,435 3,590 2,716 3,317	6.7 6.2 5.3 4.3 3.6 2.9 2.0 2.2	6.8 6.1 5.6 4.8 3.8 3.0 2.2 2.4	6.9 6.3 5.6 5.1 4.2 3.2 2.3 2.6	7.3 6.6 5.9 5.2 4.5 3.6 2.5 2.9	7.5 7.0 6.2 5.5 4.7 3.9 2.9 3.2	7.6 7.2 6.7 5.8 5.0 4.1 3.1 3.6	7.1 7.4 6.9 6.3 5.3 4.4 3.3 4.0	6.5 7.0 7.2 6.6 5.8 4.7 3.5 4.3
14 years and over Median age (years)	50,549 29.0	53,755 29.9	55,785 31.1	58,110 32.6	61,126 34.1	62,871 35.3	63,536 36.0	63,678 36.8	77.0	76.9	76.6	77.9	80.8	82.3	82.7	83.2
Adjusted for census underenumeration of children																
All ages Under 5 years	66,014 5,593	70,332 6,851		74,872 5,226	75,956 4,907	76,705 4,881	77,081 4,822	76,844 4,506								

¹Published totals were obtained by rounding computed totals and hence are not always exactly equal to the sum of the rounded figures shown by color, nativity, age, and sex.

TABLE IV.—FORECASTS OF THE POPULATION, BY COLOR, NATIVITY, AGE, AND SEX, ACCORDING TO ASSUMPTIONS OF LOW FERTILITY, HIGH MORTALITY, AND NO IMMIGRATION AFTER JULY 1, 1945, FOR THE UNITED STATES: 1950 TO 1975—Continued

COLOR, NATIVITY,			POPULA	rion (in	THOUSAND	s)1					PE	RCENT D	ISTRIBUT	ion		
AGE, AND SEX	1940 ² (Census)	1945	1950	1955	1960	1965	1970	1975	1940	1945	1950	1955	1960	1965	1970	1975
TOTAL WHITE Total, all ages	118,215	125,053	129,561	132,065	133,413	134,168	134,367	133,598	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 5 years	9,329 10,353 10,964 10,340 9,904 9,206	11,533 9,815 9,295 10,275 10,814 10,248 9,846 9,154	10,227 12,065 9,778 9,251 10,205 10,722 10,146 9,714	8,742 10,702 12,024 9,737 9,194 10,127 10,624 10,022	8,134 9,150 10,670 11,976 9,681 9,128 10,040 10,502	8,057 8,516 9,124 10,629 11,910 9,614 9,052 9,928	7,981 8,436 8,493 9,091 10,571 11,831 9,536 8,953	7,463 8,358 8,415 8,463 9,041 10,501 11,737 9,434	7.8 7.9 8.8 9.3 8.7 8.4 7.8 7.2	9.2 7.8 7.4 8.2 8.6 8.2 7.9 7.3	7.9 9.3 7.5 7.1 7.9 8.3 7.8 7.5	6.6 8.1 9.1 7.4 7.0 7.7 8.0 7.6	6.1 6.9 8.0 9.0 7.3 6.8 7.5 7.9	6.0 6.3 6.8 7.9 8.9 7.2 6.7 7.4	5.9 6.3 6.8 7.9 8.8 7.1 6.7	5.6 6.3 6.3 6.8 7.9 8.8 7.1
40 to 44 years. 45 to 49 years. 50 to 54 years. 55 to 59 years. 60 to 64 years. 65 to 69 years. 70 to 74 years. 75 years and over.	7,533 6,680 5,427 4,417 3,499 2,401	8,426 7,757 7,234 6,288 4,935 3,797 2,778 2,859	8,981 8,185 7,418 6,758 5,666 4,212 2,984 3,250	9,544 8,740 7,840 6,941 6,097 4,839 3,310 3,582	9,857 9,299 8,383 7,344 6,270 5,215 3,807 3,956	10,336 9,614 8,929 7,862 6,641 5,372 4,111 4,475	9,775 10,086 9,236 8,379 7,116 5,697 4,243 4,943	8,817 9,540 9,693 8,671 7,589 6,113 4,508 5,254	6.7 6.4 5.7 4.6 3.7 3.0 2.0 2.1	6.7 6.2 5.8 5.0 3.9 3.0 2.2 2.3	6.9 6.3 5.7 5.2 4.4 3.3 2.3 2.5	7.2 6.6 5.9 5.3 4.6 3.7 2.5 2.7	7.4 7.0 6.3 5.5 4.7 3.9 2.9 3.0	7.7 7.2 6.7 5.9 4.9 4.0 3.1 3.3	7.3 7.5 6.9 6.2 5.3 4.2 3.2 3.7	6.6 7.1 7.3 6.5 5.7 4.6 3.4 3.9
14 years and over Median age (years)	91,428 29.5	96,360 30.3	99,343 31,2	102,871 32.6	107,749 34.0	110,402 35,1	111,181 35.7	111,042 36.5	77.3	77.1	76.7	77.9	80.8	82.3	82.7	83.1
Adjusted for census underenumeration of children																
All ages Under 5 years	118,841 9,856	125,680 12,160	130,116 10,782	132,540 9,217	133,855 8,576	134,606 8,495	134,801 8,415	134,003 7,869								
Male, all ages	59,449	62,540	64,582	65,637	66,140	66,384	66,391	65,951	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 5 years	4,701 4,745 5,259 5,516 5,114 4,892 4,573 4,254	5,895 5,008 4,725 5,210 5,382 5,022 4,842 4,536	5,230 6,174 4,987 4,697 5,169 5,328 4,963 4,766	4,472 5,480 6,150 4,960 4,664 5,123 5,272 4,892	4,163 4,688 5,461 6,119 4,927 4,625 5,072 5,201	4,124 4,364 4,672 5,435 6,081 4,887 4,580 5,006	4,086 4,324 4,351 4,651 5,401 6,033 4,842 4,522	3,821 4,285 4,312 4,331 4,622 5,359 5,978 4,781	7.9 8.0 8.8 9.3 8.6 8.2 7.7	9.4 8.0 7.6 8.3 8.6 7.7 7.3	8.1 9.6 7.7 7.3 8.0 8.3 7.7	6.8 8.3 9.4 7.6 7.1 7.8 8.0 7.5	6.3 7.1 8.3 9.3 7.4 7.7 7.7	6.2 6.6 7.0 8.2 9.2 7.4 6.9 7.5	6.2 6.5 6.6 7.0 8.1 9.1 7.3 6.8	5.8 6.5 6.6 7.0 8.1 9.1 7.3
40 to 44 years	3,995 3,843 3,452 2,790 2,232 1,737 1,183 1,162	4,196 3,882 3,651 3,196 2,481 1,864 1,329 1,322	4,434 4,052 3,676 3,358 2,815 2,056 1,412 1,464	4,667 4,291 3,844 3,387 2,961 2,334 1,557 1,583	4,797 4,522 4,077 3,545 2,988 2,457 1,768 1,729	5,104 4,653 4,302 3,765 3,131 2,482 1,864 1,934	4,915 4,955 4,429 3,974 3,327 2,603 1,886 2,093	4,441 4,773 4,718 4,093 3,513 2,769 1,980 2,174	6.7 6.5 5.8 4.7 3.8 2.9 2.0	6.7 6.2 5.8 5.1 4.0 3.0 2.1 2.1	6.3 5.7 5.2 4.4 3.2 2.3	7.1 6.5 5.9 5.2 4.5 3.6 2.4 2.4	7.3 6.8 6.2 5.4 4.5 3.7 2.7 2.6	7.7 7.0 6.5 5.7 4.7 3.7 2.8 2.9	7.4 7.5 6.7 6.0 5.0 3.9 2.8 3.2	6.7 7.2 7.2 6.2 5.3 4.2 3.0 3.3
14 years and over Median age (years)	45,823 29.5	47,903 30.0	49,135 30.7	50,696 31.9	53,000 33.0	54,211 34.0	54,513 34.5	54,393 35,3	77.1	76.6	76.1	77.2	80.1	81.7	82.1	82.5
Adjusted for census underenumeration of children																
All agesUnder 5 years	59,781 5,034	$^{62,872}_{6,227}$	$64,877 \\ 5,525$	65,889 4,724	66,375 4,398	66,617 4,357	66,622 4,317	66,167 4,037								
Female, all ages.	58,766	62,513	64,978	66,428	67,273	67,784	67,976	67,646	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 5 years 5 to 9 years 10 to 14 years 15 to 19 years 20 to 24 years 25 to 29 years 30 to 34 years 35 to 39 years	4,528 4,584 5,094 5,448 5,227 5,012 4,633 4,262	5,639 4,806 4,570 5,065 5,431 5,226 5,004 4,618	4,997 5,891 4,792 4,554 5,036 5,393 5,183 4,948	4,270 5,222 5,874 4,777 4,530 5,004 5,352 5,130	3,971 4,463 5,209 5,857 4,753 4,503 4,968 5,301	3,932 4,152 4,452 5,194 5,830 4,726 4,472 4,922	3,895 4,111 4,142 4,441 5,170 5,798 4,694 4,431	3,642 4,073 4,103 4,132 4,420 5,142 5,759 4,652	7.7 7.8 8.7 9.3 8.9 8.5 7.9 7.3	9.0 7.7 7.3 8.1 8.7 8.4 8.0 7.4	7.7 9.1 7.4 7.0 7.8 8.3 8.0 7.6	6.4 7.9 8.8 7.2 6.8 7.5 8.1 7.7	5.9 6.6 7.7 8.7 7.1 6.7 7.4 7.9	5.8 6.1 6.6 7.7 8.6 7.0 6.6 7.3	5.7 6.0 6.1 6.5 7.6 8.5 6.9 6.5	5.4 6.0 6.1 6.5 7.6 8.5 6.9
40 to 44 years	3,941 3,690 3,229 2,637 2,184 1,762 1,217 1,318	4,229 3,875 3,583 3,092 2,454 1,933 1,449 1,537	4,546 4,133 3,742 3,400 2,850 2,156 1,571 1,786	4,877 4,449 3,996 3,554 3,136 2,505 1,753 1,999	5,060 4,777 4,306 3,799 3,281 2,758 2,038 2,227	5,232 4,961 4,627 4,097 3,510 2,889 2,247 2,541	4,860 5,132 4,807 4,405 3,789 3,094 2,357 2,850	4,376 4,767 4,975 4,578 4,076 3,344 2,528 3,080	6.7. 6.3 5.5 4.5 3.7 3.0 2.1 2.2	6.8 6.2 5.7 4.9 3.9 3.1 2.3 2.5	7.0 6.4 5.8 5.2 4.4 3.3 2.4	7.3 6.7 6.0 5.4 4.7 3.8 2.6 3.0	7.5 7.1 6.4 5.6 4.9 4.1 3.0 3.3	7.7 7.3 6.8 6.0 5.2 4.3 3.3 3.7	7.1 7.5 7.1 6.5 5.6 4.6 3.5 4.2	6.5 7.0 7.4 6.8 6.0 4.9 3.7 4.6
14 years and over Median age (years)	45,605 29.5	48,457 30.5	50,209 31.8	52,175 33,3	54,749 34.9	56,191 36.2	56,668 37.0	56,648 37.7	77.6	77.5	77.3	78.5	81.4	82.9	83.4	83.7
Adjusted for census underenumeration of children	,			• -		33.2	51.0	01.1								
All ages Under 5 years	59,060 4,822	62,808 5,933	65,239 5,258	66,651 4,492	67,480 4,178	67,990 4,137	68,179 4,098	67,837 3,832								

¹Published totals were obtained by rounding computed totals and hence are not always exactly equal to the sum of the rounded figures shown by color, nativity, age, and sex.

Table IV.—FORECASTS OF THE POPULATION, BY COLOR, NATIVITY, AGE, AND SEX, ACCORDING TO ASSUMPTIONS OF LOW FERTILITY, HIGH MORTALITY, AND NO IMMIGRATION AFTER JULY 1, 1945, FOR THE UNITED STATES: 1950 TO 1975—Continued

COLOR, NATIVITY,			POPULAT	rion (in i	CHOUSANDS	s) ¹					PEI	CENT DI	STRIBUTI	ON	,	
AGE, AND SEX	1940² (Census)	1945	1950	1955	1960	1965	1970	1975	1940	1945	1950	1955	1960	1965	1970	1975
NATIVE WHITE																
Total, all ages	106,796	114,805	120,705	124,607	127,325	129,382	130,765	131,015	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 5 years	10,131 9,480	11,529 9,799 9,265 10,205 10,623 10,008 9,404 8,444	10,227 12,060 9,763 9,221 10,136 10,533 9,909 9,278	8,742 10,702 12,020 9,722 9,165 10,058 10,437 9,788	8,134 9,150 10,670 11,972 9,665 9,099 9,971 10,317	8,057 8,516 9,124 10,629 11,906 9,598 9,023 9,860	7,981 8,436 8,493 9,091 10,571 11,826 9,521 8,925	7,463 8,358 8,415 8,463 9,041 10,501 11,733 9,419	8.6 8.7 9.6 10.1 9.5 8.9 8.0 7.0	10.0 8.5 8.1 8.9 9.3 8.7 8.2 7.4	8.5 10.0 8.1 7.6 8.4 8.7 8.2 7.7	7.0 8.6 9.6 7.8 7.4 8.1 8.4 7.9	6.4 7.2 8.4 9.4 7.6 7.1 7.8 8.1	6.2 6.6 7.1 8.2 9.2 7.4 7.0 7.6	6.1 6.5 6.5 7.0 8.1 9.0 7.3 6.8	5.7 6.4 6.4 6.5 6.9 8.0 9.0 7.2
40 to 44 years	6,029 5,115 4,108	7,392 6,532 5,809 4,836 3,752 2,897 2,155 2,156	8,285 7,184 6,255 5,441 4,378 3,226 2,299 2,509	9,117 8,065 6,888 5,864 4,927 3,766 2,561 2,785	9,627 8,884 7,741 6,462 5,312 4,239 2,991 3,089	10,155 9,390 8,532 7,265 5,855 4,572 3,368 3,531	9,708 9,910 9,022 8,011 6,584 5,040 3,634 4,012	8,789 9,476 9,524 8,473 7,261 5,668 4,007 4,425	6.2 5.6 4.8 3.8 3.1 2.5 1.7	6.4 5.7 5.1 4.2 3.3 2.5 1.9	6.9 6.0 5.2 4.5 3.6 2.7 1.9	7.3 6.5 5.5 4.7 4.0 3.0 2.1 2.2	7.6 7.0 6.1 5.1 4.2 3.3 2.3 2.4	7.8 7.3 6.6 5.6 4.5 3.5 2.6 2.7	7.4 7.6 6.9 6.1 5.0 3.9 2.8 3.1	6.7 7.2 7.3 6.5 5.5 4.3 3.1
14 years and over Median age (years)	80,077 26.9	86,153 28.0	90,504	95,416 30,9	101,661 32.5	105,616 33.8	$107,579 \\ 34.7$	108,459 35.8	75.0	75.0	75.0	76.6	79.8	81.6	82.3	82.8
Adjusted for census underenumeration of children										'						
All ages Under 5 years	107,422 9,847	115,431 12,155	$121,261 \\ 10,782$	125,082 9,217	127,767 8,576	129,820 8,495	131,199 8,415	131,421 7,869								
Male, all ages	53,438	57,206	60,039	61,874	63,129	64,071	64,694	64,767	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 5 years	4,734 5,232 5,434 5,015 4,698	5,893 5,000 4,710 5,172 5,285 4,904 4,637 4,193	5,230 6,172 4,978 4,681 5,133 5,232 4,847 4,564	4,472 5,480 6,148 4,952 4,649 5,086 5,176 4,778	4,163 4,688 5,461 6,117 4,919 4,610 5,036 5,107	4,124 4,364 4,672 5,435 6,078 4,879 4,565 4,971	4,086 4,324 4,351 4,651 5,401 6,031 4,833 4,507	3,821 4,285 4,312 4,331 4,622 5,359 5,975 4,774	8.8 8.9 9.8 10.2 9.4 8.8 7.9 7.0	10.3 8.7 8.2 9.0 9.2 8.6 8.1 7.3	8.7 10.3 8.3 7.8 8.5 8.7 8.1 7.6	7.2 8.9 9.9 8.0 7.5 8.2 8.4 7.7	6.6 7.4 8.7 9.7 7.8 7.3 8.0 8.1	6.4 6.8 7.3 8.5 9.5 7.6 7.1 7.8	6.3 6.7 6.7 7.2 8.3 9.3 7.5 7.0	5.9 6.6 6.7 6.7 7.1 8.3 9.2 7.4
40 to 44 years	3,338 3,026 2,568 2,054 1,659 1,314 873 840	3,675 3,250 2,886 2,390 1,832 1,392 1,013 975	4,100 3,551 3,082 2,662 2,113 1,527 1,062 1,105	4,470 3,968 3,372 2,845 2,353 1,761 1,166 1,198	4,684 4,332 3,773 3,114 2,515 1,962 1,344 1,304	5,011 4,544 4,122 3,486 2,754 2,097 1,498 1,469	4,880 4,865 4,326 3,810 3,084 2,296 1,601 1,648	4,427 4,739 4,634 3,999 3,370 2,571 1,753 1,796	6.2 5.7 4.8 3.8 3.1 2.5 1.6	6.4 5.7 5.0 4.2 3.2 2.4 1.8	6.8 5.9 5.1 4.4 3.5 2.5 1.8	7.2 6.4 5.4 4.6 3.8 2.8 1.9	7.4 6.9 6.0 4.9 4.0 3.1 2.1	7.8 7.1 6.4 5.4 4.3 3.3 2.3 2.3	7.5 7.5 6.7 5.9 4.8 3.5 2.5 2.5	6.8 7.3 7.2 6.2 5.2 4.0 2.7 2.8
14 years and over Median age (years)	39,846 26.7	42,591 27.6	44,600 28.7	46,935 30.1	49,989 31.6	51,898 32.7	52,816 33.6	53,209 34.7	74.6	74.5	74.3	75.9	79.2	81.0	81.6	82.2
Adjusted for census underenumeration of children			25.1	00.1	02.0											
All ages		57,538 6,225	60,334 5,525	62,126 4,724	63,364 4,398	64,303 4,357	64,925 4,317	64,982 4,037								
Female, all ages.	53,358	57,599	60,667	62,733	64,196	65,312	66,070	66,248	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 5 years	4,524 4,574 5,067 5,366 5,116 4,782 4,267 3,744	5,636 4,799 4,555 5,032 5,339 5,105 4,768 4,251	4,997 5,888 4,784 4,540 5,003 5,301 5,062 4,714	4,270 5,222 5,872 4,770 4,516 4,972 5,261 5,010	3,971 4,463 5,209 5,855 4,746 4,489 4,936 5,210	3,932 4,152 4,452 5,194 5,827 4,719 4,458 4,890	3,895 4,111 4,142 4,441 5,170 5,795 4,687 4,417	3,642 4,073 4,103 4,132 4,420 5,142 5,757 4,645	8.5 8.6 9.5 10.1 9.6 9.0 8.0 7.0	9.8 8.3 7.9 8.7 9.3 8.9 8.3 7.4	8.2 9.7 7.9 7.5 8.2 8.7 8.3 7.8	6.8 8.3 9.4 7.6 7.2 7.9 8.4 8.0	6.2 7.0 8.1 9.1 7.4 7.0 7.7 8.1	6.0 6.4 6.8 8.0 8.9 7.2 6.8 7.5	5.9 6.2 6.3 6.7 7.8 8.8 7.1 6.7	5.5 6.1 6.2 6.7 7.8 8.7 7.0
40 to 44"years	3,335 3,003 2,546 2,054 1,689 1,372 925 995	3,716 3,282 2,922 2,447 1,919 1,505 1,141 1,181	4,186 3,634 3,173 2,780 2,266 1,698 1,236 1,404	4,647 4,097 3,516 3,020 2,574 2,005 1,395 1,587	4,942 4,552 3,968 3,348 2,797 2,277 1,647 1,786	5,143 4,846 4,411 3,779 3,101 2,474 1,871 2,063	4,828 5,044 4,696 4,201 3,500 2,744 2,033 2,365	4,363 4,736 4,891 4,474 3,891 3,097 2,254 2,630	6.2 5.6 4.8 3.8 3.2 2.6 1.7	6.5 5.7 5.1 4.2 3.3 2.6 2.0 2.0	6.9 6.0 5.2 4.6 3.7 2.8 2.0 2.3	7.4 6.5 5.6 4.8 4.1 3.2 2.2 2.5	7.7 7.1 6.2 5.2 4.4 3.5 2.6 2.8	7.9 7.4 6.8 5.8 4.7 3.8 2.9 3.2	7.3 7.6 7.1 6.4 5.3 4.2 3.1 3.6	6.6 7.1 7.4 6.8 5.9 4.7 3.4 4.0
14 years and over Median age (years)	40,231 27.1	43,562 28.4	45,904 29.8	48,481 31,7	51,672 33.4	53,718 34.9	54,763 35.9	55,250 37.0	75.4	75.6	75.7	77.3	80.5	82.2	82.9	83.4
Adjusted for census underenumeration of children											:					
All ages Under 5 years	53,652 4,818	57,893 5,931	60,927 5,258	62,956 4,492	64,404 4,178	65,517 4,137	66,274 4,098	66,438 3,832								
-	1	1	t	1	1	i	I	1	· .		ı	ı	ı	I	1	1

¹Published totals were obtained by rounding computed totals and hence are not always exactly equal to the sum of the rounded figures shown by color, nativity, age, and sex.

TABLE IV.—FORECASTS OF THE POPULATION, BY COLOR, NATIVITY, AGE, AND SEX, ACCORDING TO ASSUMPTIONS OF LOW FERTILITY, HIGH MORTALITY, AND NO IMMIGRATION AFTER JULY 1, 1945, FOR THE UNITED STATES: 1950 TO 1975—Continued

						not show	ii where i	cas unan (j.i., 		·					
COLOR, NATIVITY, AGE, AND SEX			1	rion (in :		<u> </u>				i	PER	CENT DE	STRIBUTI	ON I	1	1
	1940 ² (Census)	1945	1950	1955	1960	1965	1970	1975	1940	1945	1950	1955	1960	1965	1970	1975
FOREICN-BORN WHITE																
Total, all ages	11,419	10,248	8,855	7,458	6,088	4,786	3,602	2,582	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 5 years	8 22	4 16	5						0.1 0.2	0.2	0.1			-		
10 to 14 years 15 to 19 years 20 to 24 years	54 165 210	30 70 191	15 30 70	5 15 29	5 15	5			0.5 1.4 1.8	0.3 0.7 1.9	0.2 0.3 0.8	$0.1 \\ 0.2 \\ 0.4$	0.1 0.3	0.1		••••
25 to 29 years	424 709 1,048	240 441 709	189 237 435	69 187 234	29 68 185	15 29 68	5 15 29	5 15	1.8 3.7 6.2 9.2	2.3 4.3 6.9	2.1 2.7 4.9	0.9 2.5 3.1	0.5 1.1 3.0	0.3 0.6 1.4	0.1 0.4 0.8	0.2
40 to 44 years	1.504	1,034 1,225	695 1,000	427 674	230 415	182 224	67 177	28 65	$11.1 \\ 13.2$	10.1 12.0	7.9 11.3	5.7 9.0	3.8 6.8	3.8 4.7	1.8 4.9	1.1 2.5
50 to 54 years	1.319	1,425 1,452 1,183	1,163 1,317 1,287	952 1,076 1,170	643 882 958	396 596 786	214 368 532	169 198 329	13.7 11.5 9.4	13.9 14.2 11.5	13.1 14.9 14.5	12.8 14.4 15.7	10.6 14.5 15.7	8.3 12.5 16.4	5.9 10.2 14.8	6.5 7.7 12.7
65 to 69 years	813 602 645	901 624 703	986 685 741	1,073 749 797	976 815 866	800 743 943	657 610 931	445 501 828	$\begin{array}{c} 7.1 \\ 5.3 \end{array}$	8.8 6.1	11.1 7.7	14.4 10.0	16.0 13.4	16.7 15.5	18.2 16.9	17.2 19.4
14 years and over Median age (years)	11,351 51.0	10,206 54.1	8,839 57.2	7,455 60.3	6,088 63.0	4,786 65.6	3,602 68.0	2,582 70.4	5.6 99.4	99.6	99.8	10.7	14.2	19.7 100.0	25.8 100.0	32.1 100.0
Adjusted for census underenumeration of children									1							
All ages Under 5 years	11,420 9	10,248 5	8,855	7,458	6,088	4,786	3,602	2,582								
Male, all ages	6,011	5,334	4,544	3,763	3,011	2,313	1,697	1,184	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100 .0
Under 5 years 5 to 9 years	4 11	2 8	2						0.1 0.2	0.2	0.1					
10 to 14 years 15 to 19 years 20 to 24 years	27 82 99	15 37 98	8 15 37	2 8 15	2 8	2			0.5 1.4 1.6	0.3 0.7 1.8	0.2 0.3 0.8	0.1 0.2 0.4	0.1 0.3	0.1		
25 to 29 years	194 343 530	118 205 343	97 117 202	36 96 115	15 36 94	8 15 36	2 8 15	2 8	3.2 5.7 8.8	2.2 3.8 6.4	2.1 2.6 4.4	1.0 2.5 3.1	0.5 1.2 3.1	0.4 0.6 1.5	0.1 0.5	0.2
40 to 44 years 45 to 49 years	657 817	521 632	335 501	197 323	113 190	92 109	35 89	14 34	10.9	9.8	7.4	5,2	3.7	4.0	0.9 2.1	0.7 1.2
50 to 54 years 55 to 59 years	883 736	764 807	594 697	472 542	304 431	180 278	103 165	85 94	13.6 14.7 12.2	11.8 14.3 15.1	11.0 13.1 15.3	8.6 12.5 14.4	6.3 10.1 14.3	4.7 7.8 12.0	5.3 6.1 9.7	2.9 7.1 8.0
60 to 64 years 65 to 69 years 70 to 74 years	573 423 310	648 472 316	703 528 350	607 573 391	473 495 424	376 385 366	243 306 285	144 198 227	$9.5 \\ 7.0 \\ 5.2$	12.2 8.9 5.9	$15.5 \\ 11.6 \\ 7.7$	16.1 15.2 10.4	15.7 16.4 14.1	16.3 16.6 15.8	14.3 18.1 16.8	12.1 16.7 19.2
75 years and over 14 years and over	322 5,977	347 5,312	359 4,535	385 3,761	425 3,011	465 2,313	445 1,697	378 1,184	5.3 99.4	6.5 99.6	7.9 99.8	10.2	14.1	20.1	26.2	31.9
Median age (years) Adjusted for census	51.4	54.5	57.6	60.6	63.3	65.8	68.1	70.3	99.4	99.0	99.8	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
underenumeration of children																
All ages Under 5 years	6,011 4	5,334 2	4,544	3,763	3,011	2,313	1,697	1,184								
Female, all ages	5,408	4,914	4,312	3,695	3,077	2,473	1,905	1,398	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 5 years 5 to 9 years 10 to 14 years	4 11 27	2 7	2 7						0.1 0.2	0.1	0.1					
15 to 19 years 20 to 24 years	82 111	14 33 93	14 33	2 7 14	2 7	2 7			$0.5 \\ 1.5 \\ 2.0$	$0.3 \\ 0.7 \\ 1.9$	$0.2 \\ 0.3 \\ 0.8$	$0.1 \\ 0.2 \\ 0.4$	0.1 0.2	0.1		••••••
25 to 29 years	231 366 518	122 236 366	92 121 234	33 91 119	14 32 90	7 14 32	$\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 7 \\ 14 \end{bmatrix}$	2 7	4.3 6.8 9.6	2.5 4.8 7.5	2.1 2.8 5.4	$0.9 \\ 2.5 \\ 3.2$	$0.5 \\ 1.1 \\ 2.9$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.3 \\ 0.6 \end{array}$	0.1 0.4 0.7	0.2
40 to 44 years 45 to 49 years	606 687	513 593	360 500	230 352	118 225	89 115	32 87	14	11.2 12.7	10.4	8.4	6.2	3.8	3.6	1.7	0.5 1.0
50 to 54 years 55 to 59 years	682 583	661 645	569 620	480 535	338 451	216 318	111 203	31 84 104	$\frac{12.6}{10.8}$	12.1 13.4 13.1	$11.6 \\ 13.2 \\ 14.4$	$9.5 \\ 13.0 \\ 14.5$	7.3 11.0 14.7	$\begin{array}{c c} 4.7 \\ 8.7 \\ 12.9 \end{array}$	4.6 5.8 10.7	2.2 6.0 7.5
60 to 64 years	496 390 292	535 428 308	585 458 335	562 500 358	485 481 391	410 415 376	289 350 325	185 247 274	9.2 7.2 5.4	10.9 8.7 6.3	13.6 10.6 7.8	15.2 13.5 9.7	15.8 15.6 12.7	16.6 16.8 15.2	15.1 18.4 17.0	13.2 17.7 19.6
75 years and over	323 5,375	357 4,895	382 4,304	411 3,694	3,077	478 2,473	486 1,905	450 1,398	6.0	7.3	8.9	11.1	14.3	19.3	25.5 100.0	32.2 100.0
Median age (years) Adjusted for census	50.5	53.6	56.8	59.9	62.7	65.4	68.0	70.5						100.0		100.0
underenumeration of children	5,408	4,915	4 210	2 602	3,077	0.470	1 005	1 000								
Under 5 years	3,408	2	4,312	3,695	0,011	2,473	1,905	1,398								

¹Published totals were obtained by rounding computed totals and hence are not always exactly equal to the sum of the rounded figures shown by color, nativity, age, and sex.

Table IV.—FORECASTS OF THE POPULATION, BY COLOR, NATIVITY, AGE, AND SEX, ACCORDING TO ASSUMPTIONS OF LOW FERTILITY, HIGH MORTALITY, AND NO IMMIGRATION AFTER JULY 1, 1945, FOR THE UNITED STATES: 1950 TO 1975—Continued

COLOR, NATIVITY,		- -	POPULAT	non (in t	HOUSANDS	s) ¹		,			PER	CENT DIS	TRIBUTIO	ON .		
AGE, AND SEX	1940 ² (Census)	1945	1950	1955	1960	1965	1970	1975	1940	1945	1950	1955	1960	1965	1970	1975
NONWHITE Total, all ages	13,454	14,568	15,361	15,925	16,414	16,879	17,259	17,493	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 5 years	1,312 1,356 1,393 1,369 1,248 1,192 1,036 1,029	1,613 1,533 1,354 1,377 1,344 1,221 1,161 998	1,464 1,824 1,523 1,339 1,348 1,307 1,181 1,113	1,288 1,656 1,814 1,508 1,314 1,315 1,268 1,137	1,280 1,457 1,648 1,797 1,482 1,285 1,279 1,224	1,308 1,449 1,451 1,634 1,768 1,451 1,251 1,237	1,273 1,481 1,443 1,439 1,608 1,732 1,414 1,211	1,185 1,443 1,475 1,432 1,417 1,576 1,690 1,371	9.8 10.1 10.4 10.2 9.3 8.9 7.7 7.6	11.1 10.5 9.3 9.4 9.2 8.4 8.0 6.8	9.5 11.9 9.9 8.7 8.8 8.5 7.7 7.2	8.1 10.4 11.4 9.5 8.3 8.3 8.0 7.1	7.8 8.9 10.0 10.9 9.0 7.8 7.8 7.5	7.7 8.6 8.6 9.7 10.5 8.6 7.4 7.3	7.4 8.6 8.4 8.3 9.3 10.0 8.2 7.0	6.8 8.2 8.4 8.2 8.1 9.0 9.7 7.8
40 to 44 years	852 722 577 441 343 249 160 175	975 794 650 501 371 274 184 218	943 901 709 559 415 290 198 248	1,057 876 808 611 464 324 210 274	1,084 986 789 700 510 363 236 295	1,170 1,014 891 685 585 399 264 324	1,184 1,098 919 775 573 458 290 360	1,162 1,113 996 802 650 449 333 399	6.3 5.4 4.3 3.3 2.5 1.8 1.2 1.3	6.7 5.5 4.5 3.4 2.5 1.9 1.3	6.1 5.9 4.6 3.6 2.7 1.9 1.3	6.6 5.5 5.1 3.8 2.9 2.0 1.3	6.6 6.0 4.8 4.3 3.1 2.2 1.4	6.9 6.0 5.3 4.1 3.5 2.4 1.6 1.9	6.9 6.4 5.3 4.5 3.3 2.7 1.7	6.6 6.4 5.7 4.6 3.7 2.6 1.9 2.3
14 years and over Median age (years)	9,675 25.2	$10,338 \\ 25.3$	$10,835 \\ 25.7$	11,514 26.5	12,377 27,1	$12,973 \\ 27.9$	13,347 29.0	13,682 30.6	71.9	71.0	70.5	72.3	75.4	76.9	77,3	78.2
Adjusted for census underenumeration of children																
All ages		14,804 1,850	15,576 1,678	16,114 1,476	16,602 1,467	17,070 1,499	17,446 1,460	17,666 1,359								
Male, all ages	6,613	7,155	7,529	7,793	8,027	8,254	8,445	8,567	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 5 years	497	807 769 674 686 653 568 544 479	732 917 764 666 672 633 547 519	644 833 912 757 654 654 613 525	640 733 828 9 0 4 744 638 634 590	654 729 729 821 889 726 620 612	637 746 726 723 808 870 707 599	593 727 743 720 712 791 847 683	9.9 10.2 10.5 10.0 8.8 8.4 7.5 7.4	11.3 10.7 9.4 9.6 9.1 7.9 7.6 6.7	9.7 12.2 10.1 8.9 8.9 8.4 7.3 6.9	8.3 10.7 11.7 9.7 8.4 8.4 7.9 6.7	8.0 9.1 10.3 11.3 9.3 8.0 7.9 7.4	7.9 8.8 8.8 9.9 10.8 8.8 7.5 7.4	7.5 8.8 8.6 8.6 9.6 10.3 8.4 7.1	6.9 8.5 8.7 8.4 8.3 9.2 9.9 8.0
40 to 44 years. 45 to 49 years. 50 to 54 years. 55 to 59 years. 60 to 64 years. 70 to 74 years. 75 years and over.	424 367 301 235 180 132 81	465 393 326 259 197 142 95	450 427 347 278 213 151 100 113	491 416 379 296 229 163 106 123	499 455 371 324 244 176 115	562 464 407 318 268 188 124 141	584 524 416 351 264 206 132 152	573 546 472 360 291 203 145 163	6.4 5.5 4.6 3.6 2.7 2.0 1.2	6.5 5.5 4.6 3.6 2.7 2.0 1.3 1.4	6.0 5.7 4.6 3.7 2.8 2.0 1.3 1.5	6.3 5.3 4.9 3.8 2.9 2.1 1.4 1.6	6.2 5.7 4.6 4.0 3.0 2.2 1.4 1.6	6.8 5.6 4.9 3.9 3.3 2.3 1.5	6.9 6.2 4.9 4.2 3.1 2.4 1.6 1.8	6.7 6.4 5.5 4.2 3.4 2.4 1.7
14 years and over Median age (years)	4,731 25,4	5,040 24.9	5,258 25,1	5,579 25.7	6,000 26.3	6,293 27.1	6,479 28.3	6,652 30.0	71.5	70.4	69.8	71.6	74.8	76.2	76.7	77.6
Adjusted for census underenumeration of children	3															
All ages	6,737	7,280 931	7,642 845	7,893 743	8,125 739	8,355 755	8,544 736	8,659 685							······································	
Female, all ages	6,841	7,413	7,832	8,132	8,388	8,625	8,814	8,926	100.0	100.0	I	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 5 years	681 700 705 669 634 539	807 763 680 691 691 654 617 519	733 906 759 673 677 673 634 593	644 824 902 752 660 661 655 612	640 725 820 893 739 646 645 634	653 720 721 813 879 724 631 625	636 735 717 715 800 862 708 612	592 716 733 711 705 785 843 688	9.6 10.0 10.2 10.3 9.8 9.3 7.9 7.9	10.9 10.3 9.2 9.3 9.3 8.8 8.3 7.0	9.4 11.6 9.7 8.6 8.6 8.6 8.1 7.6	7.9 10.1 11.1 9.2 8.1 8.1 8.1 7.5	7.6 8.6 9.8 10.6 8.8 7.7 7.7 7.6	7.6 8.3 8.4 9.4 10.2 8.4 7.3 7.2	7.2 8.3 8.1 8.1 9.1 9.8 8.0 6.9	6.6 8.0 8.2 8.0 7.9 8.8 9.4 7.7
40 to 44 years	428 356 276 206 162 117 79	510 401 324 242 175 131 89 118	492 474 362 281 203 139 98 135	565 460 430 315 236 161 104 151	585 530 418 375 265 187 121 164	608 550 483 366 316 211 140 183	600 573 503 425 309 251 158 209	589 567 525 442 359 246 188 237	6.3 5.2 4.0 3.0 2.4 1.7 1.2 1.4	6.9 5.4 4.4 3.3 2.4 1.8 1.2 1.6	6.3 6.1 4.6 3.6 2.6 1.8 1.3	7.0 5.7 5.3 3.9 2.9 2.0 1.3 1.9	7.0 6.3 5.0 4.5 3.2 2.2 1.4 2.0	7.0 6.4 5.6 4.2 3.7 2.4 1.6 2.1	6.8 6.5 5.7 4.8 3.5 2.9 1.8 2.4	6.6 6.4 5.9 5.0 4.0 2.8 2.1 2.7
14 years and over Median age (years) Adjusted for census underenumeration	4,944 25.1	5,299 25.6	5,577 26.3	5,935 27.2	6,377 27.9	6,680 28.6	6,867 29.7	7,030 31.3	72.3	71.5	71.2	73.0	76.0	77.5	77.9	78.5
of children All ages	6,953	7,525 918	7,934 834	8,221 733	8,476 728	8,715 744	8,902 724	9,007 674								

¹Published totals were obtained by rounding computed totals and hence are not always exactly equal to the sum of the rounded figures shown by color, nativity, age, and sex.

Table V.—FORECASTS OF THE POPULATION, BY COLOR, NATIVITY, AGE, AND SEX, ACCORDING TO ASSUMPTIONS OF HIGH FERTILITY (14,000,000 BIRTHS DURING 1945–50), LOW MORTALITY, AND NO IMMIGRATION AFTER JULY 1, 1945, FOR THE UNITED STATES: 1950 TO 1975

							n where		1				1.0			
COLOR, NATIVITY, AGE, AND SEX		<u> </u>	POPULA	TION (IN	THOUSANI	os) ¹	1	· ·		1	PER	CENT DI	STRIBUTI	ON	1	
	(Census)	1945	1950	1955	1960	1965	1970	1975	1940	1945	1950	1955	1960	1965	1970	1975
ALL CLASSES																
Total, all ages	131,669	139,621	146,087	152,017	157,609	163,446	169,612	175,750	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 5 years 5 to 9 years 10 to 14 years	10,542 10,685 11,746	13,147 11,347 10,649	12,591 13,894 11,303	12,246 13,320 13,849	12,110 12,968 13,285	12,62 0 12,841 12,940	13,287 13,396	13,683 14,112 13,376	8.0 8.1	9.4 8.1	8.6 9.5	8.1 8.8	7.7 8.2	7.7 7.9	7.8 7.9	7.8 8.0
15 to 19 years	12,334	11,652 12,158	10,593 11,557	11,251 10,518	13,795 11,183	13,241 13,724	12,819 12,904 13,180	12,786 $12,852$	8.9 9.4 8.8	7.6 8.3 8.7	7.7 7.3 7.9	9.1 7.4 6.9	8.4 8.8 7.1	7.9 8.1 8.4	7.6 7.6 7.8	8.0 7.6 7.3 7.3
30 to 34 years	10,242 9,545	11,470 11,007 10,151	12,034 11,332 10,832	11,454 11,909 11,177	10,438 11,349 11,767	11,108 10,353 11,228	13,644 11,029 10,256	13,112 13,559 10,935	8.4 7.8 7.2	8.2 7.9 7.3	8.2 7.8 7.4	7.5 7.8 7.4	6.6 7.2 7.5	6.8 6.3 6.9	8.0 6.5 6.0	7.5 7.7 6.2
40 to 44 years	8,255	9,401 8,551	9,931 9,114	10,629 9,686	10,994 10,410	11,595 10,799	11,080 11,410	10,133 10,915	6.7	6.7 6.1	6.8 6.2	7.0 6.4	7.0 6.6	7.1 6.6	6.5 6.7	5.8 6.2
50 to 54 years	7,257 5,868 4,760	7,884 6,789 5,306	8,159 7,347 6,101	8,752 7,667 6,648	9,366 8,303 6,998	10,117 8,963 7,654	10,531 9,736 8,346	11,149 10,167 9,134	5.5 4.5 3.6	5.6 4.9 3.8	5.6 5.0	5.8 5.0 4.4	5.9 5.3 4.4	6.2 5.5 4.7	6.2 5.7 4.9	6.3 5.8
65 to 69 years	3,748 2,561 2,655	4,071 2,962 3,077	4,553 3,225 3,520	5,309 3,657 3,945	5,868 4,316 4,460	6,254 4,819	6,901 5,175	7,572 $5,739$	2.8 1.9	$\begin{array}{c c} 2.9 \\ 2.1 \end{array}$	$\frac{4.2}{3.1}$	$\frac{3.5}{2.4}$	$\frac{3.7}{2.7}$	$\frac{3.8}{2.9}$	4.1 3.1	5.2 4.3 3.3
14 years and over Median age (years)		106,698 29.7	110,437 30.5	115,206 31.4	121,980 32.2	5,187 127,650 32,5	5,918 132,665 32.5	6,526 137,195 32,9	76.8	76.4	2.4 75.6	2.6 75.8	2.8 77.4	78.1	3.5 78.2	3.7 78.1
Adjusted for census underenumeration of children			÷				52.0	-2.3			··					
All agesUnder 5 years	132,532 11,404	140,484 14,009	146,917 13,421	152,829 13,058	158,422 12,922	164,299 13,474	170,512 14,187	176,679 14,612				***************************************				
Male, all ages	66,062	69,695	72,733	75,568	78,299	81,216	84,360	87,545	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100. 0	100.0	100.0
Under 5 years	5,355 5,419 5,952	6,702 5,777 5,399	6,420 7,095 5,751	6,248 6,804 7,069	6,180 6,629	6,443 6,567	6,786 6,853	6,990 7,222	8.1 8.2	9.6 8.3 7.7	8.8 9.8	8.3 9.0	7.9 8.5	7.9 8.1	8.0 8.1	8.0 8.2
15 to 19 years 20 to 24 years	6,180 5,692	5,895 6,035	5,365 5,843	5,720 5,324	6,784 7,036 5,682	6,613 6,757 6,997	6,554 6,591 6,724	6,842 6,534 6,562	9.0 9.4 8.6	8.5 8.7	7.9 7.4 8.0.	9.4 7.6 7.0 7.7	8.7 9.0 7.3	8.1 8.3 8.6	7.8 7.8 8.0	7.8 7.5 7.5
25 to 29 years	5,451 5,070 4,746	5,590 5,385 5,014	5,965 5,513 5,289	5,784 5,895 5,429	5,278 5,724 5,816	5,640 5,230 5,656	6,951 5,595 5,175	6,685 6,902 5,542	8.6 8.3 7.7 7.2	8.0 7.7 7.2	$\frac{8.2}{7.6}$	$7.7 \\ 7.8 \\ 7.2$	$\begin{array}{c} 6.7 \\ 7.3 \\ 7.4 \end{array}$	$\frac{6.9}{6.4}$	8.2 6.6 6.1	7.6 7.9 6 .3
40 to 44 years	4,419 4,209	4,661 4,275	4,890 4,495	5,176 4,748	5,327 5,051	5,719 5,217	5,572 5,613	5,105 5,476	6.7 6.4	6.7 6.1	6.7 6.2	6.8 6.3	6.8 6.5	7.0 6.4	6.6 6.7	5.8 6.3
50 to 54 years 55 to 59 years 60 to 64 years	3,753 3,025 2,413	$3,977 \ 3,455 \ 2,677$	4,042 3,656 3,042	4,285 3,753 3,244	4,563 4,025 3,367	4,884 4,331 3,655	5,066 4,667 3,981	5,463 4,860 4,329	5.7 4.6 3.7	5.7 5.0 3.8	5.6 5.0	5.7 5.0 4.3	5.8 5.1 4.3	6.0 5.3 4.5	6.0 5.5 4.7	6.2 5.6
65 to 69 years	$1,869 \\ 1,265 \\ 1,244$	2,006 1,423 1,422	2,239 1,538 1,591	2,586 1,744 1,760	2,806 2,044 1,986	2,955 2,245 2,308	$\begin{bmatrix} 3,243 \\ 2,385 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{3,558}{2,634}$	$\frac{2.8}{1.9}$	$\frac{2.9}{2.0}$	4.2 3.1 2.1	$\frac{3.4}{2.3}$	$\frac{3.6}{2.6}$	$\frac{3.6}{2.8}$	3.8 2.8	4.9 4.1 3.0
14 years and over Median age (years)	50,554 29.1	52,943 29,5	54,553 29.9	56,776 30.7	60,102 31.4	62,925 31.5	2,606 65,473 31.5	2,841 67,829 32.1	1.9 76.5	2.0 76.0	2.2 75.0	2.3 75.1	2.5 76.8	2.8 77.5	3.1 77.6	3.2 77.5
Adjusted for census underenumeration of children							ļ									
All ages Under 5 years	66,518 5,811	70,152 7,158	73,173 6,859	75,999 6,678	78,730 6,611	81,669 6,896	84,838 7,263	88,038 7,483								
Female, all ages	65, 608	69,926	73,354	76,449	79,310	82,230	85,252	88,205	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 5 years	5,187 5,266 5,794	6,445 5,570 5,250	6,171 6,799 5,551	5,998 6,516 6,780	5,929 6,339 6,501	6,177 6,275	6,502 6,543	6,694 6,890	7.9 8.0	9.2 8.0	8.4 9.3	7.8 8.5	7.5 8.0	7.5 7.6	7.6 7.7	7.6 7.8
15 to 19 years 20 to 24 years	6,153 5,895	5,756 6,122	5,228 5,714	5,531 5,194	6,759 5,500	6,328 6,483 6,727	6,265 6,313 6,456	6,534 6,252 6,290	8.8 9.4 9.0	7.5 8.2 8.8	9.3 7.6 7.1 7.8	8.9 7.2 6.8	8.2 8.5 6.9	7.7 7.9 8.2	7.3 7.4 7.6	7.4 7.1 7.1
25 to 29 years	5,646 5,172 4,800	5,880 5,621 5,137	6,069 5,818 5,543	5,670 6,014 5,749	5,160 5,625 5,951	5,468 5,123 5,572	6,693 5,434 5,081	6,427 6,657 5,393	$\frac{8.6}{7.9}$	8.4 8.0 7.3	$\begin{bmatrix} 8.3 \\ 7.9 \\ 7.6 \end{bmatrix}$	7.4 7.9 7.5	$\begin{array}{c c} 6.5 \\ 7.1 \\ 7.5 \end{array}$	6.7 6.2 6.8	7.9 6.4 6.0	7.3 7.5 6.1
40 to 44 years	4,369 4,046	4,739 4,277	5,041 4,619	5,454 4,938	5,667 5,359	5,876 5,582	5,509 5,797	5,028 5,439	6.7 6.2	6.8	6.9	7.1 6.5	7.1 6.8	7.1 6.8	6.5 6.8	$\frac{5.7}{6.2}$
50 to 54 years	3,504 2,843 2,347	3,907 3,334 2,629	4,116 3,692 3,060	4,467 3,914 3,403	4,803 4,278 3,631	5,233 4,632 3,999	5,465 5,069 4,365	5,686 5,307 4,805	5.3 4.3 3.6	5.6 4.8 3.8	$\begin{array}{c} 5.6 \\ 5.0 \\ 4.2 \end{array}$	5.8 5.1 4.5	6.1 5.4 4.6	6.4 5.6 4.9	6.4 5.9 5.1	6.4 6.0 5.4
65 to 69 years	1,879 1,296 1,411	2,065 1,539 1,655	2,315 1,688 1,929	2,723 1,913 2,185	3,062 2,272 2,473	3,299 2,575 2,880	3,658 2,790 3,312	4,014 3,105 3,684	2.9 2.0 2.2	3.0 2.2 2.4	3.2 2.3 2.6	3.6 2.5 2.9	3.9 2.9 3.1	4.0 3.1 3.5	4.3 3.3 3.9	4.6 3.5 4.2
14 years and over Median age (years)	50,549 29.0	53,755 29.9	55,884 31.0	58,430 32,1	61,878	64,725 33.6	67,192 33.5	69,366	77.0	76.9	76.2	76.4	78.0	78.7	78.8	78.6
Adjusted for census underenumeration of children							-3.0									**********
All ages	66,014 5,593	70,332 6,851	73,744 6,561	76,830 6,380	79,691 6,311	82,630 6,578	85,675 6,924	88,641 7,130								
¹ Published totals we	•	•		•									-	[-		

¹Published totals were obtained by rounding computed totals and hence are not always exactly equal to the sum of the rounded figures shown by color, nativity, age, and sex.

Table V.—FORECASTS OF THE POPULATION, BY COLOR, NATIVITY, AGE, AND SEX, ACCORDING TO ASSUMPTIONS OF HIGH FERTILITY (14,000,000 BIRTHS DURING 1945–50), LOW MORTALITY, AND NO IMMIGRATION AFTER JULY 1, 1945, FOR THE UNITED STATES: 1950 TO 1975—Continued

			POPULA	TION (IN	THOUSANI	os)¹					PE	RCENT DI	STRIBUTI	ON		
COLOR, NATIVITY, AGE, AND SEX	1940 ² (Census)	1945	1950	1955	1960	1965	1970	1975	1940	1945	1950	1955	1960	1965	1970	1975
TOTAL WHITE					`											
Total, all ages	118,215	125,053	130,558	135,487	139,968	144,533	149,311	153,999	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 5 years	9,329 10,353 10,964 10,340	11,533 9,815 9,295 10,275 10,814 10,248 9,846 9,154	11,013 12,069 9,779 9,253 10,207 10,725 10,148 9,717	10,652 11,532 12,032 9,741 9,200 10,133 10,634 10,033	10,430 11,160 11,503 11,992 9,692 9,142 10,057 10,527	10,797 10,934 11,138 11,470 11,940 9,639 9,081 9,966	11,354 11,324 10,916 11,110 11,425 11,882 9,583 9,009	11,668 11,912 11,308 10,891 11,072 11,375 11,820 9,513	7.8 7.9 8.8 9.3 8.7 8.4 7.8	9.2 7.8 7.4 8.6 8.2 7.9 7.3	8.4 9.2 7.5 7.1 7.8 8.2 7.4	7.9 8.5 8.9 7.2 6.8 7.5 7.4	7.5 8.0 8.2 8.6 6.9 6.5 7.2 7.5	7.5 7.6 7.7 7.9 8.3 6.7 6.3 6.9	7.6 7.6 7.3 7.4 7.7 8.0 6.4 6.0	7.6 7.7 7.3 7.1 7.2 7.4 7.7 6.2
40 to 44 years	7,533 6,680 5,427 4,417 3,499	8,426 7,757 7,234 6,288 4,935 3,797 2,778 2,859	8,985 8,205 7,442 6,782 5,683 4,257 3,022 3,271	9,562 8,791 7,917 7,031 6,168 4,967 3,432 3,664	9,891 9,389 8,530 7,545 6,448 5,465 4,051 4,146	10,393 9,736 9,151 8,191 6,986 5,783 4,503 4,826	9,852 10,245 9,516 8,832 7,652 6,319 4,800 5,491	8,914 9,720 10,031 9,210 8,311 6,963 5,272 6,020	6.7 6.4 5.7 4.6 3.7 3.0 2.0 2.1	6.7 6.2 5.8 5.0 3.9 3.0 2.2 2.3	6.9 6.3 5.7 5.4 3.3 2.5	7.1 6.5 5.8 5.2 4.6 3.7 2.5 2.7	7.1 6.7 6.1 5.4 4.6 3.9 2.9 3.0	7.2 6.7 6.3 5.7 4.8 4.0 3.1 3.3	6.6 6.9 6.4 5.9 5.1 4.2 3.2	5.8 6.3 6.5 6.0 5.4 4.5 3.4
14 years and over Median age (years)	91,428 29,5	96,360	99,551 31.1	$103,530 \\ 32.1$	109,247 33.0	113,915 33.5	117,903 33.5	121,328 33.7	77.3	77.1	76.3	76.4	78.1	78.8	79.0	78.8
Adjusted for census underenumeration of children													,			+ / + -
All ages Under 5 years	118,841 9,856	125,680 12,160	131,156 11,611	136,066 11,231	140,535 10,997	145,120 11,384	149,928 11,971	154,633 12,302								
Male, all ages	59,449	62,540	65,118	67,466	69,648	71,929	74,373	76,822	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 5 years	4,745 5,259 5,516 5,114 4,892 4,573	5,895 5,008 4,725 5,210 5,382 5,022 4,842 4,536	5,632 6,177 4,987 4,698 5,170 5,331 4,965 4,768	5,451 5,905 6,155 4,963 4,668 5,127 5,278 4,899	5,339 5,719 5,889 6,129 4,935 4,634 5,083 5,217	5,530 5,606 5,706 5,868 6,100 4,904 4,599 5,031	5,816 5,808 5,595 5,688 5,842 6,066 4,871 4,557	5,979 6,112 5,799 5,579 5,667 5,813 6,029 4,830	7.9 8.0 8.8 9.3 8.6 8.2 7.7	9.4 8.0 7.6 8.3 8.6 7.7 7.3	8.6 9.5 7.2 7.9 8.6 7.3	8.1 8.8 9.1 7.4 6.9 7.6 7.8 7.3	7.7 8.2 8.5 8.8 7.1 6.7 7.5	7.7 7.8 7.9 8.2 8.5 6.8 6.4 7.0	7.8 7.5 7.6 7.9 8.2 6.5 6.1	7.8 8.0 7.5 7.4 7.6 7.8 6.3
40 to 44 years	3,843 3,452 2,790 2,232	4,196 3,882 3,651 3,196 2,481 1,864 1,329 1,322	4,438 4,064 3,691 3,374 2,827 2,084 1,435 1,478	4,679 4,322 3,892 3,444 3,008 2,413 1,629 1,633	4,819 4,577 4,168 3,671 3,101 2,609 1,913 1,845	5,140 4,728 4,438 3,968 3,345 2,729 2,093 2,146	4,964 5,053 4,601 4,251 3,656 2,975 2,209 2,420	4,502 4,886 4,928 4,423 3,952 3,275 2,423 2,625	6.7 6.5 5.8 4.7 3.8 2.9 2.0 2.0	6.7 6.2 5.8 5.1 4.0 3.0 2.1 2.1	6.8 6.2 5.7 5.2 4.3 2.2 2.3	6.9 6.4 5.8 5.1 4.5 3.6 2.4 2.4	6.9 6.6 6.0 5.3 4.5 3.7 2.7 2.6	7.1 6.6 6.2 5.5 4.6 3.8 2.9 3.0	6.7 6.8 6.2 5.7 4.9 4.0 3.0 3.3	5.9 6.4 6.4 5.8 5.1 4.3 3.2 3.4
14 years and over Median age (years)	45,823 29.5	47,903 30.0	49,266 30,6	51,109 31.4	53,914 32.1	56,240 32.4	58,273 32.4	60,068 32.9	77.1	76.6	75.7	75.8	77.4	78.2	78.4	78.2
Adjusted for census underenumeration of children																
All ages Under 5 years	59,781 5,034	62,872 6,227	65,436 5,949	67,773 5,758	69,949 5,641	$72,241 \\ 5,842$	74,701 6,145	77,159 6,316								
Female, all ages	58,766	62,513	65,439	68,021	70,321	72,605	74,938	77,177	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 5 years	4,528 4,584 5,094 5,448 5,227 5,012 4,633 4,262	5,639 4,806 4,570 5,065 5,431 5,226 5,004 4,618	5,381 5,892 4,792 4,555 5,036 5,394 5,183 4,949	5,202 5,626 5,877 4,778 4,532 5,006 5,356 5,133	5,091 5,441 5,614 5,862 4,758 4,508 4,974 5,309	5,268 5,328 5,432 5,602 5,841 4,736 4,483 4,936	5,537 5,515 5,321 5,422 5,583 5,817 4,712 4,452	5,689 5,800 5,509 5,312 5,406 5,562 5,791 4,682	7.7 7.8 8.7 9.3 8.9 8.5 7.9 7.3	9.0 7.7 7.3 8.1 8.7 8.4 8.0 7.4	8.2 9.0 7.3 7.0 7.7 8.2 7.9 7.6	7.6 8.3 8.6 7.0 6.7 7.4 7.9 7.5	7.2 7.7 8.0 8.3 6.8 6.4 7.1 7.6	7.3 7.5 7.5 7.7 8.0 6.5 6.8	7.4 7.4 7.1 7.2 7.5 7.8 6.3 5.9	7.4 7.5 7.1 6.9 7.0 7.2 7.5 6.1
40 to 44 years	3,941 3,690 3,229 2,637 2,184 1,762 1,217 1,318	4,229 3,875 3,583 3,092 2,454 1,933 1,449 1,537	4,548 4,141 3,751 3,408 2,855 2,173 1,587 1,794	4,883 4,468 4,025 3,587 3,160 2,554 1,803 2,031	5,072 4,812 4,362 3,874 3,347 2,856 2,138 2,301	5,253 5,007 4,713 4,223 3,641 3,053 2,409 2,680	4,888 5,192 4,915 4,581 3,996 3,345 2,591 3,071	4,413 4,834 5,104 4,787 4,359 3,688 2,849 3,394	6.7 6.3 5.5 4.5 3.7 3.0 2.2	6.8 6.2 5.7 4.9 3.9 3.1 2.3 2.5	6.9 6.3 5.7 5.2 4.4 3.3 2.4 2.7	7.2 6.6 5.9 5.3 4.6 3.8 2.7 3.0	7.2 6.8 6.2 5.5 4.8 4.1 3.0 3.3	7.2 6.9 6.5 5.0 4.2 3.3 3.7	6.5 6.9 6.6 5.3 4.5 4.1	5.7 6.3 6.6 6.2 5.6 4.8 3.7
14 years and over Median age (years)	45,605 29,5	48,457 30.5	50,284 31,6	52,421 32.8	55,333 33.9	57,675 34.6	59,630 34.5	61,260 34.6	77.6	77.5	76.8	77.1	78.7	79.4	79.6	79.4
Adjusted for census underenumeration of children			·S				1									
All ages Under 5 years	59,060 4,822	62,808 5,933	65,720 5,662	68,292 5,473	70,586 5,356	72,879 5,543	75,227 5,826	77,474 5,986								

¹Published totals were obtained by rounding computed totals and hence are not always exactly equal to the sum of the rounded figures shown by color, nativity, age, and sex.

TABLE V.—FORECASTS OF THE POPULATION, BY COLOR, NATIVITY, AGE, AND SEX, ACCORDING TO ASSUMPTIONS OF HIGH FERTILITY (14,000,000 BIRTHS DURING 1945-50), LOW MORTALITY, AND NO IMMIGRATION AFTER JULY 1, 1945, FOR THE UNITED STATES: 1950 TO 1975—Continued

					(I ercent	not show	n where	iess than	0.1)							
COLOR, NATIVITY,		1	POPULA	TION (IN	THOUSANI	os)1					PEI	CENT DI	STRIBUTI	ON	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
AGE, AND SEA	1940 ² (Census)	1945	1950	1955	1960	1965	1970	1975	1940	1945	1950	1955	1960	1965	1970	1975
NATIVE WHITE																
Total, all ages		114,805	121,653	127,883	133,618	139,385	145,295	151,008	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 5 years	9,307 10,299 10,799 10,131 9,480	11,529 9,799 9,265 10,205 10,623 10,008 9,404	11,013 12,064 9,764 9,223 10,137 10,536 9,911	10,652 11,532 12,027 9,725 9,170 10,064 10,447	10,430 11,160 11,503 11,987 9,677 9,113	10,797 10,934 11,138 11,470 11,936 9,624	11,354 11,324 10,916 11,110 11,425 11,878	11,668 11,912 11,308 10,891 11,072 11,375	8.6 8.7 9.6 10.1 9.5 8.9	10.0 8.5 8.1 8.9 9.3 8.7	9.1 9.9 8.0 7.6 8.3 8.7	8.3 9.0 9.4 7.6 7.2 7.9	7.8 8.4 8.6 9.0 7.2 6.8 7.5	7.7 7.8 8.0 8.2 8.6 6.9	7.8 7.8 7.5 7.6 7.9 8.2	7.7 7.9 7.5 7.2 7.3 7.5
35 to 39 years	7,468	8,444	9,281	9,798	9,988 10,341	9,052 9,898	9,568 8,980	11,815 9,498	8.0 7.0	8.2 7.4	8.1 7.6	8.2 7.7	7.5 7.7	6.5 7.1	6.6 6.2	7.8 6.3
40 to 44 years 45 to 49 years 50 to 54 years 55 to 59 years 60 to 64 years 60 to 69 years 70 to 74 years 75 years and over	6,029 5,115 4,108 3,348 2,687 1,798	7,392 6,532 5,809 4,836 3,752 2,897 2,155 2,156	8,290 7,201 6,274 5,459 4,390 3,258 2,326 2,525	9,134 8,112 6,954 5,937 4,981 3,858 2,648 2,845	9,660 8,969 7,874 6,633 5,457 4,430 3,168 3,226	10,210 9,508 8,743 7,564 6,150 4,906 3,669 3,786	9,784 10,065 9,295 8,440 7,072 5,572 4,087 4,425	8,886 9,654 9,856 8,996 7,945 6,440 4,660 5,033	6.2 5.6 4.8 3.8 3.1 2.5 1.7	6.4 5.7 5.1 4.2 3.3 2.5 1.9	6.8 5.9 5.2 4.5 3.6 2.7 1.9 2.1	7.1 6.3 5.4 4.6 3.9 3.0 2.1 2.2	7.2 6.7 5.9 5.0 4.1 3.3 2.4 2.4	7.3 6.8 6.3 5.4 4.4 3.5 2.6 2.7	6.7 6.9 6.4 5.8 4.9 3.8 2.8 3.0	5.9 6.4 6.5 6.0 5.3 4.3 3.1 3.3
14 years and over Median age (years)	80,077 26.9	86,153 28.0	90,662	95,929 30.4	$102,896 \\ 31.5$	108,767 32,1	113,886 32,4	118,337 33.1	75.0	75.0	74.5	75.0	77.0	78.0	78.4	78.4
Adjusted for census underenumeration of children															*************	
All ages Under 5 years	107,422 9,847	115,431 12,155	122,251 11,611	128,462 11,231	134,185 10,997	139,972 11,384	145,912 11,971	151,642 12,302								
Male, all ages		57,206	60,543	63,613	66,477	69,401	72,437	75,407	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 5 years	4,734 5,232 5,434 5,015 4,698 4,230	5,893 5,000 4,710 5,172 5,285 4,904 4,637 4,193	5,632 6,174 4,979 4,683 5,134 5,234 4,848 4,566	5,451 5,905 6,153 4,954 4,652 5,091 5,183 4,784	5,339 5,719 5,889 6,127 4,927 4,619 5,047 5,122	5,530 5,606 5,706 5,868 6,097 4,896 4,584 4,995	5,816 5,808 5,595 5,688 5,842 6,063 4,863 4,542	5,979 6,112 5,799 5,579 5,667 5,813 6,027 4,822	8.8 8.9 9.8 10.2 9.4 8.8 7.9 7.0	10.3 8.7 8.2 9.0 9.2 8.6 8.1 7.3	9.3 10.2 8.2 7.7 8.5 8.6 8.0 7.5	8.6 9.3 9.7 7.8 7.3 8.0 8.1 7.5	8.0 8.6 8.9 9.2 7.4 6.9 7.6 7.7	8.0 8.1 8.2 8.5 8.8 7.1 6.6 7.2	8.0 8.0 7.7 7.9 8.1 8.4 6.7 6.3	7.9 8.1 7.7 7.4 7.5 7.7 8.0 6.4
40 to 44 years. 45 to 49 years. 50 to 54 years. 55 to 59 years. 60 to 64 years. 65 to 69 years. 70 to 74 years. 75 years and over.	3,026 2,568 2,054 1,659 1,314	3,675 3,250 2,886 2,390 1,832 1,392 1,013	4,102 3,561 3,094 2,674 2,121 1,547 1,079 1,115	4,481 3,997 3,413 2,891 2,389 1,818 1,217 1,234	4,705 4,384 3,856 3,222 2,608 2,079 1,448 1,387	5,047 4,617 4,251 3,672 2,939 2,299 1,673 1,623	4,928 4,962 4,493 4,073 3,385 2,616 1,865 1,895	4,487 4,851 4,839 4,320 3,787 3,034 2,134 2,157	6.2 5.7 4.8 3.8 3.1 2.5 1.6	6.4 5.7 5.0 4.2 3.2 2.4. 1.8	6.8 5.9 5.1 4.4 3.5 2.6 1.8	7.0 6.3 5.4 4.5 3.8 2.9 1.9	7.1 6.6 5.8 4.8 3.9 3.1 2.2 2.1	7.3 6.7 6.1 5.3 4.2 3.3 2.4 2.3	6.8 6.8 6.2 5.6 4.7 3.6 2.6 2.6	6.0 6.4 6.4 5.7 5.0 4.0 2.8 2.9
14 years and over Median age (years)	39,846 26.7	42,591 27.6	44,700 28,5	47,257 29.6	50,743	53,712	56,337	58,654	74.6	74.5	73.8	74.3	76.3	77.4	77.8	77.8
Adjusted for census underenumeration of children	20.7	21.0	20.5	29.6	30.6	31.1	31,4	32.3							· •	
All ages Under 5 years	53,770 5,029	57,538 6,225	60,861 5,949	63,920 5,758	66,778 5,641	69,713 5,842	72,765 6,145	75,744 6,316								
Female, all ages		57,599	61,110	64,271	67,141	69,984	72,858	75,601	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 5 years. 5 to 9 years. 10 to 14 years. 15 to 19 years. 20 to 24 years. 25 to 29 years. 30 to 34 years. 35 to 39 years.	4,524 4,574 5,067 5,366 5,116 4,782 4,267 3,744	5,636 4,799 4,555 5,032 5,339 5,105 4,768 4,251	5,381 5,890 4,785 4,540 5,004 5,302 5,063 4,715	5,202 5,626 5,875 4,771 4,518 4,974 5,264 5,014	5,091 5,441 5,614 5,860 4,750 4,494 4,942 5,219	5,268 5,328 5,432 5,602 5,839 4,729 4,469 4,904	5,537 5,515 5,321 5,422 5,583 5,815 4,705 4,438	5,689 5,800 5,509 5,312 5,406 5,562 5,788 4,675	8.5 8.6 9.5 10.1 9.6 9.0 8.0 7.0	9.8 8.3 7.9 8.7 9.3 8.9 8.3 7.4	8.8 9.6 7.8 7.4 8.2 8.7 8.3 7.7	8.1 8.8 9.1 7.4 7.0 7.7 8.2 7.8	7.6 8.1 8.4 8.7 7.1 6.7 7.4 7.8	7.5 7.6 7.8 8.0 8.3 6.8 6.4 7.0	7.6 7.6 7.3 7.4 7.7 8.0 6.5 6.1	7.5 7.7 7.3 7.0 7.2 7.4 7.7 6.2
40 to 44 years	3,335 3,003 2,546 2,054 1,689 1,372 925 995	3,716 3,282 2,922 2,447 1,919 1,505 1,141 1,181	4,187 3,640 3,180 2,786 2,269 1,711 1,247 1,410	4,653 4,115 3,541 3,046 2,592 2,040 1,431 1,611	4,954 4,585 4,018 3,412 2,849 2,352 1,720 1,839	5,164 4,891 4,492 3,893 3,211 2,607 1,995 2,163	4,856 5,104 4,801 4,367 3,687 2,956 2,221 2,530	4,399 4,803 5,017 4,677 4,157 3,406 2,526 2,876	6.2 5.6 4.8 3.8 3.2 2.6 1.7	6.5 5.7 5.1 4.2 3.3 2.6 2.0 2.0	6.9 6.0 5.2 4.6 3.7 2.8 2.0 2.3	7.2 6.4 5.5 4.7 4.0 3.2 2.2 2.5	7.4 6.8 6.0 5.1 4.2 3.5 2.6 2.7	7.4 7.0 6.4 5.6 4.6 3.7 2.9 3.1	6.7 7.0 6.6 6.0 5.1 4.1 3.0	5.8 6.4 6.6 6.2 5.5 4.5 3.3
14 years and over Median age (years)	40,231 27.1	43,562 28.4	45,962	48,672	52,153	55,055	57,550	59,684	75.4	75.6	75.2	75.7	77.7	78.7	3.5 79.0	78.9
Adjusted for census underenumeration of children	21.1	20.4	29.7	31.1	32.3	33,1	33.4	33.9								
All agesUnder 5 years	53,652 4,818	57,893 5,931	61,390 5,662	64,542 5,473	67,407 5,356	70,259 5,543	73,147 5,826	75,897 5,986								

¹Published totals were obtained by rounding computed totals and hence are not always exactly equal to the sum of the rounded figures shown by color, nativity, age, and sex.

TABLE V.—FORECASTS OF THE POPULATION, BY COLOR, NATIVITY, AGE, AND SEX, ACCORDING TO ASSUMPTIONS OF HIGH FERTILITY (14,000,000 BIRTHS DURING 1945–50), LOW MORTALITY, AND NO IMMIGRATION AFTER JULY 1, 1945, FOR THE UNITED STATES: 1950 TO 1975—Continued

		 	POPULAT	ron (in 1			n where		,		PEF	CENT DIS	TRIBUTIO	DN .		
COLOR, NATIVITY, AGE, AND SEX	1940 ² (Census)	1945	1950	1955	1960	1965	1970	1975	1940	1945	1950	1955	1960	1965	1970	1975
FOREIGN-BORN WHITE Total, all ages	11,419	10,248	8,905	7,604	6,350	5,149	4,017	2,991	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 5 years 5 to 9 years	8 22	4 16	5						$0.1 \\ 0.2$	0,2	0.1					
10 to 14 years 15 to 19 years	54 165 210	30 70	15 30 70	5 15 29	5				0.5	0.3 0.7 1,9	0.2 0.3 0.8	$0.1 \\ 0.2 \\ 0.4$	0.1 0.2	0.1		
20 to 24 years	424 709 1,048	191 240 441 709	189 237 436	69 187 235	15 29 69 185	5 15 29 68	5 15 29	5 15	1.8 3.7 6.2 9.2	2.3 4.3 6.9	2.1 2.7 4.9	0.9 2.5 3.1	0.5 1.1 2.9	0.3 0.6 1.3	0.1 0.4 0.7	0.2 0.5
40 to 44 years 45 to 49 years 50 to 54 years	1,263 1,504 1,566	1,034 1,225 1,425	696 1,003 1,168	428 679 963	231 420 656	183 227 408	67 180 221	28 66 176	$\begin{array}{c c} 11.1 \\ 13.2 \\ 13.7 \end{array}$	10.1 12.0 13.9	7.8 11.3 13.1	5.6 8.9 12.7	3.6 6.6 10.3	3.6 4.4 7.9	1.7 4.5 5.5	1.0 2.2 5.9
55 to 59 years	1,319 1,069	1,452 1,183	1,323 1,292	1,094 1,187	912 991	627 836	392 581	213 366	11.5 9.4	14.2 11.5	14.9 14.5	$14.4 \\ 15.6$	14.4 15.6	12.2 16.2 17.0	9.8 14.5 18.6	7.1 12.2 17.5
65 to 69 years	813 602 645	901 624 703	999 696 746	1,109 784 819	1,035 883 920	877 834 1,040	747 714 1,067	523 612 987	7.1 5.3 5.6	8.8 6.1 6.9	11.2 7.8 8.4	14.6 10.3 10.8	16.3 13.9 14.5	16.2 20.2	17.8 26.6	20.5 33.0
14 years and over Median age (years)	11,351 51.0	10,206 54.1	8,889 57.3	7,600 60.4	6,350 63.3	5,149 66.0	4,017 68.5	2,991 70.8	99.4	99.6	99.8	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Adjusted for census underenumeration of children				,			,									
All ages Under 5 years	11,420 9	10,248 5	8,905	7,604	6,350	5,149	4,017	2,991								
Male, all ages	6,011	5,334	4,575	3,853	3,171	2,528	1,936	1,414	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 5 years 5 to 9 years 10 to 14 years	11 27	2 8	2 8	2					0.1 0.2 0.5	0.2 0.3	0.1 0.2	0.1				
15 to 19 years 20 to 24 years	82 99	15 37 98	15 37	8 15	2 8	2			1.4 1.6	$0.7 \\ 1.8 \\ 2.2$	0.3 0.8	0.2 0.4 0.9	0.1 0.3 0.5	0.1 0.3	0.1	
25 to 29 years	194 343 530	118 205 343	97 117 202	36 96 115	15 36 95	8 15 36	2 8 15	2 8	3.2 5.7 8.8	3.8 6.4	$2.1 \\ 2.5 \\ 4.4$	2.5 3.0	1.1 3.0	0.6 1.4	0.4 0.8	0.2 0.6
40 to 44 years45 to 49 years	657 817	521 632	335 503	198 325	113 193	93 111	35 91	15 35	10.9 13.6	9.8	7.3 11.0	5.1 8.4	3.6 6.1	3.7 4.4	1.8 4.7	1.0 2.5
50 to 54 years 55 to 59 years	883 736	764 807	597 701	479 553	312 449	187 296	107 178 271	89 103 165	14.7 12.2 9.5	14.3 15.1 12.2	13.0 15.3 15.4	12.4 14.3 16.1	9.9 14.2 15.6	7.4 11.7 16.1	5.6 9.2 14.0	6.3 7.3 11.6
60 to 64 years 65 to 69 years 70 to 74 years	573 423 310	648 472 316	706 537 356	619 595 412	494 531 465	406 430 420	358 344	241 288	7.0 5.2	8.9 5.9	11.7 7.8	15.4 10.7	16.7 14.7	17.0 16.6	18.5 17.8	17.0 20.4
75 years and over 14 years and over		347 5,312	362 4,566	399 3,852	458 3,171	524 2,528	525 1.936	468 1,414	5.3 99.4	99.6	7.9 99.8	10.4	14.4	100.0	27.1 100.0	33.1 100.0
Median age (years)	51.4	54.5	57.7	60.8	63.7	66.3	68.6	70.9								
Adjusted for census underenumeration of children	6,011	F 004	4,575	3,853	3,171	2,528	1,936	1,414								
Under 5 years	4	5,334	4,070		3,171	2,020										
Female, all ages.	5,408	4,914	4,330	3,750	3,180	2,620	2,081	1,577	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 5 years 5 to 9 years 10 to 14 years	11 27	2 7 14	2 7	2 7					0.1 0.2 0.5	0.1	0.1 0.2	0.1				
15 to 19 years 20 to 24 years	82 111	33 93	14 33 92	7 14 33	2 7	2 7	2		1.5 2.0 4.3	0.7 1.9 2.5	0.3 0.8 2.1	0.2 0.4 0.9	0.1 0.2 0.4	0.1	0.1	
25 to 29 years		122 236 366	121 234	91 120	14 32 91	14 32	7 14	2 7	6.8	4.8 7.5	2.8 5.4	2.4 3.2	1.0	0.5	0.3	0.1 0.4
40 to 44 years 45 to 49 years	606	513 593	360 501	230 353	118 227	90 116	32 88	14 31	11.2 12.7	10.4 12.1	8.3 11.6	6.1 9.4	3.7 7.1	3.4 4.4	1.5 4.3	0.9 2.0
50 to 54 years55 to 59 years	682 583	661 645 535	571 622 586	484 541 568	344 463 498	221 331 430	114 214 309	87 110 20\$	12.6 10.8 9.2	13.4 13.1 10.9	13.2 14.4 13.5	12.9 14.4 15.2	10.8 14.6 15.7	8.4 12.6 16.4	5.5 10.3 14.9	5.5 7.0 12.8
60 to 64 years 65 to 69 years 70 to 74 years	390 292	428 308	463 340	513 372	504 418	447 414	389 370	28 2 323	9.2 7.2 5.4	8.7 6.3	10.7 7.9	13.7 9.9	15.9 13.1	17.0 15.8 19.7	18.7 17.8 26.0	17.9 20.5 32.9
75 years and over 14 years and over Median age (years)	323 5,375 50,5	356 4,895 53.6	384 4,322 56.8	3,749 60.0	3,180 62.9	2,620 65.8	2,081 68.3	518 1,577 70.8	99.4	99.6	99.8	11.2	14.5	100.0	100.0	100.0
Adjusted for census underenumeration of children													i			
All ages Under 5 years	5,408	4,915	4,330	3,750	3,180	2,620	2,081	1,577						<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
	. *	-												_	a been a	

¹Published totals were obtained by rounding computed totals and hence are not always exactly equal to the sum of the rounded figures shown by color, nativity, age, and sex.

Table V.—FORECASTS OF THE POPULATION, BY COLOR, NATIVITY, AGE, AND SEX, ACCORDING TO ASSUMPTIONS OF HIGH FERTILITY (14,000,000 BIRTHS DURING 1945–50), LOW MORTALITY, AND NO IMMIGRATION AFTER JULY 1, 1945, FOR THE UNITED STATES: 1950 TO 1975—Continued

COLOR NUMBER			POPULA	TION (IN			vn where				PF	RCENT D	ISTRIBUT	ion	•	
COLOR, NATIVITY, AGE, AND SEX	1940 ² (Census)	1945	1950	1955	1960	1965	1970	1975	1940	1945	1950	1955	1960	1965	1970	1975
NONWHITE Total, all ages	13,454	14,568	15,530	16,530	17,641	18,912	20,301	21,751	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.6
Under 5 years	1,369 1,248 1,192 1.036	1,613 1,533 1,354 1,377 1,344 1,221 1,161 998	1,579 1,825 1,524 1,340 1,350 1,309 1,183 1,115	1,594 1,788 1,816 1,510 1,319 1,321 1,275 1,145	1,679 1,808 1,782 1,803 1,490 1,295 1,292 1,240	1,823 1,908 1,802 1,771 1,784 1,468 1,272 1,262	1,933 2,073 1,903 1,794 1,755 1,762 1,446 1,247	2,016 2,200 2,068 1,895 1,780 1,737 1,739 1,422	9.8 10.1 10.4 10.2 9.3 8.9 7.7 7.6	11.1 10.5 9.3 9.4 9.2 8.4 8.0 6.8	10.2 11.8 9.8 8.6 8.7 8.4 7.6 7.2	9.6 10.8 11.0 9.1 8.0 8.0 7.7 6.9	9.5 10.2 10.1 10.2 8.4 7.3 7.3 7.0	9.6 10.1 9.5 9.4 9.4 7.8 6.7 6.7	9.5 10.2 9.4 8.8 8.6 8.7 7.1 6.1	9.3 10.1 9.5 8.7 8.2 8.0 6.5
40 to 44 years	852 722 577 441 343 249 160 175	975 794 650 501 371 274 184 218	946 909 717 565 419 297 203 249	1,067 895 835 636 480 343 225 280	1,103 1,021 836 758 550 403 265 314	1,202 1,064 966 772 669 471 316 362	1,229 1,165 1,015 904 693 581 375 426	1,219 1,195 1,118 957 823 609 467 506	6.3 5.4 4.3 3.3 2.5 1.8 1.2 1.3	6.7 5.5 4.5 3.4 2.5 1.9 1.3 1.5	6.1 5.9 4.6 3.6 2.7 1.9 1.3 1.6	6.5 5.4 5.1 3.9 2.9 2.1 1.4 1.7	6.3 5.8 4.7 4.3 3.1 2.3 1.5	6.4 5.6 5.1 4.1 3.5 2.5 1.7	6.1 5.7 5.0 4.5 3.4 2.9 1.8 2.1	5.6 5.5 5.1 4.4 3.8 2.8 2.1 2.3
14 years and over Median age (years)	$9,675 \\ 25,2$	10,338 25.3	10,887 25.6	11,676 25,9	12,733 26.0	13,735 26.3	14,762 27.0	15,867 27.6	71.9	71.0	70.1	70.6	72.2	72.6	72.7	72.9
Adjusted for census underenumeration of children						,										
All agesUnder 5 years	13,691 1,548	14,804 1,850	15,761 1,809	16,763 1,827	17,886 1,925	19,179 2,090	20,584 2,216	22,046 2,311								
Male, all ages	6,613	7,155	7,615	8,102	8,652	9,287	9,987	10,723	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 5 years	653 674 693 664 579 559 497 491	807 769 674 686 653 568 544 479	788 918 764 667 672 635 548 521	797 899 914 758 656 657 617 529	841 910 895 907 748 644 641 599	913 961 907 890 897 736 631 626	969 1,045 959 903 882 885 724 618	1,011 1,110 1,043 954 896 872 873 711	9.9 10.2 10.5 10.0 8.8 8.4 7.5 7.4	11.3 10.7 9.4 9.6 9.1 7.9 7.6 6.7	10.4 12.1 10.0 8.8 8.8 8.3 7.2 6.8	9.8 11.1 11.3 9.4 8.1 8.1 7.6 6.5	9.7 10.5 10.3 10.5 8.6 7.4 7.4 6.9	9.8 10.3 9.8 9.6 9.7 7.9 6.8 6.7	9.7 10.5 9.6 9.0 8.8 8.9 7.2 6.2	9.4 10.4 9.7 8.9 8.4 8.1 8.1 6.6
40 to 44 years	424 367 301 235 180 132 81 82	465 393 326 259 197 142 95 100	452 431 351 281 215 155 103 114	497 426 393 309 237 174 115 127	509 474 395 354 266 197 131	579 489 446 363 311 225 151 162	608 560 465 415 325 268 176 186	603 590 536 437 377 283 211 216	6.4 5.5 4.6 3.6 2.7 2.0 1.2 1.2	6.5 5.5 4.6 3.6 2.7 2.0 1.3	5.9 5.7 4.6 3.7 2.8 2.0 1.3	6.1 5.3 4.8 3.8 2.9 2.1 1.4	5.9 5.5 4.6 4.1 3.1 2.3 1.5	6.2 5.3 4.8 3.9 3.3 2.4 1.6	6.1 5.6 4.7 4.2 3.3 2.7 1.8	5.6 5.5 5.0 4.1 3.5 2.6 2.0
14 years and over Median age (years)	4,731 25,4	5,040 24,9	5,287 25.0	5,666 25.2	6,188 25,2	6,685 25.5	7,201 26.3	7,761 27.0	71.5	70.4	69.4	69.9	71.5	72.0	1.9 72.1	72.4
Adjusted for census underenumeration of children		21.0		20.2	20.2	25.5	20.3	21.0			***************************************					*************
All agesUnder 5 years	6,737 778	7,280 931	7,737 910	8,225 920	8,781 970	9,428 1,054	10,136 1,119	10,879 1,167								
Female. all ages	6,841	7,413	7,914	8,428	8,989	9,625	10,314	11,028	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 5 years	659 681 700 705 669 634 539 537	807 763 680 691 691 654 617 519	790 907 759 673 677 674 635	797 890 903 753 662 664 658 616	839 898 887 896 743 651 651 642	910 947 895 881 887 733 641 637	964 1,028 944 891 873 877 722 629	1,005 1,090 1,026 940 884 865 866 711	9.6 10.0 10.2 10.3 9.8 9.3 7.9 7.9	10.9 10.3 9.2 9.3 9.3 8.8 8.3 7.0	10.0 11.5 9.6 8.5 8.6 8.5 8.0 7.5	9.5 10.6 10.7 8.9 7.9 7.9 7.8 7.8	9.3 10.0 9.9 10.0 8.3 7.2 7.2 7.1	9.5 9.8 9.3 9.2 9.2 7.6 6.7 6.6	9.3 10.0 9.2 8.6 8.5 8.5 7.0 6.1	9.1 9.9 9.3 8.5 8.0 7.8 7.9 6.4
40 to 44 years	428 356 276 206 162 117 79 93	510 401 324 242 175 131 89 118	494 478 366 284 204 142 100 135	571 469 442 327 243 169 110 154	595 548 441 404 285 206 134 172	623 575 520 409 358 246 165	621 605 550 488 369 313 199 240	615 605 582 520 446 326 256 290	6.3 5.2 4.0 3.0 2.4 1.7 1.2	6.9 5.4 4.4 3.3 2.4 1.8 1.2	6.2 6.0 4.6 3.6 2.6 1.8 1.3	6.8 5.6 5.3 3.9 2.9 2.0 1.3 1.8	6.6 6.1 4.9 4.5 3.2 2.3 1.5	6.5 6.0 5.4 4.2 3.7 2.6 1.7 2.1	6.0 5.9 5.3 4.7 3.6 3.0 1.9 2.3	5.6 5.5 5.3 4.7 4.0 3.0 2.3 2.6
14 years and over Median age (years)	4,944 25.1	5,299 25.6	5,600 26.1	6,010 26.6	6,546 26.8	7,050 27.0	7,561 27.6	8,106 28.3	72.3	71.5	70.8	71.3	72.8	73.3	73.3	73.5
Adjusted for census underenumeration of children																
All ages Under 5 years	6,953 770	7,525 918	8,024 900	8,538 907	9,105 955	9,751 1,036	10,448 1,098	11,167 1,144								

¹Published totals were obtained by rounding computed totals and hence are not always exactly equal to the sum of the rounded figures shown by color, nativity, age, and sex.

Table VI.—FORECASTS OF THE POPULATION, BY COLOR, NATIVITY, AGE, AND SEX, ACCORDING TO ASSUMPTIONS OF HIGH FERTILITY (15,000,000 BIRTHS DURING 1945–50), LOW MORTALITY, AND NO IMMIGRATION AFTER JULY 1, 1945, FOR THE UNITED STATES: 1950 TO 1975

COLOR, NATIVITY,	,		POPULAT	rion (in :	T HOUSAND	s)1					PER	CENT DI	STRIBUTI	on		
AGE, AND SEX	1940 ² (Census)	1945	1950	1955	1960	1965	1970	1975	1940	1945	1950	1955	1960	1965	1970	1975
ALL CLASSES Total, all ages	131,669	139,621	146,987	152,970	158,559	164,434	170,845	177,304	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 5 years 5 to 9 years 10 to 14 years 15 to 19 years 20 to 24 years 25 to 29 years 30 to 34 years 35 to 39 years 35 to 39 years 35	10,685 11,746 12,334 11,588 11,097	13,147 11,347 10,649 11,652 12,158 11,470 11,007 10,151	13,491 13,894 11,303 10,593 11,557 12,034 11,332 10,832	12,246 14,273 13,849 11,251 10,518 11,454 11,909 11,177	12,110 12,968 14,235 13,795 11,183 10,438 11,349 11,767	12,661 12,841 12,940 14,188 13,724 11,108 10,353 11,228	13,534 13,440 12,819 12,904 14,123 13,644 11,029 10,256	13,993 14,374 13,420 12,786 12,852 14,050 13,559 10,935	8.0 8.1 8.9 9.4 8.8 8.4 7.8 7.2	9.4 8.1 7.6 8.3 8.7 8.2 7.9 7.3	9.2 9.5 7.7 7.2 7.9 8.2 7.7	8.0 9.3 9.1 7.4 6.9 7.5 7.8 7.3	7.6 8.2 9.0 8.7 7.1 6.6 7.2 7.4	7.7 7.8 7.9 8.6 8.3 6.8 6.8	7.9 7.9 7.5 7.6 8.3 8.0 6.5 6.0	7.9 8.1 7.6 7.2 7.2 7.9 7.6 6.2
40 to 44 years 45 to 49 years 50 to 54 years 55 to 59 years 60 to 64 years 65 to 69 years 70 to 74 years 75 years and over	5,255 7,257 5,868 4,760 3,748	9,401 8,551 7,884 6,789 5,306 4,071 2,962 3,077	9,931 9,114 8,159 7,347 6,101 4,553 3,225 3,520	10,629 9,686 8,752 7,667 6,648 5,309 3,657 3,945	10,994 10,410 9,366 8,303 6,998 5,868 4,316 4,460	11,595 10,799 10,117 8,963 7,654 6,254 4,819 5,187	11,080 11,410 10,531 9,736 8,346 6,901 5,175 5,918	10,133 10,915 11,149 10,167 9,134 7,572 5,739 6,526	6.7 6.3 5.5 4.5 3.6 2.8 1.9 2.0	6.7 6.1 5.6 4.9 3.8 2.9 2.1	6.8 6.2 5.6 5.0 4.2 3.1 2.2 2.4	6.9 6.3 5.7 5.0 4.3 3.5 2.4 2.6	6.9 6.6 5.9 5.2 4.4 3.7 2.7	7.1 6.6 6.2 5.5 4.7 3.8 2.9 3.2	6.5 6.7 6.2 5.7 4.9 4.0 3.0 3.5	5.7 6.2 6.3 5.7 5.2 4.3 3.2 3.7
14 years and over Median age (years)	101,103 29.0	106,698 29.7	110,439 30.3	115,183 31.2	122,123 32.0	128,678 32.3	133,595 32.2	$138,134 \\ 32.6$	76.8	76.4	75.1	75.3	77.0	78.3	78.2	77.9
Adjusted for census underenumeration of children							,								- 14	
All ages	132,532 11,404	140,484 14,009	147,876 14,380	153,782 13,058	$159,372 \\ 12,922$	165,291 13,518	171,763 14,451	178,253 14,942								
Male, all ages	66,062	69,695	73,192	76,055	78,784	81,720	84,989	88,337	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 5 years	5,419 5,952 6,180 5,692 5,451 5,070	6,702 5,777 5,399 5,895 6,035 5,590 5,385 5,014	6,879 7,095 5,751 5,365 5,843 5,965 5,513 5,289	6,248 7,291 7,069 5,720 5,324 5,784 5,895 5,429	6,180 6,629 7,269 7,036 5,682 5,278 5,724 5,816	6,463 6,567 6,613 7,241 6,997 5,640 5,230 5,656	6,911 6,875 6,554 6,591 7,205 6,951 5,595 5,175	7,148 7,356 6,864 6,534 6,562 7,163 6,902 5,542	8.1 8.2 9.0 9.4 8.6 8.3 7.7 7.2	9.6 8.3 7.7 8.5 8.7 8.0 7.7 7.2	9.4 9.7 7.9 7.3 8.0 8.2 7.5 7.2	8.2 9.6 9.3 7.5 7.0 7.6 7.8	7.8 8.4 9.2 8.9 7.2 6.7 7.3 7.4	7.9 8.0 8.1 8.9 8.6 6.9 6.4 6.9	8.1 8.1 7.7 7.8 8.5 8.2 6.6 6.1	8.1 8.3 7.8 7.4 7.4 8.1 7.8 6.3
40 to 44 years 45 to 49 years 50 to 54 years 55 to 59 years 60 to 64 years 65 to 69 years 70 to 74 years 75 years and over	3,753 3,025 2,413 1,869 1,265	4,661 4,275 3,977 3,455 2,677 2,006 1,423 1,422	4,890 4,495 4,042 3,656 3,042 2,239 1,538 1,591	5,176 4,748 4,285 3,753 3,244 2,586 1,744 1,760	5,327 5,051 4,563 4,025 3,367 2,806 2,044 1,986	5,719 5,217 4,884 4,331 3,655 2,955 2,245 2,308	5,572 5,613 5,066 4,667 3,981 3,243 2,385 2,606	5,105 5,476 5,463 4,860 4,329 3,558 2,634 2,841	6.7 6.4 5.7 4.6 3.7 2.8 1.9	6.7 6.1 5.7 5.0 3.8 2.9 2.0 2.0	6.7 6.1 5.5 5.0 4.2 3.1 2.1 2.2	6.8 6.2 5.6 4.9 4.3 3.4 2.3 2.3	6.8 6.4 5.8 5.1 4.3 3.6 2.6 2.5	7.0 6.4 6.0 5.3 4.5 3.6 2.7 2.8	6.6 6.6 5.5 4.7 3.8 2.8 3.1	5.8 6.2 6.2 5.5 4.9 4.0 3.0 3.2
14 years and over Median age (years)	50,554 29.1	52,943 29.5	54,554 29.7	56,764 30.5	60,175 31.2	63,449 31.3	65,947 31.3	68,307 31.8	76.5	76.0	74.5	74.6	76.4	77.6	77.6	77.3
Adjusted for census underenumeration of children All ages Under 5 years	66,518	70,152 7,158	73,663 7,350	76,485 6,678	79,215 6,611	82,174 6,918	85,476 7,398	88,841 7,652								
Female, all ages.	65,608	69,926	73,795	76,915	79,775	82,714	85,857	88,966	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 5 years	5,266 5,794 6,153 5,895 5,646 5,172	6,445 5,570 5,250 5,756 6,122 5,880 5,621 5,137	6,612 6,799 5,551 5,228 5,714 6,069 5,818 5,543	5,998 6,982 6,780 5,531 5,194 5,670 6,014 5,749	5,929 6,339 6,966 6,759 5,500 5,160 5,625 5,951	6,198 6,274 6,328 6,947 6,727 5,468 5,123 5,572	6,622 6,565 6,265 6,313 6,918 6,693 5,434 5,081	6,845 7,018 6,556 6,252 6,290 6,887 6,657 5,393	7.9 8.0 8.8 9.4 9.0 8.6 7.9 7.3	9.2 8.0 7.5 8.2 8.8 8.4 8.0 7.3	9.0 9.2 7.5 7.1 7.7 8.2 7.9 7.5	7.8 9.1 8.8 7.2 6.8 7.4 7.8 7.5	7.4 7.9 8.7 8.5 6.9 6.5 7.1 7.5	7.5 7.6 7.7 8.4 8.1 6.6 6.2 6.7	7.7 7.6 7.3 7.4 8.1 7.8 6.3 5.9	7.7 7.9 7.4 7.0 7.1 7.7 7.5 6.1
40 to 44 years	4,369 4,046 3,504 2,843 2,347 1,879 1,296	4,739 4,277 3,907 3,334 2,629 2,065 1,539 1,655	5,041 4,619 4,116 3,692 3,060 2,315 1,688 1,929	5,454 4,938 4,467 3,914 3,403 2,723 1,913 2,185	5,667 5,359 4,803 4,278 3,631 3,062 2,272 2,473	5,876 5,582 5,233 4,632 3,999 3,299 2,575 2,880	5,509 5,797 5,465 5,069 4,365 3,658 2,790 3,312	5,028 5,439 5,686 5,307 4,805 4,014 3,105 3,684	6.7 6.2 5.3 4.3 3.6 2.9 2.0 2.2	6.8 6.1 5.6 4.8 3.8 3.0 2.2 2.4	6.8 6.3 5.6 5.0 4.1 3.1 2.3 2.6	7.1 6.4 5.8 5.1 4.4 3.5 2.5 2.8	7.1 6.7 6.0 5.4 4.6 3.8 2.8 3.1	7.1 6.7 6.3 5.6 4.8 4.0 3.1 3.5	6.4 6.8 6.4 5.9 5.1 4.3 3.2 3.9	5.7 6.1 6.4 6.0 5.4 4.5 3.5 4.1
14 years and over Median age (years)	50,549 29,0	53,755 29,9	55,885 30.8	58,419 31.9	61,948 32.9	65,229 33.3	67,647 33.3	69,826 33.5	77.0	76.9	75.7	76.0	77.7	78.9	78.8	78.5
Adjusted for census underenumeration of children	3															
All agesUnder 5 years	66,014 5,593	70,332 6,851	74,213 7,030	77,296 6,380	80,156 6,311		86,287 7,053	89,412 7,291								

¹Published totals were obtained by rounding computed totals and hence are not always exactly equal to the sum of the rounded figures shown by color, nativity, age, and sex.

TABLE VI.—FORECASTS OF THE POPULATION, BY COLOR, NATIVITY, AGE, AND SEX, ACCORDING TO ASSUMPTIONS OF HIGH FERTILITY (15,000,000 BIRTHS DURING 1945–50), LOW MORTALITY, AND NO IMMIGRATION AFTER JULY 1, 1945, FOR THE UNITED STATES: 1950 TO 1975—Continued

COLOR, NATIVITY,			POPULA	TION (IN	THOUSAND	os)¹	7,10				PEF	CENT DI	S TRIB U TI	on		
AGE, AND SEX	1940 ² (Census)	1945	1950	1955	1960	1965	1970	1975	1940	1945	1950	1955	1960	1965	1970	1975
TOTAL WHITE											·					-
Total, all ages		125,053	131,345	136,312	140,792	145,382	150,361	155,323	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 5 years. 5 to 9 years. 10 to 14 years. 15 to 19 years. 20 to 24 years. 25 to 29 years. 30 to 34 years. 35 to 39 years.	9,329 10,353 10,964 10,340 9,904 9,206 8,517	11,533 9,815 9,295 10,275 10,814 10,248 9,846 9,154	11,800 12,069 9,779 9,253 10,207 10,725 10,148 9,717	10,652 12,357 12,032 9,741 9,200 10,133 10,634 10,033	10,430 11,160 12,326 11,992 9,692 9,142 10,057 10,527	10,825 10,934 11,138 12,290 11,940 9,639 9,081 9,966	11,556 11,353 10,916 11,110 12,243 11,882 9,583 9,009	11,937 12,124 11,337 10,891 11,072 12,190 11,820 9,513	7.8 7.9 8.8 9.3 8.7 8.4 7.8 7.2	9.2 7.8 7.4 8.2 8.6 8.2 7.9 7.3	9.0 9.2 7.4 7.0 7.8 8.2 7.7 7.4	7.8 9.1 8.8 7.1 6.7 7.4 7.8 7.4	7.4 7.9 8.8 8.5 6.9 6.5 7.1 7.5	7.4 7.5 7.7 8.5 8.2 6.6 6.2 6.9	7.7 7.6 7.3 7.4 8.1 7.9 6.4 6.0	7.3 7.3 7.3 7.6 7.8 7.6
40 to 44 years. 45 to 49 years. 50 to 54 years. 55 to 59 years. 60 to 64 years. 65 to 69 years. 70 to 74 years. 75 years and over.	5,427 4,417 3 499	8,426 7,757 7,234 6,288 4,935 3,797 2,778 2,859	8,985 8,205 7,442 6,782 5,683 4,257 3,022 3,271	9,562 8,791 7,917 7,031 6,168 4,967 3,432 3,664	9,891 9,389 8,530 7,545 6,448 5,465 4,051 4,146	10,393 9,736 9,151 8,191 6,986 5,783 4,503 4,826	9,852 10,245 9,516 8,832 7,652 6,319 4,800 5,491	8,914 9,720 10,031 9,210 8,311 6,963 5,272 6,020	6.7 6.4 5.7 4.6 3.7 3.0 2.0 2.1	6.7 6.2 5.8 5.0 3.9 3.0 2.2 2.3	6.8 6.2 5.7 5.2 4.3 3.2 2.3 2.5	7.0 6.4 5.8 5.2 4.5 3.6 2.5 2.7	7.0 6.7 6.1 5.4 4.6 3.9 2.9 2.9	7.1 6.7 6.3 5.6 4.8 4.0 3.1 3.3	6.6 6.8 6.3 5.9 5.1 4.2 3.2 3.7	5.7 6.3 6.5 5.9 5.4 4.5 3.4
14 years and over Median age (years)	91,428 29.5	96,360	99,552 30.9	103,510 31,9	109,371 32.8	114,806 33.3	118,710 33,2	122,142 33,4	77.3	77.1	75.8	75.9	77.7	79.0	79.0	78.6
Adjusted for census underenumeration of children								00.1						,		
All ages Under 5 years	118,841 9,856	125,680 12,160	131,987 12,442	136,891 11,231	141,358 10,997	145,971 11,414	150,989 12,184	155,972 12,586								·····
Male, all ages	59,449	62,540	65,521	67,888	70,069	72,363	74,909	77,499	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 5 years	4,745 5,259 5,516 5,114 4,892 4,573	5.895 5,008 4,725 5,210 5,382 5,022 4,842 4,536	6,035 6,177 4,987 4,698 5,170 5,331 4,965 4,768	5,451 6,328 6,155 4,963 4,668 5,127 5,278 4,899	5,339 5,719 6,310 6,129 4,935 4,634 5,083 5,217	5,544 5,606 5,706 6,287 6,100 4,904 4,599 5,031	5,920 5,823 5,595 5,688 6,260 6,066 4,871 4,557	6,117 6,221 5,814 5,579 5,667 6,229 6,029 4,830	7.9 8.0 8.8 9.3 8.6 8.2 7.7 7.2	9.4 8.0 7.6 8.3 8.6 8.0 7.7 7.3	9.2 9.4 7.6 7.2 7.9 8.1 7.6 7.3	8.0 9.3 9.1 7.3 6.9 7.6 7.8 7.2	7.6 8.2 9.0 8.7 7.0 6.6 7.3 7.4	7.7 7.7 7.9 8.7 8.4 6.8 6.4 7.0	7.9 7.8 7.5 7.6 8.4 8.1 6.5 6.1	7.9 8.0 7.5 7.2 7.3 8.0 7.8 6.2
40 to 44 years	3,843 3,452 2,790 2,232 1,737 1.183	4,196 3,882 3,651 3,196 2,481 1,864 1,329 1,322	4,438 4,064 3,691 3,374 2,827 2,084 1,435 1,478	4,679 4,322 3,892 3,444 3,008 2,413 1,629 1,633	4,819 4,577 4,168 3,671 3,101 2,609 1,913 1,845	5,140 4,728 4,438 3,968 3,345 2,729 2,093 2,146	4,964 5,053 4,601 4,251 3,656 2,975 2,209 2,420	4,502 4,886 4,928 4,423 3,952 3,275 2,423 2,625	6.7 6.5 5.8 4.7 3.8 2.0 2.0	6.7 6.2 5.8 5.1 4.0 3.0 2.1 2.1	6.8 6.2 5.6 5.2 4.3 2.2 2.3	6.9 6.4 5.7 5.1 4.4 3.6 2.4 2.4	6.9 6.5 5.9 5.2 4.4 3.7 2.7	7.1 6.5 6.1 5.5 4.6 3.8 2.9 3.0	6.6 6.7 6.1 5.7 4.9 4.0 2.9 3.2	5.8 6.3 6.4 5.7 5.1 4.2 3.1
14 years and over Median age (years)	45,823 29.5	47,903 30.0	49,267 30,4	51,099 31,2	53,978 31,9	56,695 32.2	58,685 32,2	60,484 32,6	77.1	76.6	75.2	75.3	77.0	78.3	78.3	78.0
Adjusted for census underenumeration of children					0.,0	02.2	02.2	02.0								
All ages Under 5 years	59,781 5,034	62,872 6,227	65,861 6,375	68,196 5,758	70,370 5,641	72,675 5,856	75,243 6,254	77,844 6,462								
Female, all ages	58,766	62,513	65,824	68,424	70,723	73,020	75,451	77,825	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 5 years	4,528 4,584 5,094 5,448 5,227 5,012 4,633 4,262	5,639 4,806 4,570 5,065 5,431 5,226 5,004 4,618	5,766 5,892 4,792 4,555 5,036 5,394 5,183 4,949	5,202 6,029 5,877 4,778 4,532 5,006 5,356 5,133	5,091 5,441 6,016 5,862 4,758 4,509 4,974 5,309	5,282 5,328 5,432 6,003 5,841 4,736 4,483 4,936	5,636 5,530 5,321 5,422 5,983 5,817 4,712 4,452	5,820 5,903 5,523 5,312 5,406 5,961 5,791 4,682	7.7 7.8 8.7 9.3 8.9 8.5 7.9	9.0 7.7 7.3 8.1 8.7 8.4 8.0 7.4	8.8 9.0 7.3 6.9 7.7 8.2 7.9 7.5	7.6 8.8 8.6 7.0 6.6 7.3 7.8 7.5	7.2 7.7 8.5 8.3 6.7 6.4 7.0 7.5	7.2 7.3 7.4 8.2 8.0 6.5 6.1 6.8	7.5 7.3 7.1 7.2 7.9 7.7 6.2 5.9	7.5 7.6 7.1 6.8 6.9 7.7 7.4 6.0
40 to 44 years. 45 to 49 years. 50 to 54 years. 55 to 59 years. 60 to 64 years. 65 to 69 years. 70 to 74 years. 75 years and over.	3,941 3,690 3,229 2,637 2,184 1,762 1,217 1,318	4,229 3,875 3,583 3,092 2,454 1,933 1,449 1,537	4,548 4,141 3,751 3,408 2,855 2,173 1,587 1,794	4,883 4,468 4,025 3,587 3,160 2,554 1,803 2,031	5,072 4,812 4,362 3,874 3,347 2,856 2,138 2,301	5,253 5,007 4,713 4,223 3,641 3,053 2,409 2,680	4,888 5,192 4,915 4,581 3,996 3,345 2,591 3,071	4,413 4,834 5,104 4,787 4,359 3,688 2,849 3,394	6.7 6.3 5.5 4.5 3.7 3.0 2.1 2.2	6.8 6.2 5.7 4.9 3.9 3.1 2.3 2.5	6.9 6.3 5.7 5.2 4.3 3.3 2.4	7.1 6.5 5.9 5.2 4.6 3.7 2.6 3.0	7.2 6.8 6.2 5.5 4.7 4.0 3.0	7.2 6.9 6.5 5.8 5.0 4.2 3.3 3.7	6.5 6.5 6.5 6.1 5.3 4.4 3.4	5.7 6.2 6.6 6.2 5.6 4.7 3.7
14 years and over Median age (years)	45,605 29.5	48,457 30.5	50,285 31,4	52,411 32.6	55,393 33.7	58,110 34.3	60,025 34.3	61,658 34.3	77.6	77.5	76.4	76.6	78.3	79.6	79.6	4.4 79.2
Adjusted for census underenumeration of children				22.0			O. T. U	94.0								
All ages Under 5 years	59,060 4,822	62,808 5,933	66,125 6,067	68,695 5,473	70,988 5,356	73,295 5,557	75,745 5,930	78,128 6,124					·			

¹Published totals were obtained by rounding computed totals and hence are not always exactly equal to the sum of the rounded figures shown by color, nativity, age, and sex.

TABLE VI.—FORECASTS OF THE POPULATION, BY COLOR, NATIVITY, AGE, AND SEX, ACCORDING TO ASSUMPTIONS OF HIGH FERTILITY (15,000,000 BIRTHS DURING 1945–50), LOW MORTALITY, AND NO IMMIGRATION AFTER JULY 1, 1945, FOR THE UNITED STATES: 1950 TO 1975—Continued

COLOR, NATIVITY,			POPULA?	rion (in	THOUSAND	s).					PER	CENT DIS	TRIBUTIO	ON		
AGE, AND SEX	1940 ² (Census)	1945	1950	1955	1960	1965	1970	1975	1940	1945	1950	1955	1960	1965	1970	1975
NATIVE WHITE			,										-			
Total, all ages					134,441		146,344		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 5 years 5 to 9 years	9,221 9,307	11,529 9,799	11,800 12,064	10,652 $12,357$	10,430 11,160	10,825 10,934	11,556 11,353	11,937 12,124	8.6 8.7	10.0 8.5	9.6 9.9	8.3 9.6	7.8 8.3	7.7 7.8	7.9 7.8	7.8 8.0
0 to 14 years		9,265 10,205	9,764 9,223	12,027 9,725	12,326 11,987	11,138 12,290	10,916	11,337 10,891	9.6 10.1	8.1 8.9	8.0 7.5	9.3 7.6	9.2 8.9	7.9 8.8	7.5 7.6	7.4 7.1
20 to 24 years 25 to 29 years	9,480	10,623	10,137	9,170 10,064	9,677 9,113 9,988	11,936 9,624 9,052	12,243 11,878 9,568	11,072 12,190	9.5 8.9 8.0	9.3 8.7 8.2	8.3 8.6	7.1	7.2 6.8	8.5 6.9	8.4 8.1	7.3 8.0
30 to 34 years 35 to 39 years	8,497 7,468	9,404 8,444	9,911 9,281	10,447 9,798	10,341	9,898	8,980	11,815 9,498	7.0	7.4	8.1 7.6	8.1 7.6	7.4 7.7	6.5 7.1	6.5 6.1	7.8 6.2
0 to 44 years 5 to 49 years	6,673	7,392 6,532	8,290 7,201	9,134 8,112	9,660 8,969	10,210 9,508	9,784 10,065	8,886 9,654	6.2 5.6	6.4 5.7	6.8 5.9	7.1 6.3	7.2 6.7	7.3 6.8	6.7 6.9	5.8 6.3
60 to 54 years 15 to 59 years	5,115 4,108	5,809 4,836	6,274 5,459	6,954 5,937	7,874 6,633	8,743 7,564	9,295 8,440	9,856 8,996	4.8 3.8	5.1 4.2	5.1 4.5	$\frac{5.4}{4.6}$	5.9 4.9	6.2 5.4	6.4 5.8	6.5 5.9
00 to 64 years 05 to 69 years	2,687	3,752 2,897	4,390 3,258 2,326	4,981 3,858	5,457 4,430	6,150 4,906	7,072 5,572	7,945 6,440	3.1 2.5	3.3 2.5	3.6 2.7	3.9 3.0	4.1 3.3	4.4 3.5	4.8 3.8	5.2 4.2
70 to 74 years 75 years and over	1,798 1,835	2,155 2,156	2,326 2,525	2,648 2,845	3,168 3,226	3,669 3,786	4,087 4,425	4,660 5,033	1.7 1.7	1.9 1.9	1.9 2.1	$\frac{2.1}{2.2}$	2.4 2.4	2.6 2.7	2.8 3.0	3.1 3.3
4 years and over Median age (years)	80,077 26.9	86,153 28.0	90,663 28.9	95,910 30.2	103,020 31.3	109,657 31.9	114,693 32.2	119,151 32.8	75.0	75.0	74.0	74.5	76.6	78.2	78.4	78,2
Adjusted for census underenumeration of children												:				
All agesUnder 5 years	107,422 9,847	115,431 12,155	123,082 12,442	129,287 11,231	135,008 10,997	140,822 11,414	146,972 12,184	152,981 12,586				·····				
Male, all ages	53,438	57,206	60,946	64,035	66,898	69,834	72,973	76,085	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Jnder 5 yearsi to 9 years	4,697 4,734	5,893 5,000	6,035 6,174	5,451 6,328	5,339 5,719	5,544 5,606	5,920 5,823	6,117 6,221	8.8 8.9	10.3 8.7	9.9 10.1	8.5 9.9	8.0 8.5	7.9 8.0	8.1	8.0 8.2
0 to 14 years 5 to 19 years	5,232 5,434	4,710 5,172	4,979 4,683	6,153 4,954	6,310 6,127	5,706 6,287	5,595 5,688	5,814 5,579	$\frac{9.8}{10.2}$	8.2 9.0	8.2 7.7	9.6 7.7	9.4 9.2	8.2 9.0	8.0 7.7 7.8	7.0
0 to 24 years 5 to 29 years	4.698	5,285 4,904	5,134 5,234	4,652 5,091	4,927 4,619	6,097 4,896	6,260 6,063	5,667 6,229	9.4 8.8	9.2 8.6	8.4 8.6	7.3 7.9	7.4 6.9	8.7 7.0	8.6 8.3 6.7	7.4 8.2
30 to 34 years 35 to 39 years	3,724	4,637 4,193	4,848 4,566	5,183 4,784	5,047 5,122	4,584 4,995	4,863 4,542	6,027 4,822	7.9 7.0	7.3	8.0 7.5	8.1 7.5	7.5 7.7	6.6 7.2	$\begin{bmatrix} 6.7 \\ 6.2 \end{bmatrix}$	7.9 6.3
10 to 44 years 15 to 49 years	3,026	3,675 3,250	4,102 3,561	4,481 3,997	4,705 4,384	5,047 4,617	4,928 4,962	4,487 4,851	6.2 5.7	6.4 5.7	6.7 5.8	7.0 6.2	7.0 6.6	7.2 6.6	6.8 6.8	5.9 6.4
50 to 54 years 55 to 59 years	2,054	2,886 2,390	3,094 2,674	3,413 2,891	3,856 3,222	4,251 3,672	4,493 4,073	4,839 4,320	4.8 3.8	5.0 4.2	5.1 4.4	5.3 4.5	5.8 4.8	6.1 5.3	6.2 5.6	6.4 5.7
60 to 64 years 65 to 69 years	1,314	1,832 1,392 1,013	2,121 1,547 1,079	2,389 1,818	2,608 2,079 1,448	2,939 2,299 1,673	3,385 2,616 1,865	3,787 3,034	3.1 2.5 1.6	3.2 2.4 1.8	3.5 2.5 1.8	3.7 2.8 1.9	3.9	4.2 3.3	4.6 3.6	5.0 4.0
70 to 74 years 75 years and over	840	975	1,115	1,217 1,234	1,387	1,623	1,895	2,134 2,157	1.6	1.7	1.8	1.9	2.2 2.1	2.4 2.3	2.6 2.6	2.8 2.8
14 years and over Median age (years)	39,846 26.7	42,591 27.6	44,701 28.3	47,247 29.4	50,807 30.4	54,167 30.9	56,749 31.2	59,069 32.0	74.6	74.5	73.3	73.8	75.9	77.6	77.8	77.6
Adjusted for census underenumeration of children					,								:			
All ages Under 5 years	53,770 5,029	57,538 6,225	61,287 6,375	64,343 5,758	67,199 5,641	70,147 5,856	73,307 6,254	76,430 6,462								
Female, all ages.	53,358	57,599	61,494	64,673	67,543	70,399	73,371	76,248	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 5 years 5 to 9 years	4,574	5,636 4,799	5,766 5,890	5,202 6,029	5,091 5,441	5,282 5,328	5,636 5,530	5,820 5,903	8.5 8.6	9.8 8.3	9.4 9.6	8.0 9.3	7.5 8.1	7.5 7.6	7.7 7.5	7.6 7.7
10 to 14 years 15 to 19 years	5,067 5,366	4,555 5,032	4,785 4,540 5,004	5,875 4,771 4,518	6,016 5,860	5,432 6,003	5,321 5,422	5,523 5,312	9.5 10.1	7.9 8.7	7.8 7.4	$\frac{9.1}{7.4}$	8.9 8.7 7.0	7.7 8.5	7.3 7.4	7.2 7.0
20 to 24 years 25 to 29 years	4.782	5,339 5,105	5,302	4,974	4,750 4,494	5,839 4,729	5,983 5,815	5,406 5,961	9.6 9.0	9.3 8.9	8.1	7.0	6.7	8.3	8.2 7.9	7.1
30 to 34 years 35 to 39 years	4,267 3,744	4,768 4,251	5,063 4,715	5,264 5,014	4,942 5,219	4,469 4,904	4,705 4,438	5,788 4,675	8.0 7.0	8.3 7.4	8.2 7.7	8.1 7.8	7.3	6.3 7.0	6.4	7.6 6.1
40 to 44 years 45 to 49 years		3,716 3,282	4,187 3,640	4,653 4,115	4,954 4,585	5,164 4,891	4,856 5,104	4,399 4,803	6.2 5.6	6.5 5.7	6.8	7.2 6.4	7.3 6.8	7.3 6.9	6.6	5.8 6.3
50 to 54 years 55 to 59 years	2,546 2,054	2,922 2,447	3,180 2,786	3,541 3,046	4,018 3,412	4,492 3,893	4,801 4,367	5,017 4,677	4.8 3.8	5.1 4.2	5.2 4.5	5.5 4.7	5.9 5.1	6.4 5.5	6.5	6.6
60 to 64 years	. 1.372	1,919 1,505	2,269 1,711	2,592 2,040	2,849 2,352	$\frac{3,211}{2,607}$	3,687 2,956	4,157 3,406	$\frac{3.2}{2.6}$	3.3 2.6	3.7	$\frac{4.0}{3.2}$	4.2 3.5	4.6 3.7	5.0 4.0	5. 4.
70 to 74 years 75 years and over	925 995	1,141	1,247 1,410	1,431 1,611	1,720' 1,839	1,995 2,163	2,221 2,530	2,526 2,876	1.7 1.9	2.0 2.0	2.0 2.3	2.2 2.5	$\frac{2.5}{2.7}$	2.8 3.1	3.0 3.4	3.8
14 years and over Median age (years)		43,562 28.4	45,963 29.5	48,662 30,9	52,214 32,1	55,490 32.9	57,944 33.2	60,082 33.6	75.4	75.6	74.7	75.2	77.3	78.8	79.0	78.8
Adjusted for census underenumeration of children																
All ages Under 5 years	53,652	57,893 5,931	61,795 6,067	64,945 5,473	67,809 5,356	70,675 5,557	73,665 5,930	76,552 6,124								
FOREIGN-BORN WHITE (Same as in table V																

¹Published totals were obtained by rounding computed totals and hence are not always exactly equal to the sum of the rounded figures shown by color, nativity, age, and sex.

Table VI.—FORECASTS OF THE POPULATION, BY COLOR, NATIVITY, AGE, AND SEX, ACCORDING TO ASSUMPTIONS OF HIGH FERTILITY (15,000,000 BIRTHS DURING 1945–50), LOW MORTALITY, AND NO IMMIGRATION AFTER JULY 1, 1945, FOR THE UNITED STATES: 1950 TO 1975—Continued

			POPULA	TION (IN			n where				PÉ	ERCENT D	ISTRIBUT	TION		
COLOR, NATIVITY, AGE, AND SEX	1940 ² (Census)	1945	1950	1955	1960	1965	1970	1975	1940	1945	1950	1955	1960	1965	1970	1975
NONWHITE																
Total, all ages	13,454	14,568	15,642	16,658	17,768	19,051	20,485	21,980	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 5 years	1,312 1,356 1,393 1,369 1,248 1,192 1,036 1,029	1,613 1,533 1,354 1,377 1,344 1,221 1,161 998	1,691 1,825 1,524 1,340 1,350 1,309 1,183 1,115	1,594 1,916 1,816 1,510 1,319 1,321 1,275 1,145	1,679 1,808 1,909 1,803 1,490 1,295 1,292 1,240	1,836 1,908 1,802 1,897 1,784 1,468 1,272 1,262	1,977 2,087 1,903 1,794 1,880 1,762 1,446 1,247	2,056 2,250 2,083 1,895 1,780 1,860 1,739 1,422	9.8 10.1 10.4 10.2 9.3 8.9 7.7 7.6	11.1 10.5 9.3 9.4 9.2 8.4 8.0 6.8	10.8 11.7 9.7 8.6 8.6 8.4 7.6 7.1	9.6 11.5 10.9 9.1 7.9 7.9 7.7 6.9	9.5 10.2 10.7 10.1 8.4 7.3 7.3 7.0	9.6 10.0 9.5 10.0 9.4 7.7 6.7 6.6	9.7 10.2 9.3 8.8 9.2 8.6 7.1 6.1	9.4 10.2 9.5 8.6 8.1 8.5 7.9 6.5
40 to 44 years. 45 to 49 years. 50 to 54 years. 55 to 59 years. 60 to 64 years. 65 to 69 years. 70 to 74 years. 75 years and over.	852 722 577 441 343 249 160 175	975 794 650 501 371 274 184 218	946 909 717 565 419 297 203 249	1,067 895 835 636 480 343 225 280	1,103 1,021 836 758 550 403 265 314	1,202 1,064 966 772 669 471 316 362	1,229 1,165 1,015 904 693 581 375 426	1,219 1,195 1,118 957 823 609 467 506	6.3 5.4 4.3 3.3 2.5 1.8 1.2 1.3	6'.7 5.5 4.5 3.4 2.5 1.9 1.3	6.0 5.8 4.6 3.6 2.7 1.9 1.3 1.6	6.4 5.4 5.0 3.8 2.9 2.1 1.3 1.7	6.2 5.7 4.7 4.3 3.1 2.3 1.5 1.8	6.3 5.6 5.1 4.1 3.5 2.5 1.7	6.0 5.7 5.0 4.4 3.4 2.8 1.8 2.1	5.5 5.4 5.1 4.4 3.7 2.8 2.1 2.3
14 years and over Median age (years)	$\substack{9,675\\25.2}$	$10,338 \\ 25.3$	$10,887 \\ 25.3$	11,673 25.7	$12,752 \\ 25.8$	$13,872 \\ 26.0$	$14,885 \\ 26.7$	$15,992 \\ 27,5$	71.9	71,0	. 69.6	70.1	71.8	72.8	72.7	72.8
Adjusted for census underenumeration of children								·								
All agesUnder 5 years	$13,691 \\ 1,548$	14,804 1,850	15,889 1,938	16,891 1,827	18,013 1,925	19,320 2,105	$20,774 \\ 2,267$	22,281 2,357								
Male, all ages	6,613	7,155	7,671	8,167	8,715	9,357	10,079	10,838	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100,0	100.0	100.0
Under 5 years	653 674 693 664 579 559 497	807 769 674 686 653 568 544 479	845 918 764 667 672 635 548 521	797 963 914 758 656 657 617 529	841 910 959 907 748 644 641 599	920 961 907 953 897 736 631 626	991 1,052 959 903 944 885 724 618	1,031 1,135 1,050 954 896 934 873 711	9.9 10.2 10.5 10.0 8.8 8.4 7.5 7.4	11.3 10.7 9.4 9.6 9.1 7.9 7.6 6.7	11.0 12.0 10.0 8.7 8.8 8.3 7.1 6.8	9.8 11.8 11.2 9.3 8.0 7.6 6.5	9.6 10.4 11.0 10.4 8.6 7.4 7.4 6.9	9.8 10.3 9.7 10.2 9.6 7.9 6.7 6.7	9.8 10.4 9.5 9.0 9.4 8.8 7.2 6.1	9.5 10.5 9.7 8.8 8.3 8.6 8.1 6.6
40 to 44 years	424 367 301 235 180 132 81 82	465 393 326 259 197 142 95	452 431 351 281 215 155 103 114	497 426 393 309 237 174 115	509 474 395 354 266 197 131	579 489 446 363 311 225 151	608 560 465 415 325 268 176 186	603 590 536 437 377 283 211 216	6.4 5.5 4.6 3.6 2.7 2.0 1.2	6.5 5.5 4.6 3.6 2.7 2.0 1.3 1.4	5.9 5.6 4.6 3.7 2.8 2.0 1.3 1.5	6.1 5.2 4.8 3.8 2.9 2.1 1.4 1.6	5.8 5.4 4.5 4.1 3.0 2.3 1.5	6.2 5.2 4.8 3.9 3.3 2.4 1.6	6.0 5.6 4.6 4.1 3.2 2.7 1.7	5.6 5.4 4.9 4.0 3.5 2.6 1.9 2.0
14 years and over Median age (years)	$\frac{4,731}{25.4}$	$5.040 \\ 24.9$	5,287 24.8	5,665 25,0	$\frac{6,197}{25,0}$	$6,754 \\ 25,3$	7,262 26,1	7,824 26,9	71.5	70.4	68.9	69,4	71.1	72.2	72.1	72.2
Adjusted for census underenumeration of children					20,0	20.0	20.1	20.0						,		******
All agesUnder 5 years	6,737 778	7,280 931	7,802 975	8,289 920	8,845 970	$9,499 \\ 1,062$	10,232 1,144	10,997 1,190								
Female, all ages	6,841	7,413	7,971	8,491	9,052	9,694	10,405	11,142	100.0	100.0	100.0	100,0	100,0	100.0	100.0	100,0
Under 5 years	659 681 700 705 669 634 539 537	807 763 680 691 691 654 617 519	846 907 759 673 677 674 635 595	797 953 903 753 662 664 658 616	839 898 950 896 743 651 651 642	916 947 895 944 887 733 641 637	986 1,035 944 891 935 877 722 629	1,025 1,115 1,033 940 884 926 866 711	9.6 10.0 10.2 10.3 9.8 9.3 7.9 7.9	10.9 10.3 9.2 9.3 9.3 8.8 8.3 7.0	10.6 11.4 9.5 8.4 8.5 8.5 8.0 7.5	9.4 11.2 10.6 8.9 7.8 7.8 7.8 7.2	9.3 9.9 10.5 9.9 8.2 7.2 7.1	9.4 9.8 9.2 9.7 9.1 7.6 6.6 6.6	9.5 9.9 9.1 8.6 9.0 8.4 6.9 6.0	9.2 10.0 9.3 8.4 7.9 8.3 7.8 6.4
40 to 44 years	428 356 276 206 162 117 79 93	510 401 324 242 175 131 89 118	494 478 366 284 204 142 100 135	571 469 442 327 243 169 110 154	595 548 441 404 285 206 134 172	623 575 520 409 358 246 165 200	621 605 550 488 369 313 199 240	615 605 582 520 446 326 256 290	6.3 5.2 4.0 3.0 2.4 1.7 1.2 1.4	6.9 5.4 4.4 3.3 2.4 1.8 1.2	6.2 6.0 4.6 3.6 2.6 1.8 1.3	6.7 5.5 5.2 3.9 2.9 2.0 1.3 1.8	6.6 6.0 4.5 3.1 2.3 1.5	6.4 5.9 5.4 4.2 3.7 2.5 1.7	6.0 5.8 5.3 4.7 3.5 3.0 1.9 2.3	5.5 5.4 5.2 4.7 4.0 2.3 2.6
14 years and over Median age (years)	4,944 25.1	$5,299 \\ 25.6$	5,600 25,9	6,008 26,3	$\frac{6,555}{26,5}$	7,118 26,8	7,622 27,3	8,168 28,1	72.3	71.5	70.3	70.8	72.4	73,4	73.3	73.3
Adjusted for census underenumeration of children				_5.5	-0.0	-0.0	-7.0	20.1			***************************************				•	
All ages. Under 5 years	6,953 770	$7,525 \\ 918$	8,088 964	8,601 907	9,168 955	9,821 1,043	10,542 1,123	$\frac{11,284}{1,167}$								••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••

¹Published totals were obtained by rounding computed totals and hence are not always exactly equal to the sum of the rounded figures shown by color, nativity, age, and sex.

Table VII.—FORECASTS OF THE POPULATION, BY COLOR, NATIVITY, AGE, AND SEX, ACCORDING TO ASSUMPTIONS OF HIGH FERTILITY (15,000,000 BIRTHS DURING 1945–50), LOW MORTALITY, AND NET IMMIGRATION OF 1,000,000 PERSONS DURING EACH 5-YEAR PERIOD AFTER JULY 1, 1945, FOR THE UNITED STATES: 1950 TO 1975

			POPU	LATION (I	N THOUSA	NDS)1					PER	CENT DIS	TRIBUTIO	n		
COLOR, NATIVITY AGE, AND SEX	1940 ² (Census)	1945	1950	1955	1960	1965	1970	1975	1940	1945	1950	1955	1960	1965	1970	1975
ALL CLASSES Total, all ages	131,669	139,621	147,986	155,126	162,011	169,270	177,118	185,071	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 5 years	10,542 10,685 11,746 12,334 11,588 11,097 10,242 9,545	13,147 11,347 10,649 11,652 12,158 11,470 11,007 10,151	13,509 13,940 11,373 10,721 11,854 12,273 11,443 10,867	12,435 14,337 13,965 11,450 10,943 11,987 12,257 11,322	12,441 13,212 14,370 14,039 11,678 11,098 11,990 12,146	13,089 13,234 13,254 14,451 14,265 11,839 11,121 11,897	14,025 13,935 13,282 13,345 14,682 14,421 11,867 11,052	14,562 14,935 13,985 13,376 13,589 14,846 14,443 11,801	8.0 8.1 8.9 9.4 8.8 8.4 7.8 7.2	9.4 8.1 7.6 8.3 8.7 8.2 7.9 7.3	9.1 9.4 7.7 7.2 8.0 8.3 7.7 7.3	8.0 9.2 9.0 7.4 7.1 7.7 7.9 7.3	7.7 8.2 8.9 8.7 7.2 6.9 7.5	7.7 7.8 7.8 8.5 8.4 7.0 6.6 7.0	7.9 7.5 7.5 8.3 8.1 6.7 6.2	7.9 8.1 7.6 7.2 7.3 8.0 7.8 6.4
40 to 44 years. 45 to 49 years. 50 to 54 years. 50 to 59 years. 60 to 64 years. 65 to 69 years. 70 to 74 years. 75 years and over.	8,788 8,255 7,257 5,868 4,760 3,748 2,561 2,655	9,401 8,551 7,884 6,789 5,306 4,071 2,962 3,077	9,941 9,124 8,170 7,356 6,107 4,556 3,227 3,523	10,674 9,707 8,774 7,687 6,661 5,317 3,661 3,950	11,147 10,464 9,397 8,333 7,022 5,883 4,324 4,468	11,979 10,960 10,181 9,002 7,688 6,278 4,833 5,199	11,751 11,799 10,699 9,807 8,389 6,933 5,196 5,936	10,930 11,586 11,540 10,338 9,206 7,614 5,768 6,553	6.7 6.3 5.5 4.5 3.6 2.8 1.9 2.0	6.7 6.1 5.6 4.9 3.8 2.9 2.1 2.2	6.7 6.2 5.5 5.0 4.1 3.1 2.2 2.4	6.9 6.3 5.7 5.0 4.3 3.4 2.4 2.5	6.9 6.5 5.8 5.1 4.3 3.6 2.7 2.8	7.1 6.5 6.0 5.3 4.5 3.7 2.9 3.1	6.67 6.05 4.77 3.99 3.4	5.9 6.3 6.2 5.6 5.0 4.1 3.5
14 years and over Median age (years)	101,103 29.0	106,698 29.7	111,320 30,1	31.0	124,894 31.7	132,434 32.0	138,508 32.1	$144,320 \\ 32.5$	76.8	76.4	75,2	75.4	77.1	78.2	78.2	78.0
Adjusted for census underenumeration of children																
All ages Under 5 years	132,532 11,404	140,484 14,009	148,876 14,399	155,949 13,257	$162,842 \\ 13,272$	170,151 13,969	178,063 14,969	186,052 15,543								
Male, all ages	l .	69,695	73,742	77,236	80,670	84,358	88,408	92,571	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 5 years 5 to 9 years 10 to 14 years 15 to 19 years 20 to 24 years 25 to 29 years 30 to 34 years 35 to 39 years 35 to 39 years 35	5,419 5,952 6,180 5,692 5,451 5,070	6,702 5,777 5,399 5,895 6,035 5,590 5,385 5,014	6,890 7,123 5,794 5,441 6,031 6,112 5,575 5,294	6,345 7,329 7,139 5,839 5,588 6,117 6,102 5,495	6,351 6,759 7,351 7,182 5,989 5,686 6,116 6,026	6,684 6,773 6,785 7,398 7,331 6,090 5,697 6,049	7,164 7,135 6,803 6,839 7,550 7,429 6,104 5,643	7,441 7,650 7,166 6,858 6,997 7,653 7,440 6,052	8.1 8.2 9.0 9.4 8.6 8.3 7.7	9.6 8.3 7.7 8.5 8.7 8.0 7.7 7.2	9.3 9.7 7.9 7.4 8.2 8.3 7.6 7.2	8.2 9.5 9.2 7.6 7.2 7.9 7.1	7.9 8.4 9.1 8.9 7.4 7.0 7.6 7.5	7.9 8.0 8.8 8.7 7.2 6.8 7.2	8.1 8.1 7.7 7.7 8.5 8.4 6.9 6.4	8.0 8.3 7.7 7.4 7.6 8.3 8.0 6.5
40 to 44 years	4,419 4,209 3,753 3,025 2,413 1,869 1,265 1,244	4,661 4,275 3,977 3,455 2,677 2,006 1,423 1,422	4,880 4,492 4,043 3,656 3,042 2,239 1,538 1,591	5,171 4,736 4,283 3,755 3,246 2,587 1,744 1,760	5,383 5,044 4,553 4,024 3,369 2,807 2,044 1,986	5,916 5,269 4,878 4,322 3,655 2,957 2,245 2,308	5,950 5,804 5,117 4,662 3,973 3,242 2,386 2,607	5,558 5,845 5,650 4,910 4,325 3,551 2,634 2,843	6.7 6.4 5.7 4.6 3.7 2.8 1.9	6.7 6.1 5.7 5.0 3.8 2.9 2.0 2.0	6.6 6.1 5.5 5.0 4.1 3.0 2.1 2.2	6.7 6.1 5.5 4.9 4.2 3.3 2.3	6.7 6.3 5.6 5.0 4.2 3.5 2.5	7.0 6.2 5.8 5.1 4.3 3.5 2.7 2.7	6.7 6.6 5.8 5.3 4.5 3.7 2.7 2.9	6.0 6.3 6.1 5.3 4.7 3.8 2.8 3.1
14 years and over Median age (years)	50,554 29.1	52,943 29,5	55,032 29.6	57,755 30.2	61,696 30.8	65,518 31.0	68,654 31.1	71,714 31.7	76.5	76.0	74.6	74.8	76.5	77.7	77 .7	77.5
Adjusted for census underenumeration of children											,				5	
All ages Under 5 years	66,518 5,811	70,152 7,158	74,213 7,361	77,672 6,781	81,110 6,791	84,825 7,151	88,909 7,665	93,092 7,961								
Female, all ages	65,608	69,926	74,244	77,890	81,341	84,913	88,710	92,500	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0 7.5	7.5	7.7	7.7
Under 5 years		6,445 5,570 5,250 5,756 6,122 5,880 5,621 5,137	6,620 6,817 5,579 5,280 5,823 6,161 5,868 5,573	6,090 7,008 6,826 5,611 5,355 5,870 6,155 -5,827	6,090 6,453 7,020 6,857 5,689 5,412 5,873 6,120	6,405 6,461 6,469 7,053 6,934 5,748 5,424 5,848	6,861 6,800 6,479 6,506 7,133 6,991 5,763 5,409	7,121 7,285 6,819 6,518 6,592 7,193 7,003 5,749	7.9 8.0 8.8 9.4 9.0 8.6 7.9 7.3	9.2 8.0 7.5 8.2 8.8 8.4 8.0 7.3	8.9 9.2 7.5 7.1 7.8 8.3 7.9 7.5	7.8 9.0 8.8 7.2 6.9 7.5 7.5 7.5	7.9 8.6 8.4 7.0 6.7 7.2 7.5	7.6 7.6 8.3 8.2 6.8 6.4 6.9	7.7 7.3 7.3 8.0 7.9 6.5 6.1	7.9 7.4 7.0 7.1 7.8 7.6 6.2
40 to 44 years	3,504 2,843 2,347 1,879 1,296	4,739 4,277 3,907 3,334 2,629 2,065 1,539 1,655	5,061 4,633 4,127 3,700 3,065 2,318 1,689 1,932	5,502 4,970 4,491 3,932 3,416 2,731 1,917 2,190	5,764 5,420 4,845 4,309 3,653 3,076 2,280 2,481	6,062 5,691 5,303 4,681 4,033 3,321 2,588 2,892	5,801 5,995 5,582 5,145 4,416 3,691 2,810 3,329	5,372 5,742 5,890 5,429 4,882 4,063 3,134 3,710	6.7 6.2 5.3 4.3 3.6 2.9 2.0 2.2	6.8 6.1 5.6 4.8 3.8 3.0 2.2 2.4	6.8 6.2 5.6 5.0 4.1 3.1 2.3 2.6	7.1 6.4 5.8 5.0 4.4 3.5 2.5 2.8	7.1 6.7 6.0 5.3 4.5 3.8 2.8 3.1	7.1 6.7 6.2 5.5 4.7 3.9 3.0 3.4	6.5 6.8 6.3 5.8 5.0 4.2 3.2 3.8	5.8 6.2 6.4 5.9 5.3 4.4 3.4 4.0
14 years and over Median age (years)	50,549 29.0	53,755 29.9	56,288 30.7	59,243 31.8	63,198 32.7	66,916 33.1	69,854 33.1	72,606 33.4	77,0	76.9	75.8	76.1	77.7	78.8	78.7	78,5
Adjusted for census underenumeration of children All ages	66,014	70,332 6,851	74,663 7,038	78,277 6,476	81,732 6,481		89,153 7,304									

¹Published totals were obtained by rounding computed totals and hence are not always exactly equal to the sum of the rounded figures shown by color, nativity, age, and sex.

²The figures for the population 55 years old and over have been adjusted for age biases in the nonwhite population as enumerated.

FORECASTS OF THE POPULATION OF THE UNITED STATES

TABLE VII.—FORECASTS OF THE POPULATION, BY COLOR, NATIVITY, AGE, AND SEX, ACCORDING TO ASSUMPTIONS OF HIGH FERTILITY (15,000,000 BIRTHS DURING 1945–50), LOW MORTALITY, AND NET IMMIGRATION OF 1,000,000 PERSONS DURING EACH 5-YEAR PERIOD AFTER JULY 1, 1945, FOR THE UNITED STATES: 1950 TO 1975—Continued

(Percent not shown where less than 0.1)

					(Percen	t not show	vn where l	ess than 0	.1)							
COLOR, NATIVITY,			POPU	LATION (I	N THOUSA	NDS)1					PER	CENT DIS	TRIBUTIO)N		
AGE, AND SEX	1940 ² (Census)	1945	1950	1955	1960	1965	1970	1975	1940	1945	1950	1955	1960	1965	1970	1975
TOTAL WHITE																
Total, all ages		125,053	132,344	138,469	144,244	150,219	156,633	163,091	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 5 years. 5 to 9 years. 10 to 14 years. 15 to 19 years. 20 to 24 years. 25 to 29 years. 30 to 34 years. 35 to 39 years.	9,329 10,353 10,964 10,340 9,904 9,904	11,533 9,815 9,295 10,275 10,814 10,248 9,846 9,154	11,818 12,115 9,850 9,381 10,504 10,964 10,260 9,752	10,841 12,422 12,148 9,940 9,624 10,667 10,982 10,177	10,762 11,404 12,462 12,236 10,188 9,803 10,698 10,905	11,253 11,327 11,452 12,554 12,481 10,370 9,849 10,635	12,047 11,847 11,379 11,551 12,803 12,659 10,420 9,804	12,506 12,685 11,901 11,481 11,809 12,985 12,703 10,378	7.8 7.9 8.8 9.3 8.7 8.4 7.8 7.2	9.2 7.8 7.4 8.2 8.6 8.2 7.9 7.3	8.9 9.2 7.4 7.1 7.9 8.3 7.8 7.4	7.8 9.0 8.8 7.2 7.0 7.7 7.9 7.3	7.5 7.9 8.6 8.5 7.1 6.8 7.4 7.6	7.5 7.6 8.4 8.3 6.9 6.6 7.1	7.7 7.6 7.3 7.4 8.2 8.1 6.7 6.3	7.7 7.8 7.3 7.0 7.2 8.0 7.8 6.4
40 to 44 years 45 to 49 years 50 to 54 years 55 to 59 years 60 to 64 years 65 to 69 years 70 to 74 years 75 years and over	7,533 6,680 5,427 4,417 3,499 2,401	8,426 7,757 7,234 6,288 4,935 3,797 2,778 2,859	8,995 8,215 7,453 6,791 5,688 4,260 3,024 3,274	9,606 8,812 7,939 7,050 6,181 4,974 3,436 3,669	10,044 9,443 8,562 7,575 6,472 5,480 4,059 4,154	10,777 9,896 9,215 8,230 7,019 5,807 4,517 4,838	10,523 10,634 9,684 8,903 7,695 6,352 4,822 5,510	9,711 10,391 10,422 9,381 8,383 7,005 5,301 6,046	6.7 6.4 5.7 4.6 3.7 3.0 2.0 2.1	6.7 6.2 5.8 5.0 3.9 3.0 2.2 2.3	6.8 6.2 5.6 5.1 4.3 3.2 2.3 2.5	6.9 6.4 5.7 5.1 4.5 3.6 2.5 2.6	7.0 6.5 5.9 5.3 4.5 3.8 2.8 2.9	7.2 6.6 6.1 5.5 4.7 3.9 3.0 3.2	6.7 6.8 6.2 5.7 4.9 4.1 3.1 3.5	6.0 6.4 6.4 5.8 5.1 4.3 3.3
14 years and over Median age (years)	91,428 29.5	96,360 30.3	100,433 30.8	105,325 31.6	112,142 32.5	118,561 32.9	123,623 32,9	128,328 33.2	77.3	77.1	75.9	76.1	77.7	78.9	78.9	78.7
Adjusted for census underenumeration of children																
All agesUnder 5 years	118,841 9,856	125,680 12,160	132,987 12,461	139,058 11,430	144,828 11,346	150,830 11,865	157,288 12,702	163,771 13,186								
Male, all ages		62,540	66,070	69,070	71,954	75,000	78,329	81,733	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 5 years	4,745 5,259 5,516 5,114 4,892 4,573	5,895 5,008 4,725 5,210 5,382 5,022 4,842 4,536	6,045 6,204 5,030 4,774 5,359 5,477 5,027 4,773	5,548 6,367 6,226 5,081 4,931 5,460 5,485 4,966	5,510 5,849 6,392 6,276 5,241 5,042 5,475 5,427	5,764 5,812 5,878 6,445 6,434 5,355 5,066 5,424	6,173 6,082 5,844 5,936 6,605 6,544 5,380 5,025	6,410 6,515 6,115 5,904 6,102 6,719 6,566 5,341	7.9 8.0 8.8 9.3 8.6 8.2 7.7 7.2	9.4 8.0 7.6 8.3 8.6 8.0 7.7 7.3	9.1 9.4 7.6 7.2 8.1 8.3 7.6 7.2	8.0 9.2 9.0 7.4 7.1 7.9 7.9 7.2	7.7 8.1 8.9 8.7 7.3 7.0 7.6 7.5	7.7 7.8 8.6 8.6 7.1 6.8 7.2	7.9 7.8 7.5 7.6 8.4 8.4 6.9 6.4	7.8 8.0 7.5 7.2 7.5 8.2 8.0 6.5
40 to 44 years	3,843 3,452 2,790 2,232	4,196 3,882 3,651 3,196 2,481 1,864 1,329 1,322	4,428 4,061 3,692 3,375 2,828 2,084 1,435 1,478	4,675 4,310 3,890 3,446 3,009 2,413 1,629 1,633	4,874 4,570 4,158 3,670 3,103 2,610 1,913 1,845	5,337 4,780 4,432 3,959 3,344 2,731 2,094 2,146	5,342 5,244 4,652 4,246 3,648 2,974 2,211 2,421	4,955 5,255 5,114 4,473 3,948 3,268 2,422 2,627	6.7 6.5 5.8 4.7 3.8 2.9 2.0 2.0	6.7 6.2 5.8 5.1 4.0 3.0 2.1 2.1	6.7 6.1 5.6 5.1 4.3 3.2 2.2 2.2	6.8 6.2 5.6 5.0 4.4 3.5 2.4 2.4	6.8 6.4 5.8 5.1 4.3 3.6 2.7 2.6	7.1 6.4 5.9 5.3 4.5 3.6 2.8 2.9	6.8 6.7 5.9 5.4 4.7 3.8 2.8 3.1	6.1 6.4 6.3 5.5 4.8 4.0 3.0
14 years and over Median age (years)	45,823 29,5	47,903 30.0	49,745 30.1	52,090 30,8	55,499 31.5	58,764 31.8	61,391 31.8	63,890 32,4	77.1	76.6	75.3	75.4	77.1	78.4	78.4	78.2
Adjusted for census underenumeration of children		00.0		00.0		31.5	01.6	32.4			••	************				
All ages Under 5 years	59,781 5,034	62,872 6,227	66,411 6,386	69,383 5,861	72,265 5,821	75,326 6,089	78,677 6,521	82,094 6,771	•••				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·		·••••
Female, all ages		62,513	66,274	69,399	72,289	75,218	78,305	81,358	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 5 years. 5 to 9 years. 10 to 14 years. 15 to 19 years. 20 to 24 years. 25 to 29 years. 30 to 34 years. 35 to 39 years.	4.584	5,639 4,806 4,570 5,065 5,431 5,226 5,004 4,618	5,773 5,910 4,820 4,607 5,146 5,486 5,233 4,978	5,293 6,055 5,923 4,858 4,693 5,207 5,496 5,211	5,252 5,555 6,070 5,960 4,946 4,761 5,223 5,478	5,489 5,514 5,574 6,109 6,047 5,016 4,783 5,212	5,874 5,765 5,535 5,615 6,197 6,115 5,040 4,780	6,096 6,170 5,786 5,577 5,707 6,266 6,137 5,038	7.7 7.8 8.7 9.3 8.9 8.5 7.9	9.0 7.7 7.3 8.1 8.7 8.4 8.0 7.4	8.7 8.9 7.3 7.0 7.8 8.3 7.9 7.5	7.6 8.7 8.5 7.0 6.8 7.5 7.9	7.3 7.7 8.4 8.2 6.8 6.6 7.2 7.6	7.3 7.3 7.4 8.1 8.0 6.7 6.4 6.9	7.5 7.4 7.1 7.2 7.9 7.8 6.4 6.1	7.5 7.6 7.1 6.9 7.0 7.7
40 to 44 years	3,941 3,690 3,229 2,637 2,184 1,762 1,217 1,318	4,229 3,875 3,583 3,092 2,454 1,933 1,449 1,537	4,567 4,154 3,761 3,416 2,861 2,176 1,589 1,796	4,931 4,501 4,049 3,605 3,172 2,561 1,807 2,036	5,169 4,873 4,404 3,905 3,368 2,870 2,146 2,309	5,439 5,116 4,783 4,272 3,674 3,075 2,423 2,691	5,180 5,390 5,032 4,656 4,047 3,378 2,611 3,089	4,756 5,137 5,308 4,908 4,435 3,737 2,879 3,420	6.7 6.3 5.5 4.5 3.7 3.0 2.1 2.2	6.8 6.2 5.7 4.9 3.1 2.3 2.5	6.937 6.55 5.233 4.33 2.7	7.1 6.5 5.8 5.2 4.6 3.7 2.6 2.9	7.2 6.7 6.1 5.4 4.7 4.0 3.0	7.2 6.8 6.4 5.7 4.9 4.1 3.2	6.6 6.9 6.4 5.9 5.2 4.3 3.3	6.2 5.8 6.3 6.5 6.0 5.5 4.6 3.5
14 years and over Median age (years)	45,605 29,5	48,457 30,5	50,688 31,3	53,235 32,4	56,643 33,4	59,797	62,231	64,438	77.6	2.5 77.5	76.5	2.9 76.7	3.2 78.4	3,6 7 9,5	3,9 79.5	4.2 79.2
Adjusted for census underenumeration of children	-0.0	50,0	01.0	02.4	vo.4	34.0	34.0	34.1								
All ages	59,060 4,822	62,808 5,933	66,575 6,075	69,676 5,569	72,563 5,526	75,505 5,775	78,611 6,181	81,677 6,415								
1D-111-1-1-1-1-				, •	.,	-,	٠, ١٠٠١	0,110			I					

¹Published totals were obtained by rounding computed totals and hence are not always exactly equal to the sum of the rounded figures shown by color, nativity, age, and sex.

TABLE VII.—FORECASTS OF THE POPULATION, BY COLOR, NATIVITY, AGE, AND SEX, ACCORDING TO ASSUMPTIONS OF HIGH FERTILITY (15,000,000 BIRTHS DURING 1945-50), LOW MORTALITY, AND NET IMMIGRATION OF 1,000,000 PERSONS DURING EACH 5-YEAR PERIOD AFTER JULY 1, 1945, FOR THE UNITED STATES: 1950 TO 1975—Continued

			POPU	LATION (II	N THOUSAR	NDS)1					PEI	CENT DI	STRIBUTI	on		
COLOR, NATIVITY, AGE, AND SEX	1940 ² (Census)	1945	1950	1955	1960	1965	1970	1975	1940	1945	1950	1955	1960	1965	1970	1975
NATIVE WHITE Total, all ages	106,796	114,805	122,440	128,879	134,933	141,150	147,752	154,313	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 5 years	9,221 9,307 10,299 10,799 10,131 9,480 8,497	11,529 9,799 9,265 10,205 10,623 10,008 9,404 8,444	11,800 12,064 9,764 9,223 10,137 10,536 9,911 9,281	10,823 12,357 12,027 9,725 9,170 10,064 10,447 9,798	10,743 11,339 12,326 11,987 9,677 9,113 9,988 10,341	11,235 11,262 11,316 12,290 11,936 9,624 9,052 9,898	12,029 11,783 11,243 11,288 12,243 11,878 9,568 8,980	12,488 12,620 11,766 11,218 11,250 12,190 11,815 9,498	8.6 8.7 9.6 10.1 9.5 8.9 8.0 7.0	10.0 8.5 8.1 8.9 9.3 8.7 8.2 7.4	9.6 9.9 8.0 7.5 8.3 8.6 8.1 7.6	8.4 9.6 9.3 7.5 7.1 7.8 8.1 7.6	8.0 8.4 9.1 8.9 7.2 6.8 7.4 7.7	8.0 8.0 8.7 8.5 6.8 6.4 7.0	8.1 8.0 7.6 7.6 8.3 8.0 6.5 6.1	8.1 8.2 7.6 7.3 7.3 7.9 7.7
40 to 44 years	6,673 6,029 5,115 4,108 3,348 2,687	7,392 6,532 5,809 4,836 3,752 2,897 2,155 2,156	8,290 7,201 6,274 5,459 4,390 3,258 2,326 2,525	9,134 8,112 6,954 5,937 4,981 3,858 2,648 2,845	9,660 8,969 7,874 6,633 5,457 4,430 3,168 3,226	10,210 9,508 8,743 7,564 6,150 4,906 3,669 3,785	9,784 10,065 9,295 8,440 7,072 5,572 4,087 4,425	8,886 9,654 9,856 8,996 7,945 6,440 4,660 5,033	6.2 5.6 4.8 3.8 3.1 2.5 1.7	6.4 5.7 5.1 4.2 3.3 2.5 1.9	6.8 5.9 5.1 4.5 3.6 2.7 1.9 2.1	7.1 6.3 5.4 4.6 3.9 3.0 2.1 2.2	7.2 6.6 5.8 4.9 4.0 3.3 2.3 2.4	7.2 6.7 6.2 5.4 4.4 3.5 2.6 2.7	6.6 6.8 6.3 5.7 4.8 3.8 2.8 3.0	5.8 6.4 5.8 5.1 4.2 3.0 3.3
14 years and over Median age (years)	80,077 26.9	86,153 28.0	90,663 28.9	95,910 30.1	103,017 31.1	109,677 31.6	114,926 31.8	119,734 32.4	75,0	75.0	74.0	74.4	76.3	77.7	77.8	77.6
Adjusted for census underenumeration of children															:	
All ages Under 5 years	107,422 9,847	115,431 12,155	123,082 12,442	129,467 11,411	135,517 11,327	141,761 11,846	148,406 12,683	154,992 13,167								
Male, all ages	53,438	57,206	60,946	64,122	67,149	70,303	73,694	77,099 6,399	8.8	100.0	9.9	8.6	100.0	8.2	8.4	100.0 8.3
Under 5 years	4,734 5,232 5,434 5,015 4,698 4,230	5,893 5,000 4,710 5,172 5,285 4,904 4,637 4,193	6,035 6,174 4,979 4,683 5,134 5,234 4,848 4,566	5,537 6,328 6,153 4,954 4,652 5,091 5,183 4,784	5,499 5,810 6,310 6,127 4,927 4,619 5,047 5,122	5,753 5,773 5,797 6,287 6,097 4,896 4,584 4,995	6,162 6,043 5,762 5,779 6,260 6,063 4,863 4,542	6,476 6,034 5,746 5,757 6,229 6,027 4,822	8.9 9.8 10.2 9.4 8.8 7.9 7.0	8.7 8.2 9.0 9.2 8.6 8.1 7.3	10.1 8.2 7.7 8.4 8.6 8.0 7.5	9.9 9.6 7.7 7.3 7.9 8.1 7.5	8.2 8.7 9.4 9.1 7.3 6.9 7.5 7.6	8.2 8.2 8.9 8.7 7.0 6.5 7.1	8.2 7.8 7.8 8.5 8.2 6.6 6.2	8.4 7.8 7.5 7.5 8.1 7.8 6.3
40 to 44 years	3,338 3,026 2,568 2,054	3,675 3,250 2,886 2,390 1,832 1,392 1,013 975	4,102 3,561 3,094 2,674 2,121 1,547 1,079 1,115	4,481 3,997 3,413 2,891 2,389 1,818 1,217 1,234	4,705 4,384 3,856 3,222 2,608 2,079 1,448 1,387	5,047 4,617 4,251 3,672 2,939 2,299 1,673 1,622	4,928 4,962 4,493 4,073 3,385 2,616 1,865 1,895	4,487 4,851 4,839 4,320 3,787 3,034 2,134 2,157	6.2 5.7 4.8 3.8 3.1 2.5 1.6	6.4 5.7 5.0 4.2 3.2 2.4 1.8 1.7	6.7 5.8 5.1 4.4 3.5 2.5 1.8	7.0 6.2 5.3 4.5 3.7 2.8 1.9	7.0 6.5 5.7 4.8 3.9 3.1 2.2 2.1	7.2 6.6 6.0 5.2 4.2 3.3 2.4 2.3	6.7 6.7 6.1 5.5 4.6 3.5 2.5	5.8 6.3 6.3 5.6 4.9 3.9 2.8 2.8
14 years and over Median age (years)	39,846 26.7	42,591 27.6	44,701 28,3	47,247 29.4	50,805 30.3	54,177 30.6	56,868 30.8	59,367 31.6	74.6	74.5	73,3	73.7	75.7	77.1	77.2	77.0
Adjusted for census underenumeration of children																
All ages Under 5 years	53,770 5,029	57,538 6,225	61,287 6,375	64,434 5,850	67,459 5,809	70,627 6,078	74,042 6,510	77,460 6,760								
Female, all ages		57,599	61,494	64,757	67,784	70,847	74,058 5,867	77,215 6,089	100.0 8.5	9.8	9.4	8.2	7.7	7.7	7.9	7.9
Under 5 years	4,574 5,067	5,636 4,799 4,555 5,032 5,339 5,105 4,768 4,251	5,766 5,890 4,785 4,540 5,004 5,302 5,063 4,715	5,286 6,029 5,875 4,771 4,518 4,974 5,264 5,014	5,244 5,529 6,016 5,860 4,750 4,494 4,942 5,219	5,481 5,489 5,520 6,003 5,839 4,729 4,469 4,904	5,739 5,481 5,509 5,983 5,815 4,705 4,438	6,145 5,732 5,472 5,493 5,961 5,788 4,675	8.6 9.5 10.1 9.6 9.0 8.0 7.0	8.3 7.9 8.7 9.3 8.9 8.3 7.4	9.6 7.8 7.4 8.1 8.6 8.2 7.7	9.3 9.1 7.4 7.0 7.7 8.1 7.7	8.2 8.9 8.6 7.0 6.6 7.3 7.7	7.7 7.8 8.5 8.2 6.7 6.3 6.9	7.7 7.4 7.4 8.1 7.9 6.4 6.0	8.0 7.4 7.1 7.7 7.5 6.1
40 to 44 years	3,335 3,003 2,546 2,054 1,689 1,372 925 995	3,716 3,282 2,922 2,447 1,919 1,505 1,141 1,181	4,187 3,640 3,180 2,786 2,269 1,711 1,247 1,410	4,653 4,115 3,541 3,046 2,592 2,040 1,431 1,611	4,954 4,585 4,018 3,412 2,849 2,352 1,720 1,839	5,164 4,891 4,492 3,893 3,211 2,607 1,995 2,163	4,856 5,104 4,801 4,367 3,687 2,956 2,221 2,530	4,399 4,803 5,017 4,677 4,157 3,406 2,526 2,876	6.2 5.6 4.8 3.8 3.2 2.6 1.7	6.5 5.7 5.1 4.2 3.3 2.6 2.0 2.0	6.8 5.9 5.2 4.5 3.7 2.8 2.0 2.3	7.2 6.4 5.5 4.7 4.0 3.2 2.2 2.5	7.3 6.8 5.9 5.0 4.2 3.5 2.5 2.7	7.3 6.9 6.3 5.5 4.5 3.7 2.8	6.6 6.5 5.9 5.0 4.0 3.4	5.7 6.2 6.5 6.1 5.4 4.4 3.3 3.7
14 years and over Median age (years)	40,231 27,1	43,562 28.4	45,963 29.5	48,662 30.9	52,212 32.0	55,500 32.6	58,059 32.8	60,368 33.2	75.4	75.6	74.7	75,1	77.0	78.3	78.4	78.2
Adjusted for census underenumeration of children																
All agesUnder 5 years	53,652 4,818	57,893 5,931	61,795 6,067	65,033 5,561	68,058 5,518	71,133 5,767	74,365 6,173	77,532 6,407								

¹Published totals were obtained by rounding computed totals and hence are not always exactly equal to the sum of the rounded figures shown by color, nativity, age, and sex.

Table VII.—FORECASTS OF THE POPULATION, BY COLOR, NATIVITY, AGE, AND SEX, ACCORDING TO ASSUMPTIONS OF HIGH FERTILITY (15,000,000 BIRTHS DURING 1945–50), LOW MORTALITY, AND NET IMMIGRATION OF 1,000,000 PERSONS DURING EACH 5-YEAR PERIOD AFTER JULY 1, 1945, FOR THE UNITED STATES: 1950 TO 1975—Continued

							own where	iess titali	0.1)	·						
COLOR, NATIVITY, AGE, AND SEX					N THOUSAN		ı			1	PE	RCENT DI	STRIBUTI	ON	1	1
	1940 ² (Census)	1945	1950	1955	1960	1965	1970	1975	1940	1945	1950	1955	1960	1965	1970	1975
FOREIGN-BORN WHITE			-													
Total, all ages	11,419	10,248	9,904	9,590	9,310	9,069	8,881	8,778	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 5 years	8 22 54 165	4 16 30 70	18 50 86 158	18 65 121 214	18 65 135 249	18 65 135 263	18 65 135 263	18 65 135 264	0.1 0.2 0.5 1.4	0.2 0.3 0.7	0.2 0.5 0.9 1.6	0.2 0.7 1.3 2.2	0.2 0.7 1.5 2.7	0.2 0.7 1.5 2.9	0.2 0.7 1.5 3.0	0.2 0.7 1.5 3.0
25 to 29 years	210 424 709 1,048	191 240 441 709	367 428 349 470	454 603 535 379	510 690 709 564	545 746 796 737	560 781 853 824	560 796 888 881	1.8 3.7 6.2 9.2	1.9 2.3 4.3 6.9	3.7 4.3 3.5 4.7	4.7 6.3 5.6 4.0	5.5 7.4 7.6 6.1	6.0 8.2 8.8 8.1	6.3 8.8 9.6 9.3	6.4 9.1 10.1 10.0
40 to 44 years	1,263 1,504 1,566 1 319 1,069 813 602	1,034 1,225 1,425 1,452 1,183 901 624	706 1,014 1,179 1,332 1,298 1,002 698	472 700 985 1,113 1,200 1,116 789	384 474 688 941 1,015 1,050 891	567 388 472 666 869 901 848	738 568 390 463 623 780 735	825 738 566 385 439 565 641	11.1 13.2 13.7 11.5 9.4 7.1 5.3	10.1 12.0 13.9 14.2 11.5 8.8 6.1	7.1 10.2 11.9 13.4 13.1 10.1 7.0	4.9 7.3 10.3 11.6 12.5 11.6 8.2	4.1 5.1 7.4 10.1 10.9 11.3	6.2 4.3 5.2 7.3 9.6 9.9 9.4	8.3 6.4 4.4 5.2 7.0 8.8 8.3	9.4 8.4 6.5 4.4 5.0 6.4
75 years and over	645 11,351 51.0	703 10,206	749 9,770	824 9,415	928 9,126	1,052 8,885	1,085 8,697	1,014 8,594	5.6 99.4	6.9 99.6	7.6 98.6	8.6 98.2	9.6 10.0 98.0	11.6 98.0	12.2 97.9	7.3 11.5 97.9
Adjusted for census underenumeration of children	51,0	54.1	55.5	56.1	55.9	52.9	46.8	44.7								
All ages Under 5 years	11,420 9	10,248 5	9,905 19	9,591 19	9,311 19	9,070 19	8,882 19	8,779 19			· 					
Male, all ages	6,011	5,334	5,124	4,948	4,805	4,698	4,635	4,634	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 5 years. 5 to 9 years. 10 to 14 years. 15 to 19 years. 20 to 24 years. 25 to 29 years. 30 to 34 years. 35 to 39 years.	4 11 27 82 99 194 343 530	2 8 15 37 98 118 205 343	11 30 51 92 225 244 178 207	11 39 73 127 279 370 303 181	11 39 82 149 314 423 428 305	11 39 82 158 336 459 482 429	11 39 82 158 345 481 517 483	11 39 82 158 345 490 540 518	0.1 0.2 0.5 1.4 1.6 3.2 5.7 8.8	0.2 0.3 0.7 1.8 2.2 3.8 6.4	0.2 0.6 1.0 1.8 4.4 4.8 3.5 4.0	0.2 0.8 1.5 2.6 5.6 7.5 6.1 3.7	0.2 0.8 1.7 3.1 6.5 8.8 8.9 6.3	0.2 0.8 1.7 3.4 7.2 9.8 10.3 9.1	0.2 0.8 1.8 3.4 7.4 10.4 11.2 10.4	0.2 0.8 1.8 3.4 7.4 10.6 11.6
40 to 44 years	657 817 883 736 573 423 310 322	521 632 764 807 648 472 316 347	326 499 598 702 707 537 356 362	194 313 477 555 620 596 412 399	169 186 302 448 496 532 465 458	291 162 181 287 406 432 421 524	414 282 159 173 263 358 345 526	468 404 276 153 161 234 288 470	10.9 13.6 14.7 12.2 9.5 7.0 5.2 5.3	9.8 11.8 14.3 15.1 12.2 8.9 5.9 6.5	6.4 9.7 11.7 13.7 13.8 10.5 7.0 7.1	3.9 6.3 9.6 11.2 12.5 12.0 8.3 8.1	3.5 3.9 6.3 9.3 10.3 11.1 9.7 9.5	6.2 3.5 3.8 6.1 8.6 9.2 9.0	8.9 6.1 3.4 3.7 5.7 7.7 7.5 11.3	10.1 8.7 5.9 3.3 3.5 5.1 6.2 10.1
14 years and over Median age (years)	$5,977 \\ 51.4$	5,312 54.5	5,044 55.7	4,843 56.0	$\frac{4,694}{54.9}$	4,587 47.0	4,524 42.5	4,523 41,4	99.4	99.6	98.4	97.9	97.7	97.6	97.6	97.6
Adjusted for census underenumeration of children				0010	02.0	17.5	12.0	11.1			•••••	****************				
All ages	6,011 4	$\begin{smallmatrix}5,334\\2\end{smallmatrix}$	5,125 11	4,948 11	4,806 11	4,698 11	4,635 11	4,635 11								
Female, all ages	5,408	4,914	4,779	4,642	4,505	4,371	4,246	4,144	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 5 years. 5 to 9 years. 10 to 14 years. 15 to 19 years. 20 to 24 years. 25 to 29 years. 30 to 34 years. 35 to 39 years.	4 11 27 82 111 231 366 518	2 7 14 33 93 122 236 366	8 20 35 66 142 184 170 263	8 26 48 87 175 233 232 198	26 54 100 196 266 281 259	8 26 54 106 209 287 314 308	8 26 54 106 215 300 335 341	8 26 54 106 215 306 348 362	0.1 0.2 0.5 1.5 2.0 4.3 6.8 9.6	0.1 0.3 0.7 1.9 2.5 4.8 7.5	0.2 0.4 0.7 1.4 3.0 3.9 3.6 5.5	0.2 0.6 1.9 3.8 5.0 4.3	0.2 0.6 1.2 2.2 4.4 5.9 6.2 5.8	0.2 0.6 1.2 2.4 4.8 6.6 7.2 7.0	0.2 0.6 1.3 2.5 5.1 7.1 7.9 8.0	0.2 0.6 1.3 2.6 5.2 7.4 8.4 8.7
40 to 44 years	606 687 682 583 496 390 292 323	513 593 661 645 535 428 308 356	380 515 581 630 591 466 342 386	279 386 508 559 581 521 376 425	215 288 386 493 519 518 426 470	276 225 291 379 463 469 428 528	324 286 231 289 360 422 390 559	358 334 291 232 278 331 353 544	11.2 12.7 12.6 10.8 9.2 7.2 5.4 6.0	10.4 12.1 13.4 13.1 10.9 8.7 6.3 7.3	7.9 10.8 12.2 13.2 12.4 9.7 7.2 8.1	6.0 8.3 10.9 12.0 12.5 11.2 8.1 9.2	4.8 6.4 8.6 10.9 11.5 11.5 9.4	6.3 5.2 6.7 8.7 10.6 10.7 9.8 12.1	7.6 6.7 5.4 6.8 8.5 9.9 9.2	8.6 8.1 7.0 5.6 6.7 8.0 8.5
14 years and over Median age (years)	5,375 50.5	4,895 53,6	$4,725 \\ 55.2$	4,572 56.3	4,431 56.8	4,298 56.1	4,173 52.8	4,070 49,3	99.4	99.6	98.9	98.5	98.4	98.3	98.3	98.2
Adjusted for census underenumeration of children	1				-3.0	23.2	34,0	45.5			•			••••		
All ages	5,408 4	4,915	4,780 8	4,642	4,505 8	4,372 8	4,247	4,144								

¹Published totals were obtained by rounding computed totals and hence are not always exactly equal to the sum of the rounded figures shown by color, nativity, age, and sex.

²The figures for the population 55 years old and over have been adjusted for age biases in the nonwhite population as enumerated.

APPENDIX

APPENDIX TABLES

TABLE A.—PROJECTED 5-YEAR SURVIVAL RATES, BY COLOR, NATIVITY, AGE, AND SEX, USED IN COMPUTATION OF FORECASTS OF POPULATION, FOR THE UNITED STATES: 1945–50 TO 1970–75

(Number of persons surviving to the end of a 5-year period out of 1,000 persons alive at the beginning of the period or born during the period)

1)	Number of persons surviving to the end of a						period of								ORTALIT	v ACCTIME	TIONS	
COLOR, NATIV- ITY, SEX, AND AGE AT		HIGH M	ORTALIT	Y ASSUMI	PTIONS			MEDIUM	MORTALI	TY ASSUM	APTIONS			LOW M	ORIALIT	1 ASSUMI	110.13	·
BEGINNING OF PERIOD	1945- 1950	1950- 1955	1955- 1960	1960 1965	1965- 1970	1970- 1975	1945- 1950	1950- 1955	1955- 1960	1960- 1965	1965- 1970	1970- 1975	1945- 1950	1950- 1955	1955- 1960	1960- 1965	1965 1970	1970- 1975
NATIVE WHITE																*		
Male					000 =	050 5	050 5	962.9	966.6	969.3	971.4	973.0	958.5	962.9	966.6	969.6	972.2	974.4
Births	958.5 991.5 995.7 994.0 992.3 990.0 988.4 984.4 977.7 966.1	962.9 991.9 996.1 994.7 993.0 991.0 989.4 985.7 979.3 967.9	966.6 992.2 996.5 995.0 993.4 991.6 990.0 986.6 980.5	968.7 992.4 996.7 995.2 993.7 991.9 990.3 987.1 981.3 970.1	969.7 992.5 996.9 995.4 993.8 992.2 990.6 987.4 981.8 970.8	970.5 992.6 997.1 995.5 993.8 992.3 990.8 987.6 982.1 971.1	958.5 991.9 995.7 •94.2 992.4 990.1 988.5 984.6 978.1 967.9	992.6 996.3 994.9 993.3 991.3 989.7 986.2 980.3 971.8	993.2 996.7 995.5 994.0 992.1 990.7 987.5 982.1 974.9	993.6 997.2 995.9 994.4 992.8 991.5 988.4 983.3 977.0	993.9 997.4 996.2 994.9 993.3 992.1 989.2 984.4 978.3	994.0 997.7 996.5 995.1 993.8 992.6 989.8 985.2 979.2	991.9 995.8 994.3 992.5 990.4 988.7 984.8 978.4 969.0	992.6 996.5 995.1 993.5 991.6 990.2 986.8 981.3 974.3	993.2 997.2 995.8 994.4 992.8 991.4 988.4 983.5 978.4	993.8 997.7 996.4 995.2 993.7 992.4 989.7 985.2 981.3	994.3 998.1 996.9 995.7 994.4 993.3 990.9 986.7 983.2	994.7 998.4 997.2 996.2 995.0 994.0 991.7 987.9 984.3
45 to 49 years 50 to 54 years 55 to 59 years 60 to 64 years 65 to 69 years 70 to 74 years 80 to 84 years 85 to 89 years 90 to 94 years	374.9 241.6	949.7 922.9 884.2 833.7 763.3 663.1 522.7 374.9 241.6 115.0	950.8 923.6 884.3 833.7 763.3 663.1 522.7 374.9 241.6 115.0	951.5 924.1 884.4 833.7 763.3 663.1 522.7 374.9 241.6 115.0	952.0 924.3 884.5 833.7 763.3 663.1 522.7 374.9 241.6 115.0	952.4 924.4 884.5 833.7 763.3 663.1 522.7 374.9 241.6 115.0	950.4 923.9 885.5 835.7 763.1 522.7 374.9 241.6 115.0	954.5 927.9 887.7 838.2 764.1 522.7 374.9 241.6 115.0	958.4 932.5 890.7 840.7 764.5 663.1 522.7 374.9 241.6 115.0	961.5 936.5 894.2 843.1 764.7 663.1 522.7 374.9 241.6 115.0	963.6 939.2 897.6 844.7 764.9 663.1 522.7 374.9 241.6 115.0	964.9 940.9 900.3 845.8 765.1 663.1 522.7 374.9 241.6 115.0	952.0 926.3 887.6 844.4 774.9 670.9 526.4 374.9 241.6 115.0	958.4 934.3 893.5 857.0 786.4 678.3 529.2 374.9 241.6 115.0	964.6 943.9 902.0 870.1 796.6 684.2 531.4 374.9 241.6 115.0	969.7 952.3 912.1 881.7 805.0 688.6 533.0 374.9 241.6 115.0	973.1 958.1 921.9 890.4 811.3 691.7 534.1 374.9 241.6 115.0	975.2 961.4 929.8 896.3 815.7 693.6 535.0 374.9 241.6 115.0
Female																025.4		070 0
Births	967.2 992.9 996.9 996.6 994.2 993.0 991.7 988.8 984.6 977.7	970.5 993.2 997.2 997.0 994.7 993.7 992.4 989.7 985.7 978.9	973.3 993.4 997.5 997.1 995.0 994.1 992.8 990.4 986.5 979.7	975.2 993.6 997.6 997.2 995.3 994.3 993.0 990.7 987.1 980.4	976.0 993.7 997.8 997.4 995.3 994.5 993.2 990.9 987.4 980.8	976.7 993.8 997.9 997.4 995.3 994.6 993.4 991.1 987.6 981.0	967.1 993.2 996.9 996.7 994.3 993.0 991.7 988.9 984.8 978.8	970.5 .993.8 997.3 997.0 994.9 993.8 992.5 990.0 986.2 981.3	973.3 994.2 997.6 997.4 995.4 994.4 993.2 990.9 987.4 983.3	975.3 994.6 998.0 997.6 995.7 994.9 993.8 991.5 988.2 984.6	977.0 994.8 998.1 997.7 996.1 995.2 994.2 992.1 988.9 985.4	978.2 994.9 998.3 997.9 996.2 995.5 994.5 992.5 989.5	967.1 993.2 997.0 996.7 994.3 993.2 991.8 989.0 984.9 979.4	970.5 993.8 997.4 997.1 995.0 994.0 992.8 990.3 986.8 982.8	973.3 994.2 997.9 997.5 995.7 994.8 993.6 991.4 988.2 985.4	975.4 994.7 998.3 997.8 996.3 995.4 994.3 992.3 989.4 987.2	977.3 995.1 998.6 998.1 996.6 995.9 995.0 993.2 990.3 988.4	979.0 995.4 998.8 998.3 997.0 996.3 995.5 993.7 991.1 989.0
45 to 49 years 50 to 54 years 55 to 59 years 60 to 64 years 65 to 69 years 70 to 74 years 75 to 79 years 80 to 84 years 85 to 89 years 90 to 94 years	951.2 926.0 884.8 821.5 723.1 580.7 426.1 282.7	967.7 951.7 926.0 884.8 821.5 723.1 580.7 426.1 282.7 145.1	968.4 952.1 926.1 884.8 821.5 723.1 580.7 426.1 282.7 145.1	968.9 952.4 926.2 884.8 821.5 723.1 580.7 426.1 282.7 145.1	969.2 952.5 926.2 884.8 821.5 723.1 580.7 426.1 282.7 145.1	969.5 952.6 926.2 884.8 821.5 723.1 580.7 426.1 282.7 145.1	968.0 951.8 926.5 885.4 821.5 723.1 580.7 426.1 282.7 145.1	970.5 953.9 927.5 886.1 821.5 723.1 580.7 426.1 282.7 145.1	972.9 956.5 929.0 886.8 821.5 723.1 580.7 426.1 282.7 145.1	974.7 958.7 930.9 887.5 821.5 723.1 580.7 426.1 282.7 145.1	976.0 960.2 932.7 888.1 821.5 723.1 580.7 426.1 282.7 145.1	976.7 961.1 934.2 888.3 821.5 723.1 580.7 426.1 282.7 145.1	968.9 953.3 927.4 891.2 829.0 728.0 580.7 426.1 282.7 145.1	972.7 957.9 930.4 899.1 836.5 732.7 580.7 426.1 282.7 145.1	976.5 963.6 935.3 907.4 843.1 733.1 580.7 426.1 282.7 145.1	979.7 968.7 941.3 914.9 848.4 734.0 580.7 426.1 282.7 145.1	981.7 972.2 947.2 920.4 852.2 734.0 580.7 426.1 282.7 145.1	983.0 974.0 952.0 923.9 854.5 734.0 580.7 426.1 282.7 145.1
FOREIGN- BORN					,													
WHITE Male																		
Under 5 years 5 to 9 years 10 to 14 years 15 to 19 years 20 to 24 years 25 to 29 years 30 to 34 years 35 to 39 years 40 to 44 years	984.7 976.6	991.9 996.1 994.7 991.7 989.5 988.6 985.9 978.3 963.5	992.2 996.5 995.0 992.2 990.2 989.2 986.8 979.6 965.0	992.4 996.7 995.2 992.6 990.6 989.6 987.3 980.5 966.2	992.5 996.9 995.4 992.7 991.0 989.9 987.6 981.0 967.1	992.6 997.1 995.5 992.8 991.1 990.1 987.8 981.3 967.5	991.9 995.7 994.2 991.0 988.4 987.6 984.9 977.1 963.5	992.6 996.3 994.9 992.1 989.9 988.9 986.4 979.4	993.2 996.7 995.5 993.0 990.9 990.0 987.7 981.3 971.8	993.6 997.2 995.9 993.5 991.8 990.9 988.6 982.6 974.3	993.9 997.4 996.2 994.1 992.4 991.6 989.3 983.8 975.9	994.0 997.7 996.5 994.4 993.0 992.2 989.9 984.7 977.0	991.9 995.8 994.3 991.1 988.8 987.8 985.0 977.4 964.8	992.6 996.5 995.1 992.4 990.3 989.5 987.0 980.5 971.1	993.2 997.2 995.8 993.5 991.8 990.8 988.6 982.9 975.9	993.8 997.7 996.4 994.5 992.9 991.9 989.8 984.7 979.3	994.3 998.1 996.9 995.2 993.8 992.9 991.0 986.3 981.6	994.7 998.4 997.2 995.8 994.5 993.7 991.8 987.6 983.0
45 to 49 years 50 to 54 years 55 to 59 years 60 to 64 years 65 to 69 years 70 to 74 years 75 to 79 years 85 to 89 years 90 to 94 years	.237.0	941.9 912.7 871.8 814.6 740.5 651.8 524.7 373.2 237.0 111.4	943.3 913.7 872.3 814.6 740.5 651.8 524.7 373.2 237.0 111.4	944.3 914.5 872.8 814.6 740.5 651.8 524.7 373.2 237.0 111.4	945.1 915.2 873.2 814.6 740.5 651.8 524.7 373.2 237.0 111.4	945.7 915.8 873.6 814.6 740.5 651.8 524.7 373.0 111.4	942.7 913.8 872.8 817.7 742.2 652.3 524.7 373.2 237.0 111.4	947.7 918.8 875.8 821.3 743.8 652.8 524.7 373.2 237.0 111.4	952.5 924.4 879.7 824.9 745.3 653.4 524.7 373.2 237.0 111.4	956,4 929,3 884,1 828,4 746,8 653,9 524,7 373,2 237,0 111,4	959.0 932.7 888.4 830.9 748.1 654.4 524.7 373.2 237.0 111.4	960.7 934.9 891.9 832.9 749.2 654.7 373.2 237.0 111.4	944.7 916.7 875.4 827.7 754.7 660.6 528.2 373.2 237.0 111.4	952.5 926.4 882.8 842.8 768.6 669.0 530.9 373.2 237.0 111.4	960.0 937.7 892.9 858.2 781.0 675.8 532.9 373.2 237.0 111.4	966.1 947.5 904.7 871.8 791.3 681.0 534.4 373.2 237.0 111.4	970.2 954.3 915.9 882.1 799.3 684.9 535.4 373.2 237.0 111.4	972.8 958.2 925.0 889.3 805.5 536.2 373.2 237.0 111.4

FORECASTS OF THE POPULATION OF THE UNITED STATES

Table A.—PROJECTED 5-YEAR SURVIVAL RATES, BY COLOR, NATIVITY, AGE, AND SEX, USED IN COMPUTATION OF FORECASTS OF POPULATION, FOR THE UNITED STATES: 1945–50 TO 1970–75—Continued

(Number of persons surviving to the end of a 5-year period out of 1,000 persons alive at the beginning of the period or born during the period)

COLOR, NATIVITY, SEX, AND		нісн	MORTALI	ry assum	IPTIONS			MEDIUM	MORTALI	TY ASSUM	1PTIONS			LOW M	ORTALITY	ASSUMP	rions	
BEGINNING OF PERIOD	1945- 1950	1950- 1955	1955- 1960	1960- 1965	1965- 1970	1970- 1975	1945- 1950	1950- 1955	1955- 1960	1960- 1965	1965- 1970	1970- 1975	1945- 1950	1950- 1955	1955- 1960	1960- 1965	1965- 1970	1970 1975
FOREIGN- BORN WHITE— Con.							į			:								
Female																		
Under 5 years 5 to 9 years 10 to 14 years 15 to 19 years 20 to 24 years 25 to 29 years 30 to 34 years 35 to 39 years 40 to 44 years	992.9 996.9 996.6 992.7 992.1 991.0 988.3 983.4 974.1	993.2 997.2 997.0 993.4 992.9 991.8 989.3 984.6 975.6	993.4 997.5 997.1 993.8 993.3 992.2 989.9 985.5 976.6	993.6 997.6 997.2 994.2 993.6 992.5 990.3 986.1 977.4	993.7 997.8 997.4 994.2 993.9 992.7 990.6 986.5 978.0	993.8 997.9 997.4 994.3 994.0 992.9 990.7 986.7 978.3	993.2 996.9 996.7 992.9 992.1 991.0 988.5 983.7 975.5	993.8 997.3 997.0 993.7 993.1 991.9 989.6 985.2 978.5	994.2 997.6 997.4 994.4 993.7 992.7 990.5 986.5 980.9	994.6 998.0 997.6 994.8 994.3 993.3 991.2 987.4 982.5	994.8 998.1 997.7 995.3 994.7 993.8 991.7 988.2 983.5	994.9 998.3 997.9 995.5 995.1 994.2 992.1 988.8 984.2	993.2 997.0 996.7 992.9 992.3 991.1 988.5 983.8 976.2	993.8 997.4 997.1 993.9 993.3 992.3 990.0 985.9 980.3	997.5 994.8 994.3 993.2	994.7 998.3 997.8 995.5 995.0 994.0 992.0 988.7 985.6	995.1 998.6 998.1 996.1 995.6 994.7 992.9 989.8 987.1	995.4 998.8 998.3 996.5 996.0 995.2 993.5 990.7 987.9
45 to 49 years 50 to 54 years 55 to 59 years 60 to 64 years 65 to 69 years 75 to 79 years 80 to 84 years 85 to 89 years 90 to 94 years	959.7 .938.1 906.4 855.6 782.5 691.8 565.7 406.2 253.0 124.1	960.9 939.0 906.8 855.6 782.5 691.8 565.7 406.2 253.0 124.1	961.9 939.7 907.2 855.6 782.5 691.8 565.7 406.2 253.0 124.1	962.5 940.2 907.6 855.6 782.5 691.8 565.7 406.2 253.0 124.1	963.1 940.7 907.9 855.6 782.5 691.8 565.7 406.2 253.0 124.1	963.5 941.1 908.2 855.6 782.5 691.8 565.7 406.2 253.0 124.1	961.3 939.5 907.2 857.5 782.5 691.8 565.7 406.2 253.0 124.1	964.5 942.7 909.0 859.9 782.5 691.8 565.7 406.2 253.0 124.1	967.6 946.4 911.5 862.2 782.5 691.8 565.7 406.2 253.0 124.1	970.1 949.6 914.4 864.5 782.5 691.8 565.7 406.2 253.0 124.1	971.7 951.8 917.2 866.0 782.5 691.8 565.7 406.2 253.0 124.1	972.8 953.2 919.4 867.2 782.5 691.8 565.7 406.2 253.0 124.1	962.4 941.2 908.7 864.9 793.4 698.6 565.7 406.2 253.0 124.1	967.4 947.6 913.4 875.9 804.1 705.0 565.7 406.2 253.0 124.1	972.3 955.2 920.2 887.3 813.7 710.0 565.7 406.2 253.0 124.1	976.3 961.9 928.4 897.4 821.6 713.7 565.7 406.2 253.0 124.1	978.9 966.5 936.2 905.0 827.5 716.2 565.7 406.2 253.0 124.1	980.5 969.1 942.7 910.2 831.8 716.6 565.7 406.2 253.0 124.1
NONWHITE										,	1							
Male			,															
Births	926.0 985.0 993.4 988.7 979.3 970.2 963.9 955.0 941.3 917.6	934.5 985.9 994.1 990.2 981.5 973.6 967.6 959.4 946.3 923.0	941.7 986.6 994.7 990.8 982.8 975.7 969.9 962.6 950.1 926.9	945.9 987.0 995.1 991.3 983.8 977.0 971.3 964.5 952.9 930.3	948.2 987.3 995.4 991.7 984.3 978.2 972.6 965.9 954.8 932.9	950.1 987.6 995.7 992.0 984.6 978.8 973.6 967.0 956.3 934.5	926.8 985.9 993.5 989.2 979.9 971.0 964.8 956.3 943.1 923.0	935.9 987.4 994.5 990.7 982.8 975.3 969.5 962.0 950.4 934.2	943.5 988.6 995.1 992.0 985.0 978.3 973.4 966.7 956.3 943.1	949.2 989.5 995.9 992.9 986.5 980.9 976.5 970.1 960.5 949.4	953.7 990.2 996.3 993.6 988.1 982.8 978.9 973.1 964.2 953.7	957.3 990.6 996.8 994.2 988.9 984.7 981.0 975.5 967.2 957.0	927.5 986.0 993.7 989.5 980.4 972.3 966.0 957.5 944.7 926.7	937.2 987.6 994.8 991.3 983.8 976.9 971.9 964.8 954.3 941.8	945.3 989.0 996.0 992.8 986.7 981.2 970.6 961.6 953.2	951.9 990.3 996.8 994.1 989.2 984.4 980.5 975.3 967.2 961.4	957.5 991.4 997.4 995.1 990.8 986.9 983.8 979.3 972.0 967.0	962.3 992.3 997.9 995.7 992.3 989.0 986.3 982.3 975.9 970.7
45 to 49 years 50 to 54 years 55 to 59 years 60 to 64 years 65 to 69 years 70 to 74 years 75 to 79 years 80 to 84 years 85 to 89 years 90 to 94 years	883.2 850.2 821.8 769.1 702.9 647.9 585.2 493.4 366.9 201.4	887.8 853.4 823.5 769.1 702.9 647.9 585.2 493.4 366.9 201.4	891.7 856.4 825.3 769.1 702.9 647.9 585.2 493.4 366.9 201.4	894.7 858.9 827.1 769.1 702.9 647.9 585.2 493.4 366.9 201.4	897.2 861.3 828.6 769.1 702.9 647.9 585.2 493.4 366.9 201.4	899.4 863.5 830.1 769.1 702.9 647.9 585.2 493.4 366.9 201.4	889.4 855.3 824.9 774.8 706.4 648.6 585.2 493.4 366.9 201.4	901.2 866.0 831.1 781.1 709.7 649.3 585.2 493.4 366.9 201.4	912.1 877.4 838.4 787.3 713.1 650.0 585.2 493.4 366.9 201.4	920.9 887.4 846.2 793.2 716.3 650.7 585.2 493.4 366.9 201.4	927.3 894.8 853.7 798.1 719.3 651.3 585.2 493.4 366.9 201.4	932.0 900.3 860.1 802.2 722.1 652.0 585.2 493.4 366.9 201.4	894.4 861.4 829.5 788.1 721.4 657.1 585.2 493.4 366.9 201.4	912.1 880.7 842.5 809.0 739.3 665.8 585.2 493.4 366.9 201.4	928.3 901.8 858.8 830.0 755.3 672.9 585.2 493.4 366.9 201.4	941.3 919.5 876.7 848.3 768.8 678.4 585.2 493.4 366.9 201.4	950.2 932.0 893.4 862.3 779.6 682.5 585.2 493.4 366.9 201.4	956.3 939.9 906.8 872.5 787.9 685.4 585.2 493.4 366.9 201.4
Female]														-	
Births	939.1 986.9 994.3 988.6 979.6 974.3 969.8 961.2 948.5 930.0	946.1 987.7 994.9 990.1 981.7 977.3 972.9 965.0 952.9 934.6	952.0 988.3 995.4 990.7 983.0 979.1 974.8 967.8 956.2 937.9	955.5 988.6 995.8 991.2 984.0 980.2 976.0 969.4 958.7 940.8	957.3 988.9 996.0 991.6 984.5 981.2 977.1 970.6 960.3 943.0	958.9 989.2 996.3 991.9 984.8 981.7 977.9 971.6 961.7 944.4	939.6 987.6 994.4 989.1 980.1 975.0 970.5 962.3 950.0 934.4	946.9 988.9 995.2 990.6 983.0 978.6 974.3 967.1 956.3 943.8	953.1 990.0 995.7 991.9 985.2 981.2 977.6 971.1 961.4 951.3	957.7 990.7 996.4 992.8 986.7 983.4 980.1 974.0 965.1 956.6	961.4 991.3 996.8 993.6 988.2 985.0 982.1 976.6 968.3 960.2	964.3 991.7 997.2 994.2 989.0 986.7 983.9 978.6 970.9 963.0	940.0 987.7 994.5 989.4 980.6 976.1 971.4 963.2 951.3 937.4	947.7 989.1 995.5 991.2 984.0 979.9 976.2 969.4 959.6 950.1	954.2 990.3 996.5 992.7 986.9 983.6 980.1 974.4 965.9 959.7	959.5 991.4 997.2 994.1 989.3 986.3 983.4 970.8 966.6	964.0 992.3 997.7 995.1 990.9 988.5 986.1 981.8 975.0 971.3	967.9 993.1 998.1 995.7 992.4 990.3 988.2 984.4 978.4
75 to 79 years 80 to 84 years 85 to 89 years	902.0 868.3 837.9 794.2 749.3 716.1 674.4 604.6 515.4 341.5	905.8 871.1 839.5 794.2 749.3 716.1 674.4 604.6 515.4 341.5	909.1 873.8 841.1 794.2 749.3 716.1 674.4 604.6 515.4 341.5	911.6 876.0 842.7 794.2 749.3 716.1 674.4 604.6 515.4 341.5	913.7 878.1 844.1 794.2 749.3 716.1 674.4 604.6 515.4 341.5	915.6 880.0 845.5 794.2 749.3 716.1 674.4 604.6 515.4 341.5	906.9 872.6 840.5 799.0 749.3 716.1 674.4 604.6 515.4 341.5	916.7 881.8 846.0 804.3 749.3 716.1 674.4 604.6 515.4 341.5	925.7 891.6 852.4 809.5 749.3 716.1 674.4 604.6 515.4 341.5	933.0 900.3 859.3 814.5 749.3 716.1 674.4 604.6 515.4 341.5	938.2 906.7 866.0 818.6 749.3 716.1 674.4 604.6 515.4 341.5	942.1 911.4 871.7 821.9 749.3 716.1 674.4 604.6 515.4 341.5	910.9 877.7 844.5 810.5 763.8 721.9 674.4 604.6 515.4 341.5	925.5 894.4 856.0 828.6 777.9 727.3 674.4 604.6 515.4 341.5	938.9 912.7 870.5 847.0 790.6 731.5 674.4 604.6 515.4 341.5	949.8 928.2 886.6 863.0 801.2 734.5 674.4 604.6 515.4 341.5	957.2 939.1 901.7 875.2 809.5 734.7 674.4 604.6 515.4 341.5	962.2 946.0 913.8 884.1 815.8 734.7 674.4 604.6 515.4 341.5

APPENDIX TABLES

Table B.—PROJECTED 5-YEAR BIRTH RATES, BY COLOR, NATIVITY, AND AGE OF MOTHER, USED IN COMPUTATION OF FORECASTS OF POPULATION, FOR THE UNITED STATES: 1950–55 TO 1970–75

(Births in a 5-year period per 1,000 women alive at the end of the period. The "total" lines indicate the number of births per 1,000 women living to age 50 on the assumption that the women dying before age 50 have the same birth rate at each age of life as the women living to age 50)

COLOR, NATIVITY, AND		LOW FER	TILITY ASS	UMPTIONS			EDIUM FE	RTILITY A	SSUMPTIO	NS		HIGH FER	FILITY ASS	UMPTIONS	
AGE OF WOMAN AT END OF PERIOD	1950-55	1955-60	1960-65	1965-70	1970-75	1950-55	1955-60	1960-65	1965-70	1970-75	1950-55	1955-60	1960-65	1965-70	1970-75
NATIVE WHITE							i			ļ Į					
Total, 15 to 49 years	1,918.9	1,829.1	1,746.4	1,669.5	1,625.9	2,121.7	2,073.5	2,033.2	2,000.6	1,970.9	2,334.0	2,334.0	2,334.0	2,334.0	2,334.0
15 to 19 years	437.8 615.0 423.5 240.6	57.4 430.6 607.3 394.5 215.4 99.5 24.4	55.3 423.4 599.7 367.4 192.8 86.6 21.2	53.2 416.4 592.3 342.2 171.6 75.4 18.4	52.6 414.1 589.8 334.2 153.6 65.6 16.0	66.1 481.7 661.1 481.6 276.9 124.8 29.5	65.2 481.0 659.5 466.9 261.5 113.2 26.2	64.3 480.4 658.1 457.2 247.6 102.5 23.1	63.3 479.9 656.9 448.6 236.5 94.5 20.9	63.2 479.5 655.9 441.1 225.0 87.2 19.0	73.1 523.8 709.0 544.6 316.3 136.1 31.1	73.6 532.5 715.3 544.9 311.6 128.0 28.1	74.0 541.4 721.5 545.2 307.0 119.8 25.1	74.3 547.3 725.7 545.5 302.3 115.2 23.7	74.7 553.2 729.9 545.7 297.6 110.6 22.3
FOREIGN-BORN WHITE					,						٠				
Total, 15 to 49 years	2,035.0	1,931.6	1,835.6	1,746.4	1,694.2	2,229.9	2,171.0	2,122.7	2,082.6	2,045.8	2,417.3	2,408.3	2,399.6	2,394.2	2,388.5
15 to 19 years 20 to 24 years 25 to 29 years 30 to 34 years 35 to 39 years 40 to 44 years 45 to 49 years	54.6 456.0 666.4 474.6 247.7 109.9 25.8	52.9 447.8 653.4 437.8 221.2 95.8 22.7	51.4 438.4 640.7 404.2 197.5 83.6 19.8	49.7 429.6 628.8 372.8 175.2 73.0 17.3	49.5 425.7 622.3 361.3 156.5 63.8 15.1	59.3 492.7 708.6 536.9 285.4 119.8 27.2	58.8 491.0 702.9 516.3 268.8 108.9 24.3	58.4 489.3 698.6 502.2 253.9 98.8 21.5	57.9 488.3 693.9 489.5 242.0 91.4 19.6	57.9 487.2 690.1 478.2 230.0 84.5 17.9	65.0 523.8 746.8 600.4 325.1 128.3 27.9	66.2 532.6 749.6 596.3 319.1 119.6 24.9	67.5 541.4 752.4 592.2 313.1 111.0 22.0	68.3 547.3 754.3 588.2 307.1 108.0 21.0	69.2 553.1 756.1 584.1 301.0 105.0 20.0
NONWHITE				,					•						
Total, 15 to 49 years	2,399.6	2,251.1	2,114.6	1,988.7	1,904.6	2,669.1	2,573.2	2,490.6	2,416.7	2,349.3	2,949.3	2,918.6	2,888.0	2,857.1	2,826.4
15 to 19 years	210.2 705.7 663.1 408.3 235.5 138.0 38.8	190.4 670.8 648.6 380.0 210.4 117.9 33.0	171.9 637.3 634.8 353.8 188.0 100.9 27.9	154.5 605.4 621.8 329.7 167.2 86.5 23.6	142.2 581.4 614.7 322.5 149.7 74.1 20.0	238.2 786.4 716.3 464.9 270.9 151.2 41.2	223.5 763.8 708.9 450.5 255.4 135.2 35.9	209.5 742.2 703.4 441.6 241.9 121.0 31.0	195.5 721.2 697.6 433.8 231.1 110.0 27.5	184.6 701.0 692.4 426.8 219.9 100.2 24.4	268.5 864.2 770.2 526.0 310.3 166.2 43.9	260.1 860.0 772.8 526.4 305.6 154.7 39.0	251.4 855.7 775.4 526.9 301.0 143.3 34.3	242.3 846.5 776.0 527.6 296.4 136.4 31.9	233.5 837.1 776.7 528.3 291.7 129.6 29.5

Table C.—ADDITIONS BY NATIVITY, AGE, AND SEX, MADE IN FORECASTS OF THE WHITE POPULATION OF THE UNITED STATES TO ALLOW FOR NET IMMIGRATION OF 500,000 WHITE PERSONS DURING EACH 5-YEAR PERIOD AFTER JULY 1, 1945, ACCORDING TO ASSUMPTIONS OF MEDIUM FERTILITY AND MORTALITY: 1950 TO 1975

(Figures in thousands. Published totals were obtained by rounding computed totals and hence are not always exactly equal to the sum of the rounded figures shown by nativity, age, and sex. It is assumed that there are no births or deaths among immigrants in the 5-year period in which they enter. A minus sign (—) denotes loss resulting from net emigration)

NATIVITY AND AGE			тот	'AL					MALE	3					FEMA	ĽE		
	1950	1955	1960	1965	1970	1975	1950	1955	1960	1965	1970	1975	1950	1955	1960	1965	1970	1975
TOTAL WHITE											,							
All ages1	500	1,076	1,709	2,374	3,053	3,745	275	590	935	1,298	1,669	2,048	225	486	774	1,076	1,384	1,697
Under 5 years1	10	92	157	199	223	252	6	48	81	103	115	130	4	44	76	96	108	122
5 to 9 years	. 23	32	114	179	220	245	14	20	62	95	116	129	9	13	53	84	104	116
IU to 14 years	1 35	58	68	150	214	255	21 38	35	41	83	116	137	14	23	27	67	98	118
15 to 19 years	.1 64	100	122	132	213	278	38	59	73	79	120	153	26	40	49	53	93	124
20 to 24 years	149	212	248	270	280	361	94	132	153	167	172	214	55	80	94	103	107	147
25 to 29 years	119	267	330	365	388	397	73	166	204	225	239	244	46	100	126	140	149	153
30 to 34 years	56	174 72	320 189	383 334	418 397	440 431	31 3	104 33	196 105	233 196	254 233	268 254	25 15	70 39	124 84	150 138	164 163	173 177
55 to 55 years	. 11	12	189	334	397	451		33	109	196	255	254	15	39	84	100	109	111
40 to 44 years	. 5	22	76	191	334	396	5	2	28	98	188	225	10	24	48	93	146	171
45 to 49 years	. 5	10	27	80 32	193	333	2	6	4	26	94	182	7	16	30	54	98	150
50 to 54 years	5 6	11	16	32	83	192	1	1	5	3	25	91	5	12	21	35	58	101
55 to 59 years	. 4	10	15	20	35	83		1	1	4	2	24	4	9	15	24	37	59
60 to 64 years	.) 3	7	12	16	21	35		1	1		-4	-2	3	6	11	16	24	37
65 to 69 years	2	4		12	16	20				1		-3	2	4	7	11	16	23
70 to 74 years		4 2 2	4	7	10	13					. 1		1	2 2	4	6	9 8	13
75 years and over	- 1	2	4	6	9	12						. 1	1	2	4	6	8	12
NATIVE WHITE																		
All ages ¹			230	417	630	870		42	118	214	323	416		40	112	203	307	424
Under 5 years1		. 83	147	189	214	243		42	76	97	110	125		40	72	92	104	118
5 to 9 years			. 82	•147	188	213			42	75	96	109			40	71	92 71	104
10 to 14 years			·	. 82	146	188				42	75	96				40	71	91
15 to 19 years					. 82	146						75					40	71
20 to 24 years 25 to 29 years		·	-	-		81						42						40
30 to 34 years				-														-
35 to 39 years																		
	1	1											1			,		1
40 to 44 years		-		-				1				-						
45 to 49 years			.															·
50 to 54 years											-					-		
60 to 64 years		-						,										1
65 to 69 years.			-	-				1										
70 to 74 years		.1																
75 years and over																		
FOREIGN-BORN WHITE																		
All ages1	500	993	1,479	1,956	2,423	2,875	275	547	817	1,084	1,346	1,602	225	446	662	873	1,077	1,273
Under 5 years ¹	10	10	10	10	10	10	6	6	6	6	6	6	4	4	4	4	4	4
5 to 9 years	23	32	32	32	32	32	14	20	20	20	20	20	9	13	13	13	13	13
10 to 14 years	35	58	68	68	68	68	21	35	41	41	41	41	14	23 40	27	27	27	27
15 to 19 years	64	100	122	132	132	132	38	59	73	79	79	79	26	40	49	53	53	53
20 to 24 years	149	212	248	270	280	280	94	132	153	167	172	172	55	80	94	103	107	107
25 to 29 years	119	267	330	365	388	397	73	166	204	225	239 254	244	46	100	126	140	149	153
30 to 34 years	56	174	320	383	418	440	31	104	196	233	254	268	26 55 46 25 15	70	124	150	164	173
35 to 39 years		72	189	334	397	431	3	33	105	196	233	254		39	84	138	163	177
40 to 44 years	5	22 10	76	191	334	396	5	-2	28	. 98	188	225	10	24	48	93	146	171
45 to 49 years	. 5	10	27	80 32	193	333	$\begin{bmatrix} -\frac{5}{2} \end{bmatrix}$	6	-4	26	0.4	182			30	54 35		150
50 to 54 years	. 6	11	16	32	83	192		<u>-1</u>	-5		25 2	91	5	12	21	35	98 58 37 24 16	101
55 to 59 years	4	10	15	20	35	83			1	4		24	4	9	15	24	37	59
60 to 64 years	3	7	12	16	21 16	35 20			1		4	—2 —3	3	6	11	16	24	37
85 to 60 months																		
65 to 69 years	. 2	4	4	12	10	120		.		. 1		3	1 2	4	7	11	16	23
65 to 69 years 70 to 74 years	1	2 2	4	12 7	10	13		-			. 1		. 1	2 2	1 4	6	16	13 12
65 to 69 years	1 1	2 2	12 7 4 4	6	10 9	13 12		-	-	1	. 1	. 1		2 2	4 4	6	9 8	13 12

¹Based on population forecasts adjusted for census underenumeration of children. The figure for all classes in 1975, based on population forecasts comparable with census figures for 1940 and earlier years, is 3,732,000.

APPENDIX TABLES

TABLE D.—FORECASTS OF THE POPULATION, BY COLOR, NATIVITY, AGE, AND SEX, ACCORDING TO ASSUMPTIONS OF MEDIUM FERTILITY AND MORTALITY AND NO IMMIGRATION AFTER JULY 1, 1945, FOR THE UNITED STATES: 1980 TO 2000

COLOR, NATIVITY,			POPULATION1				PERCEN	T DISTRI	BUTION	
COLOR, NATIVITY, AGE, AND SEX	1980	1985	1990	1995	2000	1980	1985	1990	1995	2000
ALL CLASSES										
Total, all ages	163,877,000	164,532,000	164,585,000	164,177,000	163,312,000	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 5 years	10,574,000	10,219,000	10,086,000	10,018,000	9,847,000	6.5	6.2	6.1	6.1	6.0 6.5
5 to 9 years	11,896,000	11,226,000 11,693,000	10,856,000 11,208,000	10,717,000 10,839,000	10,645,000 10,702,000	$7.1 \\ 7.3$	6.8 7.1	6.6 6.8	6.5	6.6
15 to 19 years	11,573,000	11,861,000 11,519,000	11,661,000 11,808,000	11,178,000 11,610,000	10,812,000	7.1 6.9	7.2 7.0	$\begin{bmatrix} 7.1 \\ 7.2 \end{bmatrix}$	6.8	6.6 6.8
20 to 24 years	11,559,000	11,174,000	11,453,000	11.741.000	11,546,000	7.1	6.8	7.0	7.2	7.1
30 to 34 years	12,507,000	11,476,000 12,384,000	11,096,000 11,367,000	11,374,000 10,993,000	11,663,000 11,271,000	7.6 8.2	7.0 7.5	6.7	6.9	$\begin{array}{c c} & 7.1 \\ & 6.9 \end{array}$
40 to 44 years		13,179,000				6.5	8.0	7.4	6.8	6.6
45 to 49 years	9,865,000	10,513,000	12,221,000 12,930,000	11,221,000 11,992,000 12,527,000	10,853,000 11,011,000	6.0	6.4	7.9 6.2	7.3 7.6	6.7 7.1
50 to 54 years	10,458,000	9,553,000 9,909,000	10,182,000 9,052,000	9,647,000	11,620,000 11,874,000	6.4	5.8 6.0	5.5	5.9	7.3
60 to 64 years.	8,977,000	9,486,000	9,069,000	8,285,000	8,831,000 7,158,000	5.5	5.8 4.7	5.5 5.0	5.0 4.8	5.4 4.4
65 to 69 years	7,443,000 5,424,000	7,759,000 5,881,000	8,198,000 6,136,000	7,835,000 6,481,000	6,189,000	4.5 3.3	3.6	3.7	3.9	3.8
75 years and over		6,697,000	7,263,000	7,719,000	8,161,000	3.8	4.1	4.4	4.7	5.0
14 years and over	132,056,000	133,758,000	134,716,000	134,792,000	134,263,000	80.6	81.3	81.9	82.1	82.2
Median age (years) Adjusted for census	35.3	36,2	36.8	37.1	37.4					
underenumeration of children	164,593,000	165,229,000	165,276,000	164,863,000	163,987,000 10,522,000					
Under 5 years		10,917,000	10,777,000	10,705,000		300.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Male, all ages		81,718,000	81,861,000	81,784,000	81,470,000	100.0				
Under 5 years	5,995,000	5,219,000 5,746,000	5,152,000 5,556,000	5,117,000 5,485,000 5,547,000 5,717,000	5,030,000 5,449,000	6.6 7.4	6.4 7.0	6.8	6.3 6.7	6.2 6.7 6.7
10 to 14 years	6,084,000	5,983,000 6,064,000	5,735,000 5,963,000	5,547,000	5,477,000 5,530,000	7.5	7.3 7.4	7.0 7.3	6.8 7.0	6.7
15 to 19 years	5,739,000	5,874,000	6,034,000	5,935,000	5,690,000	7.1	7.2	7.4	7.3	7.0
25 to 29 years	5,895,000	5,701,000 5,847,000	5,836,000 5,657,000	5,996,000 5,791,000	5,898,000 5,951,000	7.3 7.8	7.0 7.2	7.1 6.9	7.3 7.1	7.2 7.3
35 to 39 years	6,789,000	6,296,000	5,785,000	5,598,000	5,732,000	8.4	7.7	7.1	6.8	7.0
40 to 44 years	5,417,000	6,684,000 5,296,000	6,202,000 6,538,000	5,701,000 6,068,000	5,518,000 5,579,000	6.7 6.1	8.2 6.5	7.6 8.0	7.0 7.4	6.8 6.8
45 to 49 years	4,948,000 5,206,000	4,765,000	5,102,000	6.301.000	5,850,000	6.4	5.8	6.2	7.7	7.2
55 to 59 years	5,010,000	4,886,000 4,509,000	4,472,000 4,400,000	4,789,000 4,030,000	5,917,000 4,316,000	6.2 5.1	6.0 5.5	5.5 5.4	5.9 4.9	7.3 5.3
60 to 64 years	3,397,000	3,530,000	3,805,000	3,714,000	3,401,000	4.2	4.3	4.6	4.5	4.2 3.5
70 to 74 years		2,588,000 2,730,000	$2,691,000 \\ 2,932,000$	2,901,000 3,094,000	2,831,000 3,301,000	3.0 3.1	$\frac{3.2}{3.3}$	3.3 3.6	3.5 3.8	4.1
14 years and over		65,980,000	66,585,000	66,755,000	66,612,000	80.0	80.7	81,3	81.6	81.8
Median age (years)		35.3	35.9	36.2	36.5					
Adjusted for census underenumeration of children All ages	81,674,000	82,088,000	82,228,000	82,148,000	81,829,000					
Under 5 years		5,590,000	5,518,000	5,482,000	5,389,000		••••••	,		
Female, all ages		82,814,000	82,724,000	82,393,000	81,841,000	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 5 years	5,174,000 5,720,000	5,000,000 5,481,000	4,934,000 5,300,000	4,901,000 5,231,000	4,816,000 5,196,000	6.3 6.9	6.0 6.6	$\frac{6.0}{6.4}$	5.9 6.3	5.9 6.3
10 to 14 years	5,811,000	5.711.000	5,473,000	5,293,000	5,225,000	7.0 6.9	6.9 7.0	6.6	6.4 6.6	6.4
15 to 19 years		5,798,000 5,645,000	5,698,000 5,774,000	5,461,000 5,675,000	5,281,000 5,439,000	6.7	6.8	7.0	6.9	6.6
25 to 29 years	5,665,000	5,473,000 5,629,000	5,617,000 5,440,000	5,745,000 5,583,000	$5,648,000 \\ 5,712,000$	6.9 7.4	6.6 6.8	6.8 6.6	7.0 6.8	6.9 7.0
30 to 34 years		6,088,000	5,582,000	5,395,000	5,538,000	8.0	7.4	6.7	6.5	6.8
40 to 44 years	5,303,000	6,495,000	6,018,000	5,520,000	5,335,000	6.4	7.8	7.3	$\frac{6.7}{7.2}$	6.5 6.6
45 to 49 years	5 252 000	5,216,000 4,788,000	6,018,000 6,392,000 5,080,000	5,923,000 6,226,000	5,433,000 5,770,000	$\frac{6.0}{6.4}$	$\frac{6.3}{5.8}$	$\begin{array}{c} 7.7 \\ 6.1 \end{array}$	7.6	7.1
55 to 59 years	. 5,356,000	5,023,000 4,977,000	4,579,000 4,668,000	4,858,000 4,256,000	5,957,000 4,515,000	6.5 5.8	6.1 6.0	5.5 5.6	$\frac{5.9}{5.2}$	7.3 5.5
60 to 64 years	4,046,000	4,229,000	4,393,000	4,121,000	3,756,000	4.9	5.1	5.3	5.0	4.6
70 to 74 years		3,294,000 3,968,000	3,445,000 4,331,000	3,580,000 4,625,000	3,358,000 4,861,000	3.7 4.4	$\frac{4.0}{4.8}$	$\frac{4.2}{5.2}$	4.3 5.6	4.1 5.9
14 years and over	67,035,000	67,777,000 37.2	68,131,000 37.8	68,038,000 38.1	67,652,000 38.3	81.2	81.8	82.4	82.6	82.7
Median age (years) Adjusted for census underenumeration of children	30.2	04.2	91.0	35.1	55.5					
	P9 000 000	02 141 000	83,048,000	82,715,000	82,158,000				,	
All agesUnder 5 years		83,141,000 5,327,000	5,259,000	5,223,000	5,133,000					

¹Published totals were obtained by rounding computed totals and hence are not always exactly equal to the sum of the rounded figures shown by color, nativity, age, and sex.

TABLE D.—FORECASTS OF THE POPULATION, BY COLOR, NATIVITY, AGE, AND SEX, ACCORDING TO ASSUMPTIONS OF MEDIUM FERTILITY AND MORTALITY AND NO IMMIGRATION AFTER JULY 1, 1945, FOR THE UNITED STATES: 1980 TO 2000—Continued

COLOR, NATIVITY,			POPULATION ¹				PERCEN	T DISTRI	BUTION	
AGE, AND SEX	1980	1985	1990	1995	2000	1980	1985	1990	1995	2000
NATIVE WHITE		, .								
Total, all ages	141,826,000	142,475,000	142,396,000	141,769,000	140,655,000	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 5 years	9,030,000	8,675,000	8,535,000	8,472,000	8,324,000	6.4	6.1	6.0	6.0	5.9
5 to 9 years	9.929.000	9,470,000 9,912,000	9,099,000 9,455,000	8,952,000 9,086,000	8,886,000 8,940,000	7.0 7.1	$\frac{6.6}{7.0}$	6.4 6.6	6.3 6.4	6.3 6.4
15 to 19 years.	9,821,000	10.067.000	9.888.000	9,433,000	9,065,000	6.9	7.1	6.9	6.7	6.4
20 to 24 years	9,601,000 9,985,000	9,782,000 9,555,000	10,029,000 9,736,000	9,851,000 9,981,000	9,397,000 9,806,000	$\frac{6.8}{7.0}$	6.9 6.7	7.0 6.8	6.9 7.0	8.7 7.0
ou to of years	1 - 10.875.000	9,925,000	9,500,000	9,680,000	9,925,000	7.7	7.0	6.7	6.8	7.1
35 to 39 years	11,677,000	10,785,000	9,845,000	9,424,000	9,604,000	8.2	7.6	6.9	6.6	6.8
40 to 44 years	9,346,000	11,539,000	10,661,000	9,734,000	9,319,000 9,572,000	6.6	8.1	7.5	6.9	6.6
45 to 49 years	8,690,000 9,304,000	9,188,000 8,444,000	11,346,000 8,930,000	10,484,000 11,027,000	9,572,000 10,189,000	6.1 6.6	6.4 5.9	8.0 6.3	7.4 7.8	6.8 7.2
oo to 59 years.	1 9 235 000	8,854,000	8.036.000	1 8.498.000	10,494,000 7,816,000	6.5	6.2	5.6	6.0	7.5
60 to 64 years	1 8 022 000	8,491,000	8,141,000 7,377,000	7,391,000 7,069,000	7,816,000	5.7	6.0	5.7	5.2	5.6
65 to 69 years	1 - 4.686.000	6,970,000 5,215,000	5,548,000	5,868,000	6,418,000 5,620,000	$\frac{4.6}{3.3}$	$\frac{4.9}{3.7}$	$\frac{5.2}{3.9}$	5.0 4.1	4.6 4.0
75 years and over	4,979,000	5,602,000	6,272,000	6,818,000	7,278,000	3.5	3.9	4.4	4.8	5.2
14 years and over Median age (years)	114,780,000 35.7	116,425,000 36.8	117,235,000 37.5	117,099,000 37.9	116,299,000 38.1	80.9	81.7	82.3	82.6	82.7
Adjusted for census underenumeration of children										
All ages. Under 5 years	142,316,000 9,520,000	142,947,000 9,146,000	142,860,000 8,999,000	142,229,000 8,933,000	141,107,000 8,776,000				•••••	
Male, all ages	70,498,000	70,882,000	70,919,000	70,696,000	70,231,000	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 5 years	4,626,000	4,445,000	4,374,000	4,342,000	4,266,000	6.6	6.3	6.2	6.1	6.1
5 to 9 years	5,094,000	4,859,000	4,669,000	4,594,000	4,561,000	$\frac{7.2}{7.3}$	6.9	6.6	6.5	6.5
10 to 14 years	1 5.021.000	5,084,000 5,159,000	4,851,000 5,069,000	4,662,000 4,837,000	4,588,000 4,649,000	7.3	$\frac{7.2}{7.3}$	$\frac{6.8}{7.1}$	6.6 6.8	6.5 6.6
20 to 24 years	1 4.914.000	4,999,000	5,137,000	5,048,000	4.816.000	7.0	7.1	7.2	7,1	6.9
25 to 29 years	5,104,000 5,549,000	4,887,000 5,069,000	4,972,000 4,854,000	5,110,000 4,939,000	5,021,000 5,077,000	$\frac{7.2}{7.9}$	$\frac{6.9}{7.2}$	7.0 6.8	7.2 7.0	$7.1 \\ 7.2$
35 to 39 years	5,945,000	5,496,000	5,022,000	4,811,000	4,895,000	8.4	7.8	7.1	6.8	7.0
40 to 44 years.	4.732.000	5.864.000	5.423.000	4.957.000	4,749,000	6.7	8.3	7.6	7.0	6.8
40 to 49 years	4.368.000	5,864,000 4,637,000 4,221,000	5,423,000 5,748,000	4,957,000 5,317,000	4,749,000 4,860,000 5,140,000	6.2	6.5	8.1	7.0 7.5 7.9	6.9
50 to 54 years	4,639,000 4,470,000	4,221,000	4,482,000 3,977,000	5,556,000 4,223,000	5,140,000 5,235,000	$\frac{6.6}{6.3}$	$\frac{6.0}{6.2}$	6.3 5.6	7.9 6.0	7.3 7.5
60 to 64 years	3.752.000	4,038,000	3,950,000	3,596,000	5,235,000 3,819,000	5.3	5.7	5.6	5.1	5.4
65 to 69 years 70 to 74 years	3,003,000 2,078,000	3,177,000 2,298,000	3,420,000 2,432,000	3,346,000 2,617,000	3,046,000 2,561,000	4.3 2.9	$\frac{4.5}{3.2}$	4.8 3.4	4.7 3.7	4.3 3.6
75 years and over	2,029,000	2,279,000	2,539,000	2,742,000	2,949,000	2.9	3.2	3.6	3.9	4.2
14 years and over Median age (years)	56,629,000 34,8	57,523,000 35.9	58,014,000 36.5	58,042,000 36.9	57,736,000 37,2	80.3	81.2	81.8	82.1	82.2
Adjusted for census underenumeration of children										
All ages	70 750 000	71,133,000	71 165 000	70 041 000	70,471,000					l
Under 5 years.	70,759,000 4,887,000	4,696,000	71,165,000 4,620,000	70,941,000 4,587,000	4,507,000		······			
Female, all ages	71,327,000	71,593,000	71,477,000	71,073,000	70,424,000	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 5 years.	4,403,000	4,230,000	4,161,000	4,130,000	4,058,000	6.2	5.9	5.8	5.8	5.8
5 to 9 years.	4,835,000	4,610,000	4,429,000	4.357.000	4,325,000	6.8	6.4	6.2	6.1	6.1
10 to 14 years	4,917,000 4,799,000	4,828,000 4,908,000	4,604,000 4,819,000	4,424,000 4,596,000	4,352,000 4,416,000	$\frac{6.9}{6.7}$	$\frac{6.7}{6.9}$	6.4 6.7	6.2 6.5	$\frac{6.2}{6.3}$
20 to 24 years	4,687,000	4,783,000	4.891.000	4,803,000	4,581,000	6.6	6.7	6.8 6.7	6.8	6.5
25 to 29 years	4,881,000 5,327,000	4,668,000 4,856,000	4,764,000 4,645,000	4,872,000 4,741,000	4,785,000 4,848,000	6.8 7.5	6.5 6.8	6.7	6.9	6.8 6.9
35 to 39 years	5,732,000	5,289,000	4,823,000	4,614,000	4,709,000	8.0	7.4	6.5 6.7	6.5	6.7
40 to 44 years.	4,614,000	5,675,000	5,238,000 5,598,000 4,448,000 4,060,000 4,191,000	4,778,000 5,167,000 5,471,000 4,276,000 3,796,000	4,570,000 4,713,000	6.5	7.9	7.3	6.7	6.5
45 to 49 years	1 4 322 000 1	5,675,000 4,551,000 4,224,000	5,598,000	5,167,000	4,713,000 5,050,000	6.1	6.4 5.9	7.8	7.3 7.7	6.7 7.2
55 to 59 years	1 4.766.000	4,484,000	4,060,000	4,276,000	5.260.000	$\frac{6.5}{6.7}$	6.3	$\frac{6.2}{5.7}$	6.0	7.5
60 to 64 years	4,270,000 3,551,000	4,454,000 3,793,000	4,191,000 3,956,000	3,796,000 3,724,000	3,998,000 3,372,000	$\frac{6.0}{5.0}$	$\frac{6.2}{5.3}$	5.9 5.5	5,3 5,2	5.7
70 to 74 years	9 608 000	2,917,000	3,116,000	3,250,000	3,059,000	3.7	4.1	4.4	4.6	4.8 4.3
75 years and over	2,950,000 58,150,000	3,323,000 58,902,000	3,732,000 59,222,000	4,076,000 59,057,000	4,329,000 58,563,000	4.1 81.5	4.6 82.3	5.2 82.9	5.7 83.1	6.1 83.2
Median age (years)	36.6	37.8	38.5	38.9	39.1				-3	
underenumeration of children	· ·									
All'amon	M4 PPM 000									
All'ages	71,557,000 4,633,000	71,814,000 4,451,000	71,694,000 4,378,000	71,288,000 4,346,000	70,636,000 4,269,000	·				

¹Published totals were obtained by rounding computed totals and hence are not always exactly equal to the sum of the rounded figures shown by color. nativity, age, and sex.

TABLE D.—FORECASTS OF THE POPULATION, BY COLOR, NATIVITY, AGE, AND SEX, ACCORDING TO ASSUMPTIONS OF MEDIUM FERTILITY AND MORTALITY AND NO IMMIGRATION AFTER JULY 1, 1945, FOR THE UNITED STATES: 1980 TO 2000—Continued

			POPULATION	ı			PERCEN	T DISTRI	BUTION	
COLOR, NATIVITY, AGE, AND SEX	1980	1985	1990	1995	2000	1980	1985	1990	1995	2000
TOTAL WHITE Total, all ages	143,669,000	143,674,000	143,131,000	142,194,000	140,885,000	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.9	100.0
Under 5 years. 5 to 9 years. 10 to 14 years. 15 to 19 years. 20 to 24 years. 25 to 29 years. 30 to 34 years. 35 to 39 years.	9,030,000 9,929,000 10,092,000 9,821,000 9,601,000 9,985,000 10,875,000	8,675,000 9,470,000 9,912,000 10,067,000 9,782,000 9,555,000 9,955,000 10,785,000	8,535,000 9,099,000 9,455,000 9,888,000 10,029,000 9,736,000 9,500,000 9,845,000	8,472,000 8,952,000 9,086,000 9,433,000 9,851,000 9,881,000 9,680,000 9,424,000	8,324,000 8,886,000 8,940,000 9,065,000 9,397,000 9,806,000 9,925,000 9,604,000	6.3 6.9 7.0 6.8 6.7 6.9 7.6 8.1	6.0 6.6 6.9 7.0 6.8 6.7 6.9 7.5	6.0 6.4 6.6 6.9 7.0 6.8 6.6 6.9	6.0 6.3 6.4 6.6 6.9 7.0 6.8 6.6	5.9 6.3 6.3 6.4 6.7 7.0 7.0 6.8
40 to 44 years. 45 to 49 years. 50 to 54 years. 60 to 64 years. 65 to 69 years. 70 to 74 years. 75 years and over.	9,367,000 9,398,000 8,209,000 6,848,000 5,047,000 5,706,000	11,543,000 9,203,000 8,471,000 8,914,000 8,639,000 7,130,000 5,441,000 6,161,000	10,661,000 11,350,000 8,944,000 8,062,000 7,503,000 5,670,000 6,660,000	9,734,000 10,484,000 11,031,000 8,512,000 7,414,000 7,116,000 5,965,000 7,059,000	9,319,000 9,572,000 10,189,000 10,498,000 7,828,000 6,438,000 5,655,000 7,437,000	6.5 6.5 6.5 4.8 3.5 4.0	8.0 6.4 5.9 6.2 6.0 5.0 3.8 4.3	7.4 7.9 6.2 5.6 5.7 5.2 4.7	6.8 7.4 7.8 6.0 5.0 4.2 5.0	6.6 6.8 7.2 7.5 5.6 4.6 4.0 5.3
14 years and over Median age (years)	116,623,000 36.1	117,623,000 37.1	117,971,000 37.7	117,524,000 38.0	116,530,000 38.2	81.2	81.9	82.4	82.7	82.7
Adjusted for census underenumeration of children	144 150 000	144,145,000	142 505 000	142,654,000	141,338,000					
All agesUnder 5 years		9,146,000 71,418,000	143,595,000 8,999,000 71,244,000	8,933,000 70,884,000	8,776,000 70,333,000	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Male, all ages. Under 5 years 5 to 9 years. 15 to 19 years. 20 to 24 years. 25 to 29 years. 30 to 34 years. 35 to 39 years.	4,626,000 5,094,000 5,175,000 5,021,000 4,914,000 5,104,000 5,549,000	4,445,000 4,859,000 5,084,000 5,159,000 4,999,000 4,887,000 5,669,000 5,496,000	4,374,000 4,669,000 4,851,000 5,069,000 5,137,000 4,972,000 4,854,000 5,022,000	4,342,000 4,594,000 4,662,000 4,837,000 5,048,000 5,110,000 4,939,000 4,811,000	4,266,000 4,568,000 4,588,000 4,649,000 4,816,000 5,021,000 5,077,000 4,895,000	6.5 7.1 7.3 7.0 6.9 7.2 7.8 8.3	6.2 6.8 7.1 7.2 7.0 6.8 7.1 7.7	6.1 6.6 6.8 7.1 7.2 7.0 6.8 7.0	6.1 6.5 6.6 6.8 7.1 7.2 7.0 6.8	6.1 6.5 6.5 6.6 6.8 7.1 7.2 7.0
40 to 44 years	4,382,000 4,672,000 4,551,000 3,840,000 3,131,000	5,866,000 4,645,000 4,234,000 4,401,000 4,111,000 3,251,000 2,394,000 2,518,000	5,423,000 5,750,000 4,489,000 3,989,000 3,977,000 3,481,000 2,487,000 2,698,000	4,957,000 5,317,000 5,558,000 4,230,000 3,607,000 3,369,000 2,663,000 2,841,000	4,749,000 4,860,000 5,140,000 5,237,000 3,825,000 3,056,000 2,578,000 3,016,000	6.6 6.1 6.5 6.4 5.4 4.4 3.1 3.3	8.2 6.5 5.9 6.2 5.8 4.6 3.4	7.6 8.1 6.3 5.6 5.6 4.9 3.5 3.8	7.0 7.5 7.8 6.0 5.1 4.8 3.8 4.0	6.8 6.9 7.3 7.4 5.4 4.3 3.7 4.3
14 years and over	57,467,000 35.2	58,058,000 36.1	58,340,000 36.7	58,230,000 37.0	57,839,000 37.2	80.6	81.3	81.9	82.1	82.2
Adjusted for census underenumeration of children	H. 50H 000	71 440 000	#1 401 000	71 100 000	70 574 000					
All agesUnder 5 years	71,597,000 4,887,000	71,669,000 4,696,000	71,491,000 4,620,000	71,129,000 4,587,000	70,574,000 4,507,000	700.0	100.0			
Female, all ages. Under 5 years. 5 to 9 years. 15 to 19 years. 25 to 29 years. 25 to 29 years. 30 to 34 years. 35 to 39 years. 35 to 39 years.	4,403,000 4,835,000 4,917,000 4,799,000 4,687,000 4,881,000	72,256,000 4,230,000 4,610,000 4,828,000 4,908,000 4,783,000 4,668,000 4,856,000 5,289,000	71,887,000 4,161,000 4,429,000 4,604,000 4,819,000 4,891,000 4,764,000 4,645,000 4,823,000	71,310,000 4,130,000 4,357,000 4,424,000 4,596,000 4,803,000 4,872,000 4,741,000 4,614,000	70,552,000 4,058,000 4,325,000 4,352,000 4,416,000 4,581,000 4,784,000 4,888,000 4,709,000	6.1 6.7 6.8 6.6 6.5 6.7 7.4 7.9	5.9 6.4 6.7 6.8 6.6 6.5 7,7	5.8 6.2 6.4 6.7 6.8 6.6 6.5 6.7	5.8 6.1 6.2 6.4 6.7 6.8 6.6	5.8 6.1 6.2 6.3 6.5 6.8 6.9 6.7
40 to 44 years	4,621,000 4,335,000 4,696,000 4,847,000 4,369,000 3,717,000	5,677,000 4,558,000 4,237,000 4,513,000 4,529,000 3,879,000 3,047,000 3,644,000	5,238,000 5,600,000 4,454,000 4,072,000 4,218,000 4,022,000 3,183,000 3,962,000	4,778,000 5,167,000 5,473,000 4,282,000 3,807,000 3,747,000 4,218,000	4,570,000 4,713,000 5,050,000 5,262,000 4,003,000 3,382,000 3,077,000 4,421,000	6.4 6.0 6.5 6.7 6.0 5.1 3.9 4.6	7.9 6.3 5.9 6.3 5.4 4.2 5.0	7.3 7.8 6.2 5.7 5.6 4.4 5.5	6.7 7.2 7.7 6.0 5.3 4.6 5.9	6.5 6.7 7.2 7.5 5.7 4.8 4.4 6.3
14 years and over Median age (years)	59,156,000 37.0	59,565,000 38,1	59,631,000 38.8	59,294,000 39,0	58,691,000 39.2	81.8	82.4	83.0	83.2	83.2
Adjusted for census underenumeration of children All ages	72,562,000 4,633,000	72,476,000 4,451,000	72,104,000 4,378,000	71,525,000 4,346,000	70,764,000 4,269,000					

¹Published totals were obtained by rounding computed totals and hence are not always exactly equal to the sum of the rounded figures shown by color, nativity, age, and sex.

TABLE D.—FORECASTS OF THE POPULATION, BY COLOR, NATIVITY, AGE, AND SEX, ACCORDING TO ASSUMPTIONS OF MEDIUM FERTILITY AND MORTALITY AND NO IMMIGRATION AFTER JULY 1, 1945, FOR THE UNITED STATES: 1980 TO 2000—Continued

COLOR, NATIVITY, AGE, AND SEX	POPULATION					PERCENT DISTRIBUTION					
	1980	1985	1990	1995	2000	1980	1985	1990	1995	2000	
FOREIGN-BORN WHITE											
Total, all ages	1,843,000	1,199,000	736,000	425,000	231,000	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Under 5 years											
5 to 9 years					****************						
15 to 19 years											
20 to 24 years					***************************************						
30 to 34 years											
35 to 39 years	. 4,000					0.2					
40 to 44 years	15,000	4,000				0.8	0.4		1	1	
45 to 49 years	. 28,000	14,000	4,000			1.5	1.2	0.6			
50 to 54 years	. 63,000 163,000	27,000 60,000	$\frac{14,000}{25,000}$	4,000 13,000	4,000	3.4	$\frac{2.2}{5.0}$	$\frac{1.9}{3.5}$	1.0 3.1	1 7	
60 to 64 years	187,000	148,000	54,000	23,000	12,000	8.8 10.1	12.4	7.4	5.4	$\begin{vmatrix} 1.7 \\ 5.2 \end{vmatrix}$	
65 to 69 years	294,000	160,000	126,000	46,000	20,000	16.0	13.3	17.2	10.9	8.6	
70 to 74 years	361,000	226,000	123,000	97,000	36,000	19.6	18.9	16.7	22.9 56.7	15.5	
75 years and over	. 727,000	559,000	388,000	241,000	159,000	39.5	46.7	52.8	36.7	69.0	
14 years and over		1,199,000 74,1	736,000 75.7	425,000 76.7	231,000 78.3	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Adjusted for census	12.5		70.1	10.1	10.0						
underenumeration of children	1,843,000	1,199,000	736,000	425,000	231,000						
Under 5 years	1,040,000			120,000	231,000		***********				
Male, all ages	838,000	536,000	326,000	188,000	103,000	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Under 5 years											
5 to 9 years	-										
15 to 19 years					******************						
20 to 24 years	·-								ļ		
30 to 34 years			***************************************								
35 to 39 years	2,000					0.3					
40 to 44 years	8,000	2,000				0.9	0.4				
45 to 49 years	14.000	8,000	2,000 7,000			1.7	1.4	0.7			
50 to 54 years	33,000 81,000	14,000 31,000	13,000	2,000 7,000	2,000	$\begin{array}{c} 3.9 \\ 9.7 \end{array}$	2.6 5.8	$\frac{2.3}{3.9}$	$\frac{1.2}{3.7}$	2.0	
60 to 64 years	88.000	73,000	28,000	12,000	6,000	10.5	13.6	8.5	6.1	6.1	
65 to 69 years	128,000 159,000	74,000	61,000	23,000	10,000	15.2	13.7	18.7	12.3 24.4	9.4	
75 years and over	324,000	96,000 239,000	55,000 159,000	46,000 98,000	18,000 67,000	19.0 38.7	17.9 44.6	17.0 48.8	52.2	17.0 65.5	
14 years and over	838,000	536,000	326,000	188,000	103,000	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Median age (years)	72.0	73.5	74.7	75.6	77.6						
underenumeration of children			,								
All ages. Under 5 years.	. 838,000	536,000	326,000	188,000	103,000						
Female, all ages	1,005,000	663,000	410,000	237,000	128,000	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Under 5 years	-							l			
5 to 9 years											
10 to 14 years											
20 to 24 years											
25 to 29 years			······································								
35 to 39 years	. 2,000					0.2					
40 to 44 years	7,000	2 000		-		0.7	0.3				
45 to 49 years	. 4 000	2,000 7,000	2,000 7,000			0.7 1.3	1.0	0.5			
50 to 54 years	30,000 82,000	13,000 29,000	7,000 13,000	2,000 6,000	2,000	3.0	2.0	1.6	0.9 2.7		
60 to 64 years	99,000	75,000	27,000	12,000	6,000	8.1 9.8	$\frac{4.4}{11.3}$	3.1 6.5	4.9	1.5 4.6	
65 to 69 years	166,000 202,000	86,000 130,000	65,000	23,000	10,000	16.6	13.0	15.9	9.8 21.6	4.6 7.9	
75 years and over	404,000	320,000	67,000 229,000	51,000 143,000	18,000 92,000	$\frac{20.1}{40.2}$	19.7 48.3	16.4 55.9	60.2	14.2 71.8	
14 years and over		663,000 74.6	410,000 76.4	237,000 77.6	128,000 78.9	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Adjusted for census underenumeration of children											
anacienameration of children.	1					1]				
All ages	1.005,000	663,000	410.000	237,000	128,000		1	i	ł	1	

¹Published totals were obtained by rounding computed totals and hence are not always exactly equal to the sum of the rounded figures shown by color, nativity, age, and sex.

TABLE D.—FORECASTS OF THE POPULATION, BY COLOR, NATIVITY, AGE, AND SEX, ACCORDING TO ASSUMPTIONS OF MEDIUM FERTILITY AND MORTALITY AND NO IMMIGRATION AFTER JULY 1, 1945, FOR THE UNITED STATES: 1980 TO 2000—Continued

COLOR, NATIVITY, AGE, AND SEX	POPULATION ¹					PERCENT DISTRIBUTION					
	1980	1985	1990	1995	2000	1980	1985	1990	1995	2000	
NONWHITE						100.0	100.0		1		
Total, all ages		20,858,000	21,453,000	21,983,000	22,426,000	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Under 5 years	1,785,000	1,544,000 1,757,000	1,551,000 1,757,000 1,753,000	1,546,000 1,765,000	1,523,000 1,759,000 1,762,000 1,746,000	7.6 8.8	7.4 8.4	7.2 8.2	7.0 8.0	6.8 7.8	
10 to 14 years	1,803,000	1,781,000 1,795,000	$1,753,000 \\ 1,773,000$	1,753,000 1,745,000	1,762,000	8.9 8.7	8.5 8.6	8.2 8.3	8.0 7.9	7.9	
20 to 24 years	1,639,000	1,737,000 1,619,000	1,779,000 1,717,000	1,758,000 1,760,000	1,732,000 1,741,000	8.1 7.8	8.3 7.8	8.3 8.0	8.0 8.0	7.7	
25 to 29 years	1,632,000	1,551,000	1.597.000	1,694,000	1.738.000	8.1	7.4	7.4	7.7	7.8	
35 to 39 years		1,600,000	1,522,000	1,569,000	1,667,000	8.3	7.7	7.1	7.1	7.4	
40 to 44 years	1,359,000 1,147,000	1,636,000 1,310,000	1,560,000 1,580,000	1,486,000 1,508,000	1,534,000 1,439,000	6.7	7.8 6.3	$7.3 \\ 7.4$	6.8	6.8	
50 to 54 years	1,090,000	1,082,000 995,000	1,238,000 990,000	1,496,000 1,136,000	1,431,000 1,376,000	5.4 4.8	5.2 4.8	5.8 4.6	6.8 5.2	6.4 6.1	
55 to 59 years	768,000	846,000	873,000	871,000	1,002,000	3.8	4.1	4.1	4.0	4.5	
65 to 69 years	595,000	629,000 440,000	695,000 466,000	719,000 516,000	720,000 534,000	2.9 1.9	$\begin{array}{c c} 3.0 \\ 2.1 \end{array}$	$\frac{3.2}{2.2}$	3.3	3.2	
75 years and over		536,000	603,000	660,000	724,000	2.4	2.6	2.8	3.0	3.2	
14 years and over	15,433,000	16,134,000 2 30.6	$\substack{16,745,000\\31,2}$	17,269,000 32.0	$17,734,000 \\ 32.7$	76.4	77.4	78.1	78.6	79.1	
Adjusted for census underenumeration of children				ļ							
All ages		21,084,000	21,681,000	22,209,000	22,649,000						
Under 5 years.		1,771,000	1,778,000	1,772,000	1,746,000						
Male, all ages	9,957,000	10,300,000	10,617,000	10,900,000	11,137,000	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Under 5 years		774,000 886,000	778,000 887,000	775,000 891,000	764,000 888,000	$\frac{7.8}{9.0}$	7.5 8.6	$\frac{7.3}{8.4}$	$7.1 \\ 8.2$	6.9 8.0	
10 to 14 years	909,000	898,000	884.000	885,000	889,000 881,000	$\frac{9.1}{8.9}$	8.7 8.8	8.3 8.4	8.1 8.1	8.0 7.9	
15 to 19 years	825,000	904,000 875,000	894,000 897,000	880,000 887,000	873,000	8.3 7.9	8.5 7.9	8.4	8.1	7.8	
25 to 29 years		815,000 778,000	864,000 802,000	886,000 852,000	877,000 875,000	$\frac{7.9}{8.2}$	$\frac{7.9}{7.6}$	$\frac{8.1}{7.6}$	8.1 7.8	7.9 7.9	
35 to 39 years		800,000	763,000	788,000	837,000	8.5	7.8	7.2	7.2	7.5	
40 to 44 years		818,000	779,000	744,000	769,000 719,000	6.8 5.7	$\frac{7.9}{6.3}$	$\frac{7.3}{7.4}$	$\frac{6.8}{6.9}$	6.9 6.5	
45 to 49 years50 to 54 years	534,000	651,000 531,000	788,000 613,000	752,000 743,000	711,000	5.4	5.2	5.8	6.8	6.4	
55 to 59 years	459,000 346,000	485,000 399,000	483,000 423,000	559,000 422,000	680,000 491,000	4.6 3.5	4.7 3.9	4.5	$\frac{5.1}{3.9}$	6.1 4.4	
65 to 69 years	266,000	280,000	324,000 204,000	345,000 237,000	345,000 253,000	$\begin{array}{c} 3.5 \\ 2.7 \\ 1.7 \end{array}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 3.9 \\ 2.7 \\ 1.9 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{3.0}{1.9}$	$\frac{3.2}{2.2}$	3.1 2.3	
70 to 74 years		194,000 212,000	234,000	253,000	284,000	2.0	2.1	2.2	2.3	2.6	
14 years and over	7,554,000 29.3	7,922,000	8,246,000	8,525,000 31.4	8,773,000 32.3	75.9	76.9	77.7	78.2	78.8	
Adjusted for census underenumeration of children									ļ		
All ages		10,419,000 894,000	10,737,000 898,000	11,019,000 895,000	11,255,000 882,000						
Female, all ages		10,558,000	10,837,000	11,083,000	11,290,000	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Under 5 years		770,000	773,000	770,000	759,000	7.5	7.3	7.1	7.0	6.7	
5 to 9 years	. 885,000	870,000	870,000 869,000	874,000 869,000	871,000 873,000	8.6 8.7	8.2 8.4	8.0	7.9 7.8	7.7	
10 to 14 years	. 870,000	883,000 890,000	879,000	865,000	865,000	8.5	8.4	8.1	7.8	7.7	
20 to 24 years 25 to 29 years	814,000 783,000	862,000 805,000	882,000 853,000	872,000 874,000	858,000 864,000	$7.9 \\ 7.6$	8.2 7.6	$\frac{8.1}{7.9}$	7.9	7.6 7.6	
30 to 34 years	814,000	773,000 799,000	795,000 759,000	842,000 782,000	864,000 829,000	$\frac{7.9}{8.2}$	7.3 7.6	$\begin{array}{c c} 7.3 \\ 7.0 \\ \end{array}$	7.6 7.1	$\frac{7.6}{7.3}$	
35 to 39 years	1		·			{	7.7	7.2			
40 to 44 years	. 582,000	818,000 659,000	780,000 792,000	742,000 756,000	765,000 720,000	6.6 5.7	6.2	7.3	6.7	6.8 6.4	
50 to 54 years		551,000 510,000	625,000 507,000	753,000 576,000	721,000 696,000	$\begin{bmatrix} 5.4 \\ 5.0 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{5.2}{4.8}$	$\frac{5.8}{4.7}$	6.8 5.2	6.4 6.2	
60 to 64 years	. 423,000	448,000 350,000	450,000 372,000	449,000 374,000	511,000 374,000	$\begin{bmatrix} 4.1 \\ 3.2 \end{bmatrix}$	4.2 3.3	$\frac{4.2}{3.4}$	4.0 3.4	$\frac{4.5}{3.3}$	
65 to 69 years	207,000	246,000	262,000	278,000	281,000	2.0	2.3	2.4	2.5	2.5	
75 years and over	1	324,000 8,212,000	369,000 8,499,000	407,000 8,744,000	440,000 8,961,000	2.9 76.9	3,1 77.8	3.4 78.4	3.7 78.9	3.9 79.4	
Median age (years)		31.3	31.8	32.5	33.2						
Adjusted for census underenumeration of children						Ì					
All ages	10,358,000	10,665,000 877,000	10,944,000 880,000	11,190,000 877,000	11,395,000 864,000						
	., 000	0.1,000	555,000	0.1,000	JUL 1 000 1						

¹Published totals were obtained by rounding computed totals and hence are not always exactly equal to the sum of the rounded figures shown by color, nativity, age, and sex.